UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE

The Effect of Turbulence on the Average Heat Transfer from a Cylinder in Crossflow

THESIS

submitted in partial satisfaction of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

in Engineering

by Sean Peter Burns

Thesis Committee:
Professor John LaRue, Chair
Professor Carl Friehe
Professor Dimitri Papamoschou

©1993 by Sean Peter Burns All rights reserved. The thesis of Sean Peter Burns is approved:

Carl a. Fride

Committee Chair

University of California, Irvine 1993

DEDICATION

To my parents

(for everything)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
LIST OF FIGURES	Augunt a les maios Espainen. M-1007		v
			,
LIST OF TABLES			ix
ACKNOWLEDGMENT			x
			16
ABSTRACT OF THESIS			xi
CHAPTER 1:	Introduction		1
	Fluid Flow Characteristics		6
	Heat Transfer Characteristics		14
	Concluding Remarks		26
CHAPTER 2:	Experimental Apparatus and	Methods	28
	Flow Facility and Flow Measurem		29
	Experimental Equipment and Fac		32
	Experimental Methodology		37
	Uncertainty Analysis		38
CHAPTER 3:	Analysis and Data Reduction		39
	Analysis		40
	Data Reduction		49
CHAPTER 4:	Discussion of Results		55
	Low Intensity Heat Transfer		56
	Effect of Integral Length Scale Va	riation on	
	Heat Transfer		60
	Effect of Turbulent Intensity Varia	ation on	
	Heat Transfer		66
CHAPTER 5:	Conclusions		80
CHAPTER 6:	Recommendations		84
BIBLIOGRAPHY			88

			Page
	APPENDIX A:	Turbulence Measurements	94
		Apparatus Description	95
		Experimental Method	96
		Data Reduction	98
	APPENDIX B:	Numerical Analysis	107
		Energy Equation Analysis	107
		Cylinder Temperature Distributions	110
		Fortran Program Listing	113
	APPENDIX C:	Unceertainty Analysis	118
		Uncertainty of \overline{Nu}	118
		Uncertainty of \overline{h}	119
	Uncertainty of the slope	119	
		Uncertainty of the density	120
		Specific Examples	121
	31 m = 51 m		

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 1.1	Average Nusselt Number vs. Reynolds Number. Correlations with low freestream intensity.	2
Figure 1.2	Average Nusselt Number vs. Reynolds Number. Correlations with free-stream turbulence level of 5 percent.	3
Figure 1.3	Average Nusselt Number vs. Freestream Turbulence Intensity. Effect of freestream turbulence on the average Nusselt number for a Reynolds number of $1.9 \cdot 10^4$.	5
Figure 1.4	Reynolds Number vs. Drag Coefficient. Combination of turbulence intensity and Reynolds number needed for a five percent reduction in the drag coefficient.	11
Figure 1.5	Amplification of Turbulence Intensity vs. Ratio of Integral Length Scale to Diameter. Amplification of turbulence intensity near the cylinder and for $L_x/D < 1$.	13
Figure 2.1	Average Nusselt Number vs. Reynolds Number. Effect of turbulent vortical structure orientation on the average Nusselt number.	31
Figure 2.2	Average Nusselt Number vs. Reynolds Number. Effect of pin on the average Nusselt number.	33
Figure 2.3	Mean Air Velocity vs. Distance Above the Wind Tunnel Floor. Vertical traverse near the cylinder surface.	35
Figure 2.4	Mean Air Velocity vs. Distance Above the Wind Tunnel Floor. Vertical traverse near the cylinder surface.	36
Figure 3.1	Temperature vs. Time. Example time-temperature decay for the low-velocity experiments.	44

		Page
Figure 3.2	Average Nusselt Number vs. Reynolds Number. Effect of air temperature variation on the relationship between the average Nusselt number and the Reynolds number.	46
Figure 3.3	Natural Log of Demensionless Temperature vs. Normalized Time. Example of normalized data.	48
Figure 3.4	Natural Log of Demensionless Temperature vs. Time. Example of least-square method.	50
Figure 3.5	Average Heat Transfer Coefficient vs. Time. How the average heat transfer coefficient determined for every $\Delta t = 0.2\tau$ varies over a time period of 2τ .	52
Figure 3.6	Temperature vs. Time. The region used in the determination of the average heat transfer coefficient, \overline{h} .	54
Figure 4.1	Average Nusselt Number vs. Reynolds Number. Data with a low free-stream turbulence intensity and a uniform wall temperature boundary condition.	57
Figure 4.2	Average Nusselt Number vs. Reynolds Number. Comparison of correlations for a low free-stream turbulence intensity.	59
Figure 4.3	Average Nusselt Number vs. Integral Length Scale/Cylinder Diameter. Variation of the average Nusselt number around the mean of averaged values for a nominal turbulent intensity of 1 percent, for three nominal Reynolds numbers; A) Re=10000; B) Re=20000; C) Re=40000	62
E: 4 4	RESTEL SOMESIA SALES SEE SEE SEE	02
Figure 4.4	Average Nusselt Number vs. Integral Length Scale/Cylinder Diameter. Variation of the average Nusselt number around	
	the mean of averaged values for a nominal turbulent intensity of 3 percent, for three nominal Reynolds numbers; A) Re=10000; B) Re=20000; C) Re=40000	63

		Page
Figure 4.5	Average Nusselt Number vs. Integral Length Scale/Cylinder Diameter. Variation of the average Nusselt number around the mean of averaged values for a nominal turbulent intensity of 5 percent, for three nominal Reynolds numbers; A) Re=10000; B) Re=20000; C) Re=40000	64
Figure 4.6	Average Nusselt Number vs. Integral Length Scale/Cylinder Diameter. Variation of the average Nusselt number around the mean of averaged values for a nominal turbulent intensity of 7 percent, for three nominal Reynolds numbers; A) Re=10000; B) Re=20000; C) Re=40000	65
Figure 4.7	Average Nusselt Number vs. Reynolds Number. Data from a single experiments for a nominal turbulent intensity of 1 and 7 percent; $1000 < Re < 200000$.	71
Figure 4.8	Average Nusselt Number vs. Reynolds Number. Data from a single experiments for a nominal turbulent intensity of 1 and 7 percent; $1000 < Re < 12000$.	72
Figure 4.9	Average Nusselt Number vs. Reynolds Number. Data from a single experiments for a nominal turbulent intensity of 1 and 7 percent; $8000 < Re < 200000$.	73
Figure 4.10	Average Nusselt Number vs. Turbulent Intensity. Variation of the average Nusselt number around the mean of averaged values for three nominal Reynolds numbers; A) Re=10000; B) Re=20000; C) Re=40000.	74
Figure 4.11	Average Nusselt Number vs. Turbulent Intensity. Averaged Data for nominal turbulent intensities of 1,3,5, and 7 percent; $1000 < Re < 200000$.	75
Figure 4.12	Average Nusselt Number vs. Turbulent Intensity. Averaged Data for nominal turbulent intensities of 1,3,5, and and 7 percent; $1000 < Re < 12000$.	76

rs.		Page
Figure 4.13	Average Nusselt Number vs. Turbulent Intensity. Averaged Data for nominal turbulent intensities of $1,3,5$, and 7 percent; $8000 < Re < 20000$.	77
Figure 4.14	Standard Deviation of Percent Error vs. m. Iteration of m to find the power on $(1+Tu)^m$ for turbulent intensities of 1,3,5, and 7 percent.	78
Figure 4.15	Average Nusselt Number vs. Reynolds Number. Correlation Comparison.	79
Figure A.1	Turbulence Characteristics behind Grid 1.	101
Figure A.2	Skewness Characteristics behind Grid 1.	102
Figure A.3	Turbulence Characteristics behind Grid 2.	103
Figure A.4	Skewness Characteristics behind Grid 2.	104
Figure A.5	Turbulence Characteristics behind Grid 3.	105
Figure A.6	Skewness Characteristics behind Grid 3.	106
Figure B.1	Temperature vs. Length Along 5.10 cm. Diameter Cylinder. Numerically generated temperature decay compared with one which has conduction.	111
Figure B.2	Temperature vs. Length Along 0.95 cm. Diameter Cylinder. Numerically generated temperature decay compared with one which has conduction.	112

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 1.1	Variable Ranges of Related Studies	15
Table 1.2	Low Intensity Correlations of Related Studies	16
Table 1.3	Correlations of Related Studies with Turbulent Intensity as a Parameter	18
Table 2.1	Grid Characteristics	29
Table 4.1	Constants in the Correlation for Average Nusselt Number in a Low Turbulent Intensity Flow	58
Table 4.2	Relative Increases in the Average Nusselt Number for variation of Freestream Turbulent Intensity	67
Table 4.3	Constants in the Correlation for Average Nusselt Number in a Turbulent Flow	69
Table A.1	Grid Characteristics	95
Table A.2	Hot-Wire Data Aquisition Settings	97
Table A.3	Constants Determined in the Least-Square fit of the Turbulence Intensity	99
Table A.4	Constants Determined in the Least-Square fit of the Integral Length Scale	100

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to whole-heartedly thank his advisor Dr. John LaRue for his tremendous patience and guidance while working on this project. His clear explanations and creative thinking will not soon be forgotten.

The author would also like to express his gratitude to Dr. Carl Friehe and Dr. Dimitri Papamoschou for reading and commenting on his thesis. An extra thanks to Dr. Carl Friehe for allowing me to start working with him while I had not yet finished this work.

None of this research would have been possible without the good people at the UCI Wind Tunnel Laboratory who helped me and made it an enjoyable place to work. I would especially like to thank Arthur Cabanban, Sanjivpal Gill and Peter Barton for helping me with equipment construction, data aquisition and data processing.

The author also would like to thank the University Energy Research Group for funding this project.

ABSTRACT OF THE THESIS

The Effect of Turbulence on the Average Heat Transfer from a Cylinder in Crossflow

by

Sean Peter Burns

Master of Science in Engineering
University of California, Irvine, 1993
Professor John LaRue, Chair

The effect of variation of the free-stream turbulent intensity and integral scale on the heat transfer rate from a cylinder in crossflow is considered. The investigation includes a review of previous work and provides new experimental measurements of the average heat transfer rates.

The Reynolds number range of the present study is from $1.7 \cdot 10^3$ to $8.0 \cdot 10^4$ which corresponds to the subcritical flow regime. The turbulent intensity, Tu, is varied from 0.25% to 7%, and the ratio of the integral length scale to cylinder diameter is varied from 0.19 to 4.5.

The present experimental results with a background turbulent intensity of 0.25% are, in general, between the resulting established correlations of Žukauskas and Žiugžda (1985) and Hilpert (1933). For the Reynolds number range from $1.0 \cdot 10^3$ to $1.0 \cdot 10^4$ there is slightly better agreement with Hilpert (1933) but for the Reynolds number range from $1 \cdot 10^4$ to $8.0 \cdot 10^4$ there is slightly closer agreement with Zukauskas and Žiugžda (1985). The relationship between the average Nusselt number and the Reynolds number, for the present data set, is found to be:

 $\overline{Nu} = 0.164 \cdot Re^{0.632}$

The presence of turbulence shows that increasing the turbulent intensity increases the average heat transfer rate from the cylinder which is qualitatively similar to but quantitatively different from previous results. For a Reynolds number of $1 \cdot 10^3$, as the free-stream intensity is increased from 1% to 5%, there is a corresponding 5% increase in the average Nusselt number. Previous experiments by Žukauskas and Žiugžda (1985) and Boulos (1972) have found, for similar conditions, an increase in the average Nusselt number of 20% and 15%, respectively. The reason for these differences is not apparent from the present data set.

For the present experiment, the dependence of the average Nusselt number on the ratio of the integral length scale to cylinder diameter is found to be less than the nominal experimental uncertainty of $\pm 4\%$.