Microphysics and Boundary-Layer Parameterizations in a Simulated Oceanic Convective System

Ming-Jen Yang

Dept. of Atmospheric Sciences
Chinese Culture University
Taipei, Taiwan

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the kinematic and microphysics characteristics of oceanic convection initiated by a Mei-Yu front on 15 May 1998 during the South China Sea Monsoon Experiment (SCSMEX), using the Penn State/NCAR mesoscale model (MM5) on a triply nested grid. A Grell cumulus parameterization scheme and an explicit microphysics scheme are used simultaneously to simulate the evolution of the Mei-Yu front on the coarse (with a grid size of 45 km) and intermediate (with a grid size of 15 km) domains. The intense oceanic convection over the northern South China Sea is simulated over the inner domain (with a grid size of 5 km) using the explicit-scale microphysics scheme. As verified against satellite observations, the model captures reasonably well the evolution of the Mei-Yu frontal convection. Of particular significance is that both shear-parallel and shear-perpendicular rainbands are simulated, in agreement with radar observations. The microphysics sensitivity experiment shows that different microphysics schemes do not have a significant impact on the 45-km and 15-km grids. However, on the 5-km grid, detailed microphysics parameterization schemes clearly affect the distribution and amount of simulated rainfall, the strength and depth of cold pool, and the moving speed of gust front. The boundary-layer sensitivity experiment shows that the MRF scheme produces the strongest cold pool and the largest amount of rainfall, and the no-flux run produces the weakest cold pool and the least rainfall. In summary, at 5-km horizontal resolution, variations in the microphysics parameterization have a much larger impact on the distribution and amount of oceanic precipitation than variations in the boundary-layer parameterization.

1. Introduction

Oceanic convection is recognized as one of the most difficult parameters to forecast in numerical weather prediction. Difficulties of oceanic convection prediction exist in at least three areas. First, our understanding of oceanic convection is still quite limited. Second, data deficiencies in open ocean often limit the accuracy of a model's initial conditions. The third is the representation of physical processes which are responsible for oceanic convection in a numerical model.

Kuo et al. (1996) assessed the performance of various subgrid-scale cumulus schemes and resolvable-scale microphysics schemes in the simulation of an explosive oceanic cyclone, using the Penn State/NCAR mesoscale model MM5. Yang et al. (2000) performed similar precipitation parameterization comparison for a Mei-Yu front However, a systematic evaluation of the microphysics schemes in the simulation of *oceanic convection* triggered by a Mei-Yu frontal system has been rarely in the literature. In this study, we conduct a series of numerical simulations of the oceanic convection triggered by a Mei-Yu front on 15 May 1998 during the South China Sea Monsoon Experiment (SCSMEX), using the Penn State/NCAR nonhydrostatic mesoscale model MM5 at a triply nested grid (with grid sizes of 45 km, 15 km and 5 km, respectively). Our objective is to access the performance of various microphysics and boundary-layer schemes in the simulation of oceanic convection over the northern South China Sea. We are particularly interested in understanding how different microphysics and boundary-layer schemes affect the oceanic convection development, the precipitation organization and evolution, and the resulting mesoscale structures.

2. Model and experiment design

The numerical model used in this study is the Penn State/NCAR non-hydrostatic model MM5 (Grell et al. 1994) Version 2.11. The MM5 is a three-dimensional, limited-area, primitive-equation, nested-grid model with a terrain following σ (non-

---
Corresponding author address: Dr. Min-Jen Yang, Dept. of Atmospheric Sciences, Chinese Culture University, 55 Hwa Kang Road, Yang Ming Shan, Taipei, Taiwan, 111, ROC. Email: mingjen@twister.atmos.pccu.edu.tw

dimensional pressure) vertical coordinate. The physical parameterization of the model includes the planetary boundary layer scheme with surface energy flux and friction, the short-wave and long-wave radiation scheme with the interaction between clear sky and clouds, the grid-scale microphysics scheme, and the subgrid-scale cumulus parameterization. The model configuration includes a coarse mesh of 45-km grid size, an intermediate mesh of 15-km grid size, and a fine mesh of 5-km grid size. Domain size for each mesh is 81× 71 for coarse mesh, 91× 91 for intermediate mesh, and 100× 109 for fine mesh. There are 27 σ levels in the vertical (surface pressure level to 50 mb). MM5 model is initialized at 1200 UTC 14 May 1998 and the forecast length for each MM5 run is 36 hours (i.e., simulation ends at 0000 UTC 16 May 1998). The initial condition is provided by the analysis field of the Central Weather Bureau Global Forecast System (CWBGFS; Liu et al. 1997), and the boundary condition is provided by the CWBGFS forecast field. Surface observations and sounding data are included through objective analysis to improve the initial condition field. The sea surface temperature (SST) field is provided by CWBGFS analysis, and is held constant throughout the simulations.

Table 1 lists the numerical experiments conducted in this study. The sensitivity tests of microphysics parameterizations include schemes of Warm Rain (Hsie et al. 1984; Kessler 1969), Simple Ice (Dudhia 1989), Mixed Phase (Reisner et al. 1998), Reisner Graupel (Reisner et al. 1998), and Goddard Graupel (Tao and Simpson 1993). The sensitivity experiments of boundary layer experiments consider schemes of high-resolution boundary layer (Blackadar 1979; Zhang and Anthes 1982), Burk-Thompson (Burk and Thompson 1989), MRF (Hong and Pan 1996), and No Boundary Layer.

Note that it would be inappropriate to attribute all the errors in the precipitation forecast solely to a microphysics or boundary layer scheme in this full-prognostic experiment framework (Wang and Seaman 1997). Inaccurracy in other physics parameterization schemes and uncertainities in the initial conditions could also contribute to precipitation forecast errors. Because of this complexity, it is important that all numerical experiments use the same model setting and identical physics (except the physical scheme we are testing) as a common framework, as well as the same initial conditions for each experiment. This experiment design simplies interpretation of the results and facilitates forecast intercomparison.

3. Results and discussion

Comparing the 6-h accumulated rainfall on the 45-km grid with satellite imaginary (not shown), it is clear that the MM5 model can simulate the development of Mei-Yu front reasonably well. The predicted Mei-Yu frontal rainfall *distribution* and *amount* by each microphysics experiment is very similar on the 45-km and 15-km grids, in agreement with Yang et al. (2000).

Comparing the simulated near-surface radar reflectivity of the SI (Simple Ice) experiment at 20-h forecast time (valid at 0800 UTC 15 May 1998) and the corresponding observed low-level radar echos (not shown), it is evident that the oceanic convection triggered by the synoptic-scale Mei-Yu front is simulated quite well, although the simulated Mei-Yu front moves slightly faster than the observed front. The broad precipitation behind the frontal boundary is well simulated by the model. On the other hand, the radar observation indicates less precipitation produced by the convergence line between the cold air outflow and southwesterly than the model did. Both observation and simulation show the shear-parallel (in northeast-southwest direction) and shear-perpendicular (in northwest-southeast direction) raindbands along the convergence line, similar to those discussed by LeMone et al. (1998). Work is in progress to investigate and understand the mechanism for the development of shear-parallel and shear-perpendicular convection.

Figure 1 shows the simulated near-surface (40-m above sea level) radar reflectivity field on the 5-km grid for each microphysics experiment at 18-h forecast time (valid at 06 UTC 15 May 1998). It is clear from Fig. 1 that on the inner 5-km grid, the microphysics scheme used in the model clearly affect the distribution and intensity of simulated precipitation (in terms of radar reflectivity) and the resulting convection organization. A vertical cross section across the convergence line (not shown) illustrates that the microphysics parameterization employed in the model significantly affect the strength and depth of simulated cold pool. In particular, the GG (Goddard Graupel) experiment has the deepest and strongest cold pool, and the WR (Warm Rain) experiment has the most shallow and weakest cold pool. Therefore (also confirmed by the model animation), the GG experiment has the fastest-moving gust front associated with the convergence line, and the gust front of the WR experiment has the slowest moving speed.

The boundary-layer parameterization experiment indicates that the MRF scheme produces the strongest cold pool and the largest amount of rainfall, and the no-flux run (no PBL) produces the weakest cold pool and the smallest amount of rainfall. Comparing these two sets of experiments, it is evident that at 5-km resolution, variations in the microphysics parameterization have a much larger impact on the distribution and amount of oceanic precipitation than variations in the boundary-layer parameterization.

Reference

Blackadar, A. K., 1979: High resolution models of the planetary boundary layer. *Advances in Environmental Sciences and Engineering.* Vol. 1, Pfafflin and Ziegler, Eds, Gordon and Breach, 50-85.

Burk, S. D. and W. T. Thompson, 1989: A vertically nested regional numerical weather prediction model with second-order closure physics. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **117**, 2305-2324.

Dudhia, J., 1989: Numerical study of convection observed during the winter monsoon experiment using a mesoscale two-dimensional model. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **46**, 3077-3107.

Grell, G., 1993: Prognostic evaluation of assumptions used by cumulus parameterizations. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **121**, 764-787.

Grell, G. A., J. Dudhia, and D. R. Stauffer, 1994: A description of the fifth generation Penn State/NCAR mesoscale model (MM5). NCAR Tech Note NCAR/TN-398+STR, 138 pp.

Hsie, E.-Y., R. A. Anthes, and D. Keyser, 1984: Numerical simulation of frontogenesis in a moist atmosphere. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **41**, 2581-2594.

Hong, S.-Y., and H.-L. Pan, 1996: Nonlocal boundary layer vertical diffusion in a medium-range forecast model. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **124**, 2322-2339.

Kessler, E., 1969: On the distribution and continuity of water substance in atmospheric circulations. *Metoro. Monogr.*, **10**, No. 32, 84 pp.

Kuo, Y.-H., R. J. Reed, and Y. Liu, 1996: The ERICA IOP 5 Storm. Part Ⅲ: Mesoscale cyclogenesis and precipitation parameterization, *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **124**, 1409-1434.

LeMone, M. A., E. D. Zipser, and S. B. Trier, 1998: The role of environmental shear and thermodynamical conditions in determining the structure and evolution of mesoscale convective systems during TOGA COARE. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **55**, 3493-3518.

Liu, C.-S, J.-H. Chen, C.-T. Terng, F.-J. Wang, C.-T. Fong, T. E. Rosmond, H.-C. Kuo, C.-H. Shiao, and M.-D. Cheng, 1997: The second generation global forecast system at the Central Weather Bureau in Taiwan. *Wea. Forecasting*, **12**, 653-663.

Reisner, J., R. T. Bruintjes, and R. J. Rasmussen, 1998: Explicit forecasting of supercooled water in winter storms using the MM5 mesoscale model. *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **124B**, 1071-1107.

Tao, W.-K. and J. Simpson, 1993: The Goddard cumulus ensemble model. Part I: Model description. *Terr., Atmos. and Oceanic Sci.*, **4**, 35-72.

Wang, W. and N. L. Seaman, 1997: A comparison study of convective parameterization schemes in a mesoscale model. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **125**, 252-278.

Yang, M.-J., F.-C. Chien, and M.-D. Cheng, 2000: Precipitation parameterizations in a simulated Mei-Yu front *Terr., Atmos. and Oceanic Sci.*, in press (June issue).

Zhang, D.-L., and R. A. Anthes, 1982: A high-resolution model of the planetary boundary layer—Sensitivity tests and comparisons with SESAME-79 data. *J. Appl. Meteor.*, **21**, 1594-1609.

Table 1: Summary of numerical experiments.

Name	Microphysics parameterization	Boundary layer parameterization
Set A: Test of microphysics parameterization on the 45-km/15-km/5-km nested grid		
WR	Warm Rain	High-resolution PBL (Blackadar 1979)
SI	Simple Ice	High-resolution PBL (Blackadar 1979)
MP	Mixed Phase	High-resolution PBL (Blackadar 1979)
GG	Goddard Graupel	High-resolution PBL (Blackadar 1979)
Set B: Test of boundary layer parameterization on the 45-km/15-km/5-km nested grid		
NB	Goddard Graupel	No Flux (No Boundary Layer)
BD	Goddard Graupel	High-resolution PBL (Blackadar 1979)
BT	Goddard Graupel	Burk and Thompson (1989)
MR	Goddard Graupel	MRF PBL (Hong and Pan 1996)