



Aerosol Data Assimilation with the WRF/Chem for air quality analysis and forecast

Zhiquan Liu (liuz@ucar.edu) NCAR/NESL/MMM

NCAR/MMM: Hui-Chuan Lin, Craig Schwartz JCSDA: Quanhua Liu



Outline

- Scientific/Technical background
- Results for a dust storm event over East Asia
 - Only assimilate MODIS AOD
- Results over North America
 - Assimilate both MODIS AOD and AIRNow PM2.5
- Summary and Future work



GOCART and WRF/Chem

• The GOCART aerosol module is available within the WRF/Chem model and produces forecasts for 14 aerosol species:

- •Hydrophobic and hydrophilic organic carbon (OC1, OC2)
- •Hydrophobic and hydrophilic black carbon (BC1, BC2)

•Sulfate

Dust in 5 particle-size bins [dust{1,2,3,4,5]
Sea salt in 4 particle-size bins [seas{1,2,3,4}]

• WRF-Chem "P25" aerosol variable also an analysis variable •P25 is unspeciated aerosols contributing to PM_{2.5}

15 aerosol variables (mass concentration) to be analyzed



MODIS AOD DA: previous work

- Collins et al. (2001), Adhikary et al. (2008), Zhang et al. (2008, NAAPS)
 - Two-step procedure:
 - first use 2D-OI or 2D-VAR to analyze 2D AOD field
 - then adjust 3D aerosol concentration profiles from updated AOD fields.
 - Usually do a scaling in the second step by assuming constant weight of each species to total aerosol mass concentration.
- Benedetti et al. (2009, ECMWF): 4DVAR, but use total aerosol mass as analysis variable



Surface PM DA: previous work

- 1) Lin et al. (2008): Assimilated PM₁₀ over China with an EnKF
- 2) Tombette et al. (2010): Assimilated PM₁₀ over Europe with OI
- Pagowski et al. (2010): Assimilated PM_{2.5} over USA with
 3DVAR (two-step method, NOT same as our 3DVAR)

NOT see previous study to assimilate both AOD and surface PM.



• 3DVAR is to minimize a cost function (in a least square sense) $J(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x - x_b)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{B}^{-1}(x - x_b) + \frac{1}{2}[H(x) - y]^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{R}^{-1}[H(x) - y]$

which measures the weighted distance of the model state x to the model "background" x_b and the observations y.

X are 15 aerosol species mass concentration in 3D space.

X_b the "background" of X, short-term forecast from WRF/Chem.

- Y can be any aerosol-related observations (in our case, MODIS AOD and surface PM2.5).
- H is "observation operator", which transforms the model state to observation space.
- The background error covariance B (having spatial correlation) and observation error covariance R (no spatial correlation).



Observation Operator

- Directly analyze 3D aerosol mass concentration with a one-step procedure of 3DVAR minimization (just like usual meteor. DA)
 - Do NOT apply any assumption about vertical shape and relative weight of individual species.
- Use Community Radiative Transfer Model (CRTM) of Joint Center for Satellite Data Assimilation (JCSDA) as the AOD observation operator, including both forward and Jacobian models to calculate the gradient of cost function.
- Linear formula for model-simulated PM_{2.5} (from WRF-Chem)
 - $PM_{2.5} = \rho[p_{25} + bc_1 + bc_2 + 1.8(oc_1 + oc_2) + dust_1 + 0.286*dust_2 + seas_1 + 0.942*seas_2 + 1.375*sulf]$



Advantages of our 3DVAR approach

- Straightforward to add more AOD data from multisensor/angle products and also other aerosol related observations (e.g., PM10/PM2.5, Lidar ext. profiles).
- Allow simultaneous assimilation of aerosol and meteor. observations (e.g., humidity and hydrophilic aerosols).
 - though NOT for the results shown here



http://modis-atmos.gsfc.nasa.gov/index.html

MODIS Aerosol Products

MOD - Terra

MYD - Aqua

MOD04_L2: MODIS Level 2 Aerosol Product at 10 km spatial resolution MOD08_D3: MODIS Level 3 Daily Atmosphere Gridded (1°X1°) Product MOD08_E3: MODIS Level 3 Eight Day Atmosphere Gridded (1°X1°) Product MOD08_M3: MODIS Level 3 Monthly Atmosphere Gridded (1°X1°) Product

Index of ftp://ladsweb.nascom.nasa.gov /allData/51/MYD04_L2/2010/045/

Collection 51 ←

Up to higher level directory

Name Size	Last Modified	
Acquisition date <u>MYD04_L2A20100450050.051.2010046235939.hdf</u>	2/15/10	7:05:00 PN
YYYDDD <u>MYD04_L2.A2010045.0055.051.2010046235721.hdf</u>	2/15/10	6:59:00 PM
Start time <u>MYD04_L2.A2010045_0100.051.2010047000052.hdf</u>	2/15/10	7:07:00 PN
HHMM <u>MYD04_L2.A2010045.0105.051.2010046235927.hdf</u>	2/15/10	7:05:00 PM
<u>MYD04_L2.A2010045.0110.051.2010047000041.hdf</u>	2/15/10	7:07:00 PN
Collection version <u>MYD04_L2.A2010045.0115.051.2010047000409.hdf</u>	2/15/10	7:12:00 PM
Processing date and time <u>MYD04_L2.A2010045.0120.051.2010046235818.hdf</u>	2/15/10	7:05:00 PM
YYYYDDDHHMMSS MYD04_L2.A2010045.0125.051 2010047000238 hdf	2/15/10	7:07:00 PN

One HDF file consists of 5 min data ("Granule")



137 MODIS swaths: 20100321000008 - 20100321233508



Standard AOD product over ocean & land

Assimilate only 0.55 µm band from both Terra and Aqua.

137 MODIS swaths: 20100321000008 - 20100321233508



"Deep Blue" AOD product over bright land surface







Outline

- Scientific/Technical background
- Results for a dust storm event over East Asia
 - Only assimilate MODIS AOD
- Results over North America
 - Assimilate both MODIS AOD and AIRNow PM2.5
- Summary and Future work

Liu Z. et al., (2011): Three-dimensional variational assimilation of MODIS aerosol optical depth: Implementation and application to a dust storm over East Asia. JGR. In press.



Dust storm affected Nanjing on Mar. 21, 2010



昨天北方沙尘来到南京,使南京蒙上灰蒙蒙的"沙帐"。张筠 摄







East Asia domain



261x222 @27 km 45L with top @50 hPa

Validation observations: 7 AERONET sites CALIPSO AOD

chem_opt=301: GOCART+RACM

Emissions:

Online biogenic RETRO+"Streets" anthropogenic GOCART dust emission

LBC: NCAR CAM-Chem

6-hr cycling DA/FC experiment: 17~24 March, 2010. MET fields updated from GFS. Aerosol fields updated from AOD DA.



L2 MODIS AOD@0.55µm coverage



Data only available at day time (00Z and 06Z), visible band.

purple: dark-surface retrievals from Aqua; gold: dark surface from Terra; blue: deep-blue produced from Aqua.



- "NMC" method was used to compute aerosol background error covariance (B) statistics using WRF-Chem model forecasts (at ooZ and 12Z) in March.
 - Uses differences between 24- and 12-hr forecasts valid at the same time
 - Compute standard deviation, vertical and horizontal lengthscale for 14 GOCART aerosol variables
 - No multivariate correlation



Matrix B: Standard deviation & horizontal length-scale





DUST







20



Column dust vs. MODIS true color image. 2010032103





Verify @550nm at other 6 AERONET sites





Verify vs. AERONET AOD @1640, 1020, 870, 675 nm



air-pollution variation due to the traffic.



Verify vs. CALIPSO AOD



Vertical distribution of AOD

(a)

(b)

(C)

40

80

120

Pixel (South-North)

160

200

240



40

80

120

Pixel (South-North)

160

200

240

2010-03-20 20:00

OBS AOD 532 2010-03-20 19:47:32 - 20:00:17





Surface PM10

000-hr forecast valid 2010031700





Outline

- Scientific/Technical background
- Results for a dust storm event over East Asia
 Only assimilate MODIS AOD
- Results over North America
 - Assimilate both MODIS AOD and AIRNow PM2.5
- Summary and Future work

Schwartz C. et al., (2011): Synergic assimilation of MODIS aerosol optical depth and surface PM2.5 for air quality prediction. In preparation.



North America domain



246x164 @20 km 41L with top @50 hPa

Validation observations: AERONET sites AIRNow PM2.5 sites (also assimilated)

chem_opt=300: GOCART w/o chemistry



Experimental design

- 4 experiments
 - 1) No data assimilation (continuous WRF-Chem forecast)
 - 2) PM_{2.5} DA
 - 3) AOD DA
 - 4) AOD+ $PM_{2.5}$ DA

• Cyclic data assimilation with 6-hr cycles beginning 0000 UTC 01 June, ending 1800 UTC 14 July, 2010. (~45 days)

- PM_{2.5} observations assimilated each cycle, but AOD observations primarily available only at 1800 UTC
- All 1800 UTC analyses initialized 48-hr forecasts
- Meteorological fields updated every 6-hrs from 20-km NAM grids







Aggregated over all 1800 UTC analyses

mean PM2.5 increments for 1800 UTC analyses

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Mean PM_{2 5} increment (μg/m³)

Mean AOD increment

mean AOD increments at 550 nm for 1800 UTC analyses

AERONET verification

•AERONET sites within the domain (*n*=34)

•Model curves: 0-23 hr forecasts each 1800 UTC initialization

Key_Biscayne (25.732N, -80.1633E)

⁴⁸th Oholo Conf., Eilat, 6-10 Nov. 2011

48th Oholo Conf., Eilat, 6-10 Nov. 2011

Maricopa (33.069N, -111.972E)

48th Oholo Conf., Eilat, 6-10 Nov. 2011

Egbert (44.2257N, -79.75E)

48th Oholo Conf., Eilat, 6-10 Nov. 2011

Mean Bias

Summary

- WRF/Chem model generally underestimates aerosol concentration
- 3DVAR method developed here is general, easy to extend for assimilating any kind of aerosol observations as long as corresponding observation operator (as well as TL/AD or Jacobian) available
- Promising results for applications over East Asia and North America
- AOD observations apparently more useful than surface PM data even for surface PM forecast
- Need more careful quality control and data selection/improvement
 e.g., MODIS AOD over western US desert area

Future work

- Assimilate more AOD products and other aerosol observations
 - GOES, AVHRR, SeaWiFS, MISR, future GOES-R/VIIRS ...
 - PM10, Visibility, Lidar ext. coeffs. profiles (both ground- and satellite-based)
- Improve aerosol background error modeling
- Explore direct radiance DA for aerosol analysis
- Develop 4DVAR and EnDA approaches for aerosol analysis
- Extend to general chemical DA
- More applications
 - air-quality, biomass burning, volcanic ash, source inversion, weather-aerosol interaction ...