A Comparison Study of Effects of Surface Roughness Representation in WRF and COAMPS on Hurricane Intensity Forecasts

#### Yi Jin

Sue Chen, Shouping Wang, James Doyle, Chi-Sann Liou, Jerome Schmidt, and Richard Hodur

> Naval Research Laboratory Monterey, California

11-14 June 2007, 8th Annual WRF Users' Workshop

## Introduction

### **Objectives**

- Evaluate transfer coefficient calculations used by NWP models for TC intensity forecasts.
- Incorporate new findings from recent observational studies (e.g., CBLAST) into NWP models to improve TC intensity forecasts

### **Experimental Design**

Various NWP transfer coefficient calculations implemented in a 1-D model.

COAMPS<sup>®</sup> simulations of Hurricane Isabel (2003) (5-km) used to evaluate various schemes

# Drag Coefficients Derived from Observations



Courtesy of Sixth international Workshop on Tropical Cyclone. Special Focus on Field Experiments related to Tropical Cyclone structure: CBLAST. (Black and Chen 2006)

# **Transfer Coefficients (I)**







• Large disparity in both  $C_D$  and  $C_H$  calculations in mesoscale models

- WRF ARW uses the same roughness length for momentum, heat and moisture (z0=z0h=z0q)
- COAMPS new has level-off drag coefficient for high winds

# **Transfer Coefficient (II)**



Large disparity in C<sub>E</sub>/C<sub>D</sub> values among various schemes
COAMPS 4.2.4 has small C<sub>E</sub>/C<sub>D</sub>; COAMPS new increases C<sub>E</sub>/C<sub>D</sub>
WRF ARW has large C<sub>E</sub>/C<sub>D</sub> at low-moderate winds

# C<sub>E</sub>/C<sub>D</sub> Estimated from Observations



COAMPS new ratio is consistent with the CBLAST observations

• The increase at high-wind speed represents sea spray effect

# COAMPS® Simulation - Katrina (2005032512) Minimum MSLP for 72 hr domain 3 (3-km)

COAMPS-ARWSFC: COAMPS model implemented with the WRF ARW surface scheme.

COAMPS new has weaker winds than COAMPS-ARWSFC during the first 2 days.



COAMPS new significantly improves the intensity forecast

•High sensitivity of TC intensity to surface schemes

# COAMPS® Simulation - Katrina (2005032512) Using WRF ARW sfc scheme (3-km) at 48H



• WRF ARW scheme produces high values of surface sensible (1200 Wm<sup>-2</sup>) heat flux and latent heat flux early into the simulation.

### COAMPS<sup>®</sup> Simulation - Katrina (2005) Sfc Winds and SLP at 66 hr (valid for 06Z 28 Aug) (3-km)



- COAMPS new surface winds compare better with best-track observations (reduce the wind speed error by 22 ms<sup>-1</sup>)
- COAMPS-ARWSFC produces a much larger area of high winds.

### COAMPS® Simulation - Katrina (2005) Radar Ref. and Sic Winds at 66 hr (valid for 06Z 28 Aug) (3-km)



- COAMPS new produces well-organized precip bands.
- COAMPS-ARWSFC captures the distinct outer band.

### Summary

- TC intensity forecasts highly sensitive to representation of the transfer coefficients; yet large disparity in parameterizations of these transfer coefficients in models
- Observations from the field experiments and previous studies providing opportunities to test new formulations of fluxes across air-sea interface
- Transfer coefficient values at both high and low winds important for TC development
- Continuing effort at NRL to incorporate and update WRF physics in COAMPS and vice versa.

Observation-based and physically consistent transfer coefficients (e.g., different roughness lengths for momentum, heat and moisture).

Impact of sea-spray (parameterization schemes)

Coupled ocean-wave-atmospheric simulations

### COAMPS® Simulation – 18 TC cases (48 hr run)

Average forecast errors of surface max winds



- COAMPS new produces better intensity forecasts in both domains.
- COAMPS new does not over-intensify weak storms.

### Garratt (1992) roughness lengths vs. COAMPS 4.2.4



- There is small difference in  $C_E$  and  $C_E/C_D$  between COAMPS 4.2.4 and Garratt (1992).
- C<sub>E</sub> and C<sub>E</sub>/C<sub>D</sub> in Garratt is slightly larger than in COAMPS 4.2.4 for low to moderate winds (<20ms<sup>-1</sup>).

# COAMPS<sup>®</sup> Simulation - Katrina (2005) Using Garratt roughness lengths (COAMPS-Garratt)



- COAMPS-Garratt has better intensity forecasts (9 mb deeper and 12 KTS stronger) than COAMPS 4.2.4.
- Slightly high C<sub>E</sub> at low-moderate winds is important for TC development.