Post-processing and visualizing MPAS-Atmosphere output

Michael G. Duda NCAR/MMM







UCAR

Now that you've run MPAS-Atmosphere, how can you take a graphical look at the output?

diag.2010-10-23_00.00.00.nc diag.2010-10-23_03.00.00.nc diag.2010-10-23_06.00.00.nc diag.2010-10-23_09.00.00.nc diag.2010-10-23_12.00.00.nc diag.2010-10-23_15.00.00.nc diag.2010-10-23_18.00.00.nc diag.2010-10-23_21.00.00.nc diag.2010-10-24_00.00.00.nc history.2010-10-23_00.00.00.nc history.2010-10-23_06.00.00.nc history.2010-10-23_12.00.00.nc history.2010-10-23_18.00.00.nc history.2010-10-24_00.00.00.nc

```
restart.2010-10-24_00.00.00.nc
```

Above: Typical output files from an MPAS-Atmosphere simulation

- 1. Interpolate to a regular lat-lon grid
- 2. Visualize output directly with NCL or Python



By default, the *diag* files contain:

```
RH, T, height, winds @ 200, 250, 500, 700, 850, 925 hPa
CAPE, CIN, LCL, LFC, updraft helicity
U10, V10, T2, Q2
Simulated radar reflectivity
PMSL
Surface, 1km AGL, 6km AGL winds
(various other 2-d fields)
```

In the "Computing new diagnostics" lecture, we'll say more about the framework for adding new diagnostics to MPAS-A.





By default, the "history" files contain:

q_v, q_c, q_r, ... theta zonal, meridional wind vertical velocity full pressure dry density accumulated rain (cumulus and microphysics) soil moisture, soil temperature (various other fields) **Full mesh information (vertical and horizontal)**

In the "Running MPAS, part 2" talk, we discussed how to modify the set of fields written to model output files using *streams*



UCAR

MPAS stores 2-d horizontal fields in 1-d arrays; 3-d fields are 2-d arrays with the vertical (structured) dimension innermost, e.g.,

qv(nVertLevels,nCells).



Left: Can you spot Hurricane Matthew in the MPAS 'qv' field seen in ncview?

Using 'ncview' directly on MPAS netCDF files doesn't work well...



Interpolating output to a regular lat-lon grid

The 'convert_mpas' tool can quickly interpolate MPAS files to a specified lat-lon grid





Basic usage of 'convert_mpas':

- If just one argument is given, it specifies an MPAS file that has mesh information as well as fields to be interpolated
 - Ex:convert_mpas x1.40962.init.nc
- If more than one argument is given:
 - First argument is used only to obtain mesh information
 - All remaining arguments contain fields to be interpolated
 - Ex:convert_mpas x1.40962.grid.nc diag*nc
 - Ex:convert_mpas history.2017-06-16_00.nc history*nc
- Output file is always called latlon.nc
 - Probably best to remove this file before re-running 'convert_mpas'
- Default output grid is 0.5-degree lat-lon grid



UCAR

The convert_mpas utility

Now we can see Hurricane Matthew in our MPAS output



How can we interpolate to just the region of interest and at higher resolution?



UCAR

A text file named target_domain in your working directory may be used to specify parameters of the lat-lon grid:

```
startlat=10.0
endlat=50.0
startlon=-90.0
endlon=-60
nlat=400
nlon=300
```



A text file named include_fields in your working directory may also be used to list the fields that should be interpolated

Plotting output directly with NCL or Python

To plot fields directly from the native MPAS mesh, try NCL, Python, Matlab, etc.

O O MPAS	× +			
$\epsilon ightarrow$ C' $rac{1}{2}$	🛈 🖴 https://mpas-dev.github.io	🖂 ☆	III\ 🗊 🔹 =	
MPAS Model for Prediction Across Scales	MPAS Atmosphere Public Releases			
IPAS Home Dverview IPAS-Atmosphere IPAS-Albany Land Ice IPAS-Ocean IPAS-Ocean IPAS-Seaice bata Assimilation ublications resentations Download IPAS-Atmosphere download IPAS-Albany Land Ice	MPAS Atmosphere 7.0 was released on 8 June 2019. As of September 2018, official support for MPAS-Atmosphere has migrated from the Google Groups forum to a web forum hosted by NCAR's Mesoscale and Microscale Meteorology. Users are encouraged to post any questions related to building and running MPAS-Atmosphere to the appropriate sub-topic in the MPAS-Atmosphere forum at <u>http://forum.mmm.ucar.edu</u> [phpBB3]. Posting to the forum requires the creation of an account, but no account is needed to browse the forum.			
wnload PAS-Ocean download	MPAS Atmosphere 7.0 release notes MPAS source code download			
MPAS-Seaice download	MPAS-Atmosphere Users' Guide	The MPAS-	Atmosphe	re
Resources License Information	MPAS-Atmosphere tutorial presentations	page contains a collection		
<u>Wiki</u>	MPAS-Atmosphere meshes	example NCL scripts		
<u>Bug Tracker</u> Mailing Lists	Configurations for idealized test cases			
MPAS Developers Guide	Sample input files for real-data simulations	Python examples are coming		
MPAS Mesh Specification Document	Visualization and analysis tools			

MPAS

NCAR

UCAR

for Prediction Across Scales



Example NCL scripts from the MPAS-Atmosphere downloads page





Vertical cross-sections with specified endpoints



Individual grid cells as a color-filled polygons



Voronoi mesh against a map background

NCAR UCAR



Plotting values on cells is also possible



Given *latVertex*, *lonVertex*, *verticesOnCell*, and *nEdgesOnCell*, we can plot each MPAS Voronoi cell as a color-filled polygon

 Overlaying numeric values can be quite helpful in debugging





ICAR

In many limited-area models, finding the nearest grid cell to a given (lat,lon) location is a constant-time operation:

- 1. Using the map projection equations for the model grid projection, compute the real-valued (x,y) coordinates of the (lat,lon) location
- 2. Round the real-valued coordinates to the nearest integer

However, in MPAS, *there is no projection*, and the horizontal cells may be indexed in any order.

 We could just compute the distance from (lat,lon) to every cell center in the mesh and choose the nearest cell, or we could do something more efficient...

Right: Cells in the x1.10242 mesh colored according to their global index





UCAR

One solution would be to use search trees – perhaps a *kd*-tree – to store the cells in a mesh

• O(n log n) setup cost; each search takes O(log n) time, for a mesh with *n* cells

Alternatively, we can make use of the grid connectivity arrays nEdgesOnCell and cellsOnCell to navigate a path of monotonically decreasing distance to the (lat,lon) location

- No setup cost, $O(n^{\frac{1}{2}})$ cost per search (depending on mesh geometry...)
- For repeated searches of "nearby" locations, almost constant cost!

```
\begin{array}{l} C_{nearest} = any \ starting \ cell \\ C_{test} = \ NULL \\ do \ while \ (C_{nearest} \neq C_{test}) \\ C_{test} = \ C_{nearest} \\ d = \ distance \ from \ C_{test} \ to \ (lat, lon) \\ for \ i = 1 \ to \ nEdgesOnCell(C_{test}) \\ k = \ cellsOnCell(i, \ C_{test}) \\ d' = \ distance \ from \ k \ to \ (lat, lon) \\ if \ (d' < d) \\ d = d'; \ C_{nearest} = k \end{array}
```



Left: Path taken from starting cell (blue) to target location (green circle).





Making use of the MPAS mesh representation to more efficiently work with MPAS output

Similar to the problem of nearest grid cell, to scan all cells within a specified radius of a given (lat,lon) location, we could check all cells in the mesh...

... or we could make use of the connectivity arrays.







Making use of the MPAS mesh representation to more efficiently work with MPAS output

Similar to the problem of nearest grid cell, to scan all cells within a specified radius of a given (lat,lon) location, we could check all cells in the mesh...

... or we could make use of the connectivity arrays.





Above: Cells shaded according to the order in which they were visited by a 750-km radius search; dots indicate cells that were considered but found to be at a radius >750 km.





UCAR

Consider the computation of the daily precipitation rate on a variableresolution MPAS mesh:

90N



60N 90N 90S 180 150W 120W 90W 60W 30W 0 30E 60E 90E 120E 150E 18E CONTOUR FROM 0 TO 21 BY 1

Above: An MPAS 60-15 km variable-resolution mesh with refinement over North America

Above: The accumulated total precipitation between 2016-10-14 00 UTC and 2016-10-15 00 UTC on from MPAS with the 'mesoscale_reference' physics suite.

5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

How much can the way in which we compute the daily precipitation rate affect our results?



Taking a simple average of the precipitation rate in all cells gives 3.43 mm/day

```
f1 = addfile("diag.2016-10-14_00.00.00.nc","r")
f2 = addfile("diag.2016-10-15_00.00.00.nc","r")
f1d = (f2->rainc(0,:) + f2->rainnc(0,:)) -
        (f1->rainc(0,:) + f1->rainnc(0,:))
fg = addfile("init.nc","r")
print(sum(f1d * fg->areaCell(:)) / sum(fg->areaCell(:)))
```

Weighting the precipitation rate by cell area gives 2.93 mm/day

In an MPAS simulation with a variable-resolution mesh with a refinement factor of four (e.g., 60-15 km grid distance), the cell area ratio between the largest and smallest cells in the mesh is **<u>16</u>**!

