Python tools supporting development and data analysis PROPOZE project: Numerical Weather Prediction for sustainable Europe

Marcin Polkowski

Institute of Meteorology and Water Management

29 May 2018

Introduction

1. Python in simple, reliable and easy to port cross platform

- 2. Python is available on most supercomputers
- 3. Python allows easy automation of testing process
- 4. Python allows easy data visualization

Introduction

User FiletOfFish1066:

From around 6 years ago up until now, I have done nothing at work. I am not joking. For 40 hours each week I go to work, play League of Legends in my office, browse reddit, and do whatever I feel like. In the past 6 years I have maybe done 50 hours of real work. So basically nothing. (...) I explained I had automated my own job (...). Anyway, I was fired.

Python scripts in PROPOZE project

- 1. Testing and comparing result from different versions of the dwarf
- 2. Testing performance on supercomputer
- 3. Comparing weather forecasts from different versions of model

Testing and comparing result from different versions of the dwarf

- 1. During test single process run signal variable is dumped to binary file every *n* time steps
- 2. Same data dumping can be achieved during MPI multi process runs
- 3. Ideally both single and multiprocess output should be exactly same
- 4. Python script compiles code for each run (different versions, different compiler options, different CPU config [for static memory], mpi / no mpi), runs the executable, compare results.

Testing and comparing result from different versions of the dwarf

	😣 🖨 🗉 Terminal											
	goto@IMGW:-/IMGW /mpdata-fortran/manualbuild_diffusion feature/DIFFUSION(+2/-2) ± python3 mpi_test.py											
Diffusion Gaussian Test MPI vs NOMPI												
by Marcin Polkowski												
	Test debug: 0											
Make style: gnutest												
	Cleaning previous results:											
	cleaning previous results.											
	Starting test.											
	NOMPI (x:1, y:1, z:1) Compile finished in 9.044s with 0 errors and 7 warnings Executing finished in 4.863s, result moved to nompill1.nc											
According Infisited in 4.0005, result moved to nomplifine												
MPI (x:1, y:1, z:1) Compile: finished in 8.901s with 0 errors and 13 warnings												
	Executing finished in 4.766s, result moved to mpilll.nc Comparing: no difference between nompilll.nc and mpilll.nc											
	comparing, no difference between nomprinting and mprinting											
	MPI (x:2, y:1, z:1) Compile: finished in 9.381s with 0 errors and 13 warnings											
	Executing finished in 2.936s, result moved to mpi211.nc Comparing: difference between nompi111.nc and mpi211.nc											
	comparing: difference between nompifif.nc and mpizif.nc											
	MPI (x:1, y:2, z:1) Compile: finished in 10.751s with 0 errors and 13 warnings											
Executing finished in 2.790s, result moved to mpil21.nc												
	Comparing: no difference between nompilll.nc and mpil2l.nc											
	MPI (x:1, y:1, z:2) Compile: finished in 8.986s with 0 errors and 13 warnings											
Executing finished in 2.614s, result moved to mpill2.nc Comparing: no difference between nompill1.nc and mpill2.nc												
										Test summary:		
	(x:1, y:1, z:1): OK time: 4.766 speedup: 1.02 cpus: 1											
	(x:2, y:1, z:1): FAIL time: 2.936 speedup: 1.66 cpus: 2											
	(x:1, y:2, z:1): OK time: 2.790 speedup: 1.74 cpus: 2											
	(x:1, y:1, z:2): OK time: 2.614 speedup: 1.86 cpus: 2 goto@IMGW:-/IMGW /mpdata-fortran/manualbuild diffusion feature/DIFFUSION(+2/-2) 1m5s ±											
	gotoethont-/ Aron / mputu-ivitian/ manuarbuila utitasion feature/Diffosion((2/-2) 1mD5 1											



Testing performance on supercomputer

- We want to check how computation scales up with number of CPUs used
- 2. We want to check how performance differs depending on CPU distribution along 3 axis of the model

- 3. We want this testing process fully automatic
- 4. We use Python to work for us!

- 1. Tested executables (multiple are supported) need to support dynamic memory allocation and feature setting number of CPUs in x, y and z-direction as command line parameters
- 2. Tested executables need to support execution timer (MPI or CPU time)
- 3. Python testing framework requires just few parameters to run

```
mode = "group" # or "single"
T = Tester()
T.SetTimer(" diff_fckflxdv")
T.AddDomain( 512, 256, 128, color='#800000')
T.AddDomain(1024, 512, 128, color='#000080')
T.AddDomain(2048, 1024, 128, color='#008000')
T.AddIterations(0,1500,100)
T.SetTemplate('GRAD.tpl')
T.SetTemplate('GRAD.tpl')
T.SetOutputSuffix("logout")
T.AddExecutable('./test.out', 'test', symbol='o')
T.SetCpuConfig([2,4,8,16,32,64,128], [2,4,8,16,32,64], [1,2,4,8,16,32])
T.GenerateJobs()
```

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶

•			* 35s 1 ± python3 (h goto@SR	101661 — 173×4	8		
essing outfo ding timers. aning up file	iles don don es don	ie ie		unz.py te:	ετ					
	in [512, 256 ing cpu conf	i, 128] for test 'ig 4								
	result	executable	domain size	cpu x	cpu y	cpu z	timesteps	time / iter	to fastest	time / iter / core
	OK	test	512 × 256 × 128	2	2	1	100	2.3567 s	1.08 ×	9.4266080 s
Processi	ing cpu conf	ig 8								
	result	executable	domain size	сри х	cpu y	cpu z	timesteps	time / iter	to fastest	time / iter / core
	0K	test	512 × 256 × 128	2	2	2	108	1.1900 s	1.08 ×	9.5197520 s
	FAIL	test	512 × 256 × 128	2	4	1	-	-	-	-
	FAIL	test	512 × 256 × 128	4	2	1	-	-	-	-
Processi	ing cpu conf	ig 16								
	result	executable	domain size	сри х	cpu y	cpu z	timesteps	time / iter	to fastest	time / iter / core
	0K	test	512 × 256 × 128	4	2	2	100	0.6017 s	1.00 ×	9.6272968 s
	0K	test	512 × 256 × 128	4	4	1	100	0.6029 s	1.00 ×	9.6461760 s
	OK	test	512 × 256 × 128	2	4	2	100	0.6054 s	1.01 ×	9.6869600 s
	OK	test	512 × 256 × 128	8	2	1	100	0.6088 s	1.01 ×	9.7412328 s
	OK	test	512 x 256 x 128	2	8	1	188	0.6160 s	1.02 ×	9.8556320 s
	ок	test	512 × 256 × 128	2	2	4	188	0.6161 s	1.02 ×	9.8576960 s
Process	ing cpu conf	ig 32								
	result	executable	domain size	cpu x	cpu y	cpu z	timesteps	time / iter	to fastest	time / iter / core
	OK	test	512 x 256 x 128	4	4	2	100	0.3086 s	1.08 ×	9.8749768 s
	OK	test	512 × 256 × 128	8	2	2	188	0.3105 s	1.01 ×	9.9362880 s
	0K	test	512 x 256 x 128	8	4	1	100	0.3107 s	1.01 ×	9,9434888 s

Figure: Example of scalability test result



Figure: Example of scalability test result

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで



Figure: Example of CPU config test result

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

```
mode = "group" # or "single"
T = Tester()
T.SetTimer("diff_fckflxdv")
T.AddDomain( 525, 437, 128, color='#058062')
T.AddIterations(0,1500,100)
T.SetTemplate('GRAD.tpl')
T.SetOutputSuffix("logout")
T.AddExecutable('./test.out', 'test', symbol='o')
T.GenerateJobsTotalCPU(240,50,50,1)
T.GenerateJobsTotalCPU(480,50,50,1)
```

1. This Python framework is under constant development

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

- 2. It is available on github: https://github.com/gozwei/HPC-performance-tester
- 3. Documentation is still on to do list

Comparing weather forecasts from different versions of model

- 1. One Python scripts reads SYNOP weather reports and saves data into easy to use SQL database (this is done for efficiency)
- 2. Second script allows comparing real measurements with forecasts from different model versions for selected station
- Third script allows computing and visualizing mean error between real world data and model forecast over selected set of stations



ロト (個) (注) (注) (注) ヨー つく()



Python makes our lives easier :)

