

The integrated WRF/urban modelling system: development, evaluation, and applications to urban environmental problems

Fei Chen,^a* Hiroyuki Kusaka,^b Robert Bornstein,^c Jason Ching,^{d†} C. S. B. Grimmond,^e Susanne Grossman-Clarke,^f Thomas Loridan,^e Kevin W. Manning,^a Alberto Martilli,^g Shiguang Miao,^h David Sailor,ⁱ Francisco P. Salamanca,^g Haider Taha,^j Mukul Tewari,^a Xuemei Wang,^k Andrzej A. Wyszogrodzki^a and Chaolin Zhang^{h,1}

^a National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, USA

^b Center for Computational Sciences, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan

^c Department of Meteorology, San Jose State University, San Jose, CA, USA

^d National Exposure Research Laboratory, ORD, USEPA, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA

^e Environmental Monitoring and Modelling, Department of Geography, King's College London, London, UK

f Global Institute of Sustainability, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA

^g Center for Research on Energy, Environment and Technology, Madrid, Spain

^h Institute of Urban Meteorology, China Meteorological Administration, Beijing, China

ⁱ Mechanical and Materials Engineering Department, Portland State University, Portland, OR, USA

^j Altostratus Inc., Martinez, CA, USA

^k Department of Environmental Science, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou, China

¹ Department of Earth Sciences, National Natural Science Foundation of China, Beijing, China

ABSTRACT: To bridge the gaps between traditional mesoscale modelling and microscale modelling, the National Center for Atmospheric Research, in collaboration with other agencies and research groups, has developed an integrated urban modelling system coupled to the weather research and forecasting (WRF) model as a community tool to address urban environmental issues. The core of this WRF/urban modelling system consists of the following: (1) three methods with different degrees of freedom to parameterize urban surface processes, ranging from a simple bulk parameterization to a sophisticated multi-layer urban canopy model with an indoor-outdoor exchange sub-model that directly interacts with the atmospheric boundary layer, (2) coupling to fine-scale computational fluid dynamic Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes and Large-Eddy simulation models for transport and dispersion (T&D) applications, (3) procedures to incorporate highresolution urban land use, building morphology, and anthropogenic heating data using the National Urban Database and Access Portal Tool (NUDAPT), and (4) an urbanized high-resolution land data assimilation system. This paper provides an overview of this modelling system; addresses the daunting challenges of initializing the coupled WRF/urban model and of specifying the potentially vast number of parameters required to execute the WRF/urban model; explores the model sensitivity to these urban parameters; and evaluates the ability of WRF/urban to capture urban heat islands, complex boundary-layer structures aloft, and urban plume T&D for several major metropolitan regions. Recent applications of this modelling system illustrate its promising utility, as a regional climate-modelling tool, to investigate impacts of future urbanization on regional meteorological conditions and on air quality under future climate change scenarios. Copyright © 2010 Royal Meteorological Society

KEY WORDS urban modelling; mesoscale modelling; urban environmental issues; WRF urban model

Received 14 October 2009; Revised 22 March 2010; Accepted 28 March 2010

1. Introduction

We describe an international collaborative research and development effort between the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) and partners with regard to a coupled land-surface and urban modelling system for the community weather research and forecasting (WRF) model in this paper. The goal of this collaboration is to develop a cross-scale modelling capability that can be used to address a number of emerging environmental issues in urban areas.

Today's changing climate poses two formidable challenges. On the one hand, the projected climate change by Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)

^{*}Correspondence to: Fei Chen, National Center for Atmospheric Research/RAL, PO Box 3000, Boulder, CO 80307-3000, USA. E-mail: feichen@ucar.edu

[†] The United States Environmental Protection Agency through its Office of Research and Development collaborated in the research described here. It has been subjected to Agency review and approved for publication.

(IPCC Fourth Assessment Report, 2007) may lead to more frequent occurrences of heat waves, severe weather, and floods. On the other hand, the current trend of population increase and urban expansion is expected to continue. For instance, in 2007, half of the world's population lived in cities, and that proportion is projected to be 60% in 2030 (United Nations, 2007). The combined effect of global climate change and rapid urban growth, accompanied with economic and industrial development, will likely make people living in cities more vulnerable to a number of urban environmental problems, including extreme weather and climate conditions, sea-level rise, poor public health and air quality, atmospheric transport of accidental or intentional releases of toxic material, and limited water resources. For instance, Nicholls et al. (2007) suggested that by the 2070s, the total world population exposed to coastal flooding could grow more than threefold to approximately 150 million people due to the combined effects of climate change (sealevel rise and increased storminess), atmospheric subsidence, population growth, and urbanization. The total asset exposure could grow even more dramatically, reaching US\$35000 billion by the 2070s. Zhang et al. (2009) demonstrated that urbanization contributes to a reduction in summer precipitation in Beijing, and that augmenting city green-vegetation coverage would enhance summer rainfall and mitigate the increasing threat of water shortage in Beijing.

It is therefore imperative to understand and project effects of future climate change and urban growth on the above environmental problems and to develop mitigation and adaptation strategies. One valuable tool for this purpose is a cross-scale atmospheric modelling system, which is able to predict/simulate meteorological conditions from regional to building scales and which can be coupled to human-response models. The community WRF model, often executed with a grid spacing of 0.5-1 km, is in a unique position to bridge gaps in traditional mesoscale numerical weather prediction ($\sim 10^5$ m) and microscale transport and dispersion (T&D) modelling $(\sim 10^0 \text{ m})$. One key requirement for urban applications is for WRF to accurately capture influences of cities on wind, temperature, and humidity in the atmospheric boundary layer and their collective influences on the atmospheric mesoscale motions.

Remarkable progress has been made in the last decade to introduce a new generation of urbanization schemes into atmospheric models such as the Fifth-generation Pennsylvania State University–NCAR Mesoscale Model (MM5) (Taha, 1999; Taha and Bornstein, 1999; Dupont *et al.*, 2004; Otte *et al.*, 2004; Liu *et al.*, 2006; Taha 2008a,b), WRF model (Chen *et al.*, 2004), UK Met Office operational mesoscale model (Best, 2005), French Meso-NH (Lemonsu and Masson, 2002) model, and NCAR global climate model (Oleson *et al.*, 2008). Moreover, fine-scale models, such as computational fluid dynamics (CFD) models (Coirier *et al.*, 2005) and fastresponse urban T&D models (Brown, 2004), can explicitly resolve airflows around city buildings. However, these parameterization schemes vary considerably in their degrees of freedom to treat urban processes. An international effort is thus underway to compare these urban models and to evaluate them against site observations (Grimmond *et al.*, 2010). It is, nonetheless, not clear at this stage which degree of complexity of urban modelling should be incorporated in atmospheric models, given that the spatial distribution of urban land-use and building morphology is highly heterogeneous even at urban scales and given the wide range of applications such a model may be used for.

WRF is used for both operations and research in the fields of numerical weather prediction, regional climate, emergency response, air quality (through its companion online chemistry model WRF-Chem, Grell et al., 2005), and regional hydrology and water resources. In WRF-Chem, the computations of meteorology and atmospheric chemistry share the same vertical and horizontal coordinates, surface parameterizations (and hence same urban models), physics parameterization for subgrid-scale transport, vertical mixing schemes, and time steps for transport and vertical mixing. Therefore, our goal is to develop an integrated WRF/urban modelling system to satisfy this wide range of WRF applications. As shown in Figure 1, the core of this system consists of (1) a suite of urban parameterization schemes with varying degrees of complexities; (2) the capability of incorporating in situ and remotely sensed data of urban land-use, building characteristics, anthropogenic heating (AH), and moisture sources; (3) companion fine-scale atmospheric and urbanized land data assimilation systems; and (4) the ability to couple WRF/urban with fine-scale urban T&D models and chemistry models. It is anticipated that, in the future, this modelling system will interact with human-response models and be linked to urban decision systems.

In the next section, we describe the integrated WRF/ urban modelling system. We address the issue of initializing the state variables required to run WRF/urban in Section 3 and the issue of specifying urban parameters and model sensitivity to these parameters in Section 4. Section 5 gives examples of model evaluation and of applying the WRF/urban model to various urbanization problems, and this is followed by a summary in Section 6.

2. Description of the integrated WRF/urban modelling system

2.1. Modelling system overview

The WRF model (Skamarock *et al.*, 2005) is a nonhydrostatic, compressible model with a mass coordinate system. It was designed as a numerical weather prediction model, but can also be applied as a regional climate model. It has a number of options for various physical processes. For example, WRF has a non-local closure planetary boundary-layer (PBL) scheme and a 2.5 level PBL scheme based on the Mellor and Yamada scheme (Janjic, 1994). Among its options for land-surface models

INTEGRATED WRF/URBAN MODELLING SYSTEM

Integrated WRF/Urban Cross-scale Modeling Framework



Figure 1. Overview of the integrated WRF/urban modelling system, which includes urban modelling data-ingestion enhancements in the WRF pre-processor system (WPS), a suite of urban modelling tools in the core physics of WRF V 3.1, and its potential applications.

(LSMs), the community Noah LSM has been widely used (Chen *et al.*, 1996; Chen and Dudhia, 2001; Ek *et al.*, 2003; Leung *et al.*, 2006; Jiang *et al.*, 2008) in weather prediction models; in land data assimilation systems, such as the North America Land Data Assimilation System (Mitchell *et al.*, 2004); and in the community mesoscale MM5 and WRF models.

One basic function of the Noah LSM is to provide surface-sensible and latent heat fluxes and surface skin temperature as lower boundary conditions for coupled atmospheric models. It is based on a diurnally varying Penman potential evaporation approach, a multi-layer soil model, a modestly complex canopy resistance parameterization, surface hydrology, and frozen ground physics (Chen *et al.*, 1996, 1997; Chen and Dudhia, 2001; Ek *et al.*, 2003). Prognostic variables in Noah include liquid water, ice, and temperature in the soil layers; water stored in the vegetation canopy; and snow water equivalent stored on the ground.

Here, we mainly focus the urban modelling efforts on coupling different urban canopy models (UCMs) with Noah in WRF. Such coupling is through the parameter urban percentage (or urban fraction, F_{urb}) that represents the proportion of impervious surfaces in the WRF subgrid scale. For a given WRF grid cell, the Noah model calculates surface fluxes and temperature for vegetated urban areas (trees, parks, etc.) and the UCM provides the fluxes for anthropogenic surfaces. The total grid-scale sensible heat flux, for example, can be estimated as follows:

$$Q_{\rm H} = F_{\rm veg} \times Q_{\rm Hveg} + F_{\rm urb} \times Q_{\rm Hurb} \tag{1}$$

where $Q_{\rm H}$ is the total sensible heat flux from the surface to the WRF model lowest atmospheric layer, $F_{\rm veg}$ is the fractional coverage of natural surfaces, such as grassland, shrubs, crops, and trees in cities, $F_{\rm urb}$ is the fractional coverage of impervious surfaces, such as buildings, roads, and railways. $Q_{\rm Hveg}$ is the sensible heat flux from Noah for natural surfaces, and Q_{Hurb} is the sensible heat flux from the UCM for artificial surfaces. Grid-integrated latent heat flux, upward long wave radiation flux, albedo, and emissivity are estimated in the same way. Surface skin temperature is calculated as the averaged value of the artificial and natural surface temperature values, and is subsequently weighted by their areal coverage.

2.2. Bulk urban parameterization

The WRF V2.0 release in 2003 included a bulk urban parameterization in Noah using the following parameter values to represent zero-order effects of urban surfaces (Liu et al., 2006): (1) roughness length of 0.8 m to represent turbulence generated by roughness elements and drag due to buildings; (2) surface albedo of 0.15 to represent shortwave radiation trapping in urban canyons; (3) volumetric heat capacity of 3.0 J m⁻³ K⁻¹ for urban surfaces (walls, roofs, and roads), assumed as concrete or asphalt; (4) soil thermal conductivity of $3.24 \text{ W} \text{ m}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ to represent the large heat storage in urban buildings and roads; and (5) reduced greenvegetation fraction over urban areas to decrease evaporation. This approach has been successfully employed in real-time weather forecasts (Liu et al., 2006) and to study the impact of urbanization on land-sea breeze circulations (Lo et al., 2007).

2.3. Single-layer urban canopy model

The next level of complexity incorporated uses the single-layer urban canopy model (SLUCM) developed by Kusaka *et al.* (2001) and Kusaka and Kimura (2004). It assumes infinitely-long street canyons parameterized to represent urban geometry, but recognizes the three-dimensional nature of urban surfaces. In a street canyon, shadowing, reflections, and trapping of radiation are considered, and an exponential wind profile is prescribed. Prognostic variables include surface skin temperatures at the roof, wall, and road (calculated from the surface energy budget) and temperature profiles within roof, wall,



Figure 2. A schematic of the SLUCM (on the left-hand side) and the multi-layer BEP models (on the right-hand side).

and road layers (calculated from the thermal conduction equation). Surface-sensible heat fluxes from each facet are calculated using Monin–Obukhov similarity theory and the Jurges formula (Figure 2). The total sensible heat flux from roof, wall, roads, and the urban canyon is passed to the WRF–Noah model as Q_{Hurb} (Section 2.1). The total momentum flux is passed back in a similar way. SLUCM calculates canyon drag coefficient and friction velocity using a similarity stability function for momentum. The total friction velocity is then aggregated from urban and non-urban surfaces and passed to WRF boundary-layer schemes. AH and its diurnal variation are considered by adding them to the sensible heat flux from the urban canopy layer. SLUCM has about 20 parameters, as listed in Table I.

2.4. Multi-layer urban canopy (BEP) and indoor–outdoor exchange (BEM) models

Unlike the SLUCM (embedded within the first model layer), the multi-layer UCM developed by Martilli et al. (2002), called BEP for building effect parameterization, represents the most sophisticated urban modelling in WRF, and it allows a direct interaction with the PBL (Figure 2). BEP recognizes the three-dimensional nature of urban surfaces and the fact that buildings vertically distribute sources and sinks of heat, moisture, and momentum through the whole urban canopy layer, which substantially impacts the thermodynamic structure of the urban roughness sub-layer and hence the lower part of the urban boundary layer. It takes into account effects of vertical (walls) and horizontal (streets and roofs) surfaces on momentum (drag force approach), turbulent kinetic energy (TKE), and potential temperature (Figure 2). The radiation at walls and roads considers shadowing, reflections, and trapping of shortwave and longwave radiation in street canyons. The Noah-BEP model has been coupled with two turbulence schemes: Bougeault and Lacarrère (1989) and Mellor-Yamada-Janjic (Janjic, 1994) in WRF by introducing a source term in the TKE equation within the urban canopy and by modifying turbulent length scales to account for the presence of buildings. As illustrated in Figure 3, BEP is able to simulate some of the most observed features of the urban atmosphere, such as the nocturnal urban heat island (UHI) and the elevated inversion layer above the city.

To take full advantage of BEP, it is necessary to have high vertical resolution close to the ground (to have more than one model level within the urban canopy). Consequently, this approach is more appropriate for research (when computational demands are not a constraint) than for real-time weather forecasts.

In the standard version of BEP (Martilli et al., 2002), the internal temperature of the buildings is kept constant. To improve the estimation of exchanges of energy between the interior of buildings and the outdoor atmosphere, which can be an important component of the urban energy budget, a simple building energy model (BEM; Salamanca and Martilli, 2010) has been developed and linked to BEP. BEM accounts for the (1) diffusion of heat through the walls, roofs, and floors; (2) radiation exchanged through windows; (3) longwave radiation exchanged between indoor surfaces; (4) generation of heat due to occupants and equipment; and (5) air conditioning, ventilation, and heating. Buildings of several floors can be considered, and the evolution of indoor air temperature and moisture can be estimated for each floor. This allows the impact of energy consumption due to air conditioning to be estimated. The coupled BEP + BEM has been tested offline using the Basel UrBan Boundary-Layer Experiment (Rotach et al., 2005) data. Incorporating building energy in BEP + BEM significantly improves sensible heat-flux calculations over using BEP alone (Figure 4). The combined BEP + BEM has been recently implemented in WRF and is currently being tested before its public release in WRF V3.2 in Spring 2010.

2.5. Coupling to fine-scale T&D models

Because WRF can parameterize only aggregated effects of urban processes, it is necessary to couple it with finer-scale models for applications down to building-scale problems. One key requirement for fine-scale T&D modelling is to obtain accurate, high-resolution meteorological conditions to drive T&D models. These are often incomplete and inconsistent due to limited and irregular coverage of meteorological stations within urban areas. To address this limitation, fine-scale buildingresolving models, e.g. Eulerian/semi-Lagrangian fluid solver (EULAG) and CFD–urban, are coupled to WRF

2	7	7
2	1	1

Table I.	Urban canopy	parameters	currently in	WRF fo	or three	urban	land-use	categories:	low-intensity	residential,	high-inter	asity
			resid	lential, a	and ind	ustrial	and com	mercial.				

Parameter	Unit	SI	SLUCM	BEP		
		Low-intensity residential	High-intensity residential	Industrial, commercial		
h (building height)	m	5	7.5	10	Yes	No
$l_{\rm roof}$ (roof width)	m	8.3	9.4	10	Yes	No
<i>l</i> _{road} (road width)	m	8.3	9.4	10	Yes	No
AH	$W m^{-2}$	20	50	90	Yes	No
$F_{\rm urb}$ (urban fraction)	Fraction	0.5	0.9	0.95	Yes	Yes
$C_{\rm R}$ (heat capacity of roof)	$J m^{-3} K^{-1}$	1.0E6	1.0E6	1.0E6	Yes	Yes
$C_{\rm W}$ (heat capacity of building wall)	$J m^{-3} K^{-1}$	1.0E6	1.0E6	1.0E6	Yes	Yes
$C_{\rm G}$ (heat capacity of road)	$J m^{-3} K^{-1}$	1.4E6	1.4E6	1.4E6	Yes	Yes
$\lambda_{\rm R}$ (thermal conductivity of roof)	$J m^{-1} s^{-1} K^{-1}$	0.67	0.67	0.67	Yes	Yes
λ_{W} (thermal conductivity of building wall)	$J m^{-1} s^{-1} K^{-1}$	0.67	0.67	0.67	Yes	Yes
$\lambda_{\rm G}$ (thermal conductivity of road)	$J m^{-1} s^{-1} K^{-1}$	0.4004	0.4004	0.4004	Yes	Yes
$\alpha_{\rm R}$ (surface albedo of roof)	Fraction	0.20	0.20	0.20	Yes	Yes
$\alpha_{\rm W}$ (Surface albedo of building wall)	Fraction	0.20	0.20	0.20	Yes	Yes
$\alpha_{\rm G}$ (surface albedo of road)	Fraction	0.20	0.20	0.20	Yes	Yes
$\varepsilon_{\rm R}$ (surface emissivity of roof)	_	0.90	0.90	0.90	Yes	Yes
$\varepsilon_{\rm W}$ (surface emissivity of building wall)	_	0.90	0.90	0.90	Yes	Yes
$\varepsilon_{\rm G}$ (surface emissivity of road)	-	0.95	0.95	0.95	Yes	Yes
Z_{0R} (roughness length for momentum over roof)	m	0.01	0.01	0.01	Yes ^a	Yes
Z_{0W} (roughness length for momentum over building wall)	m	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	No ^a	No
Z_{0G} (roughness length for momentum over road)	m	0.01	0.01	0.01	No ^a	Yes

Street parameters		Directions from North (degrees)		Directions from North (degrees)		Directions from north (degrees)		No	Yes
		0	90	0	90	0	90		
W (street width)	m	15	15	15	15	15	15		
B (building width)	m	15	15	15	15	15	15		
h (building heights)	m	Height	%	Height	%	Height	%		
		5	50	10	3	5	30		
		10	50	15	7	10	40		
				20	12	15	50		
				25	18				
				30	20				
				35	18				
				40	12				
				45	7				
				50	3				

The last two columns indicate if a specific parameter is used in SLUCM and BEP, and the last three parameters are exclusively used in BEP. ^a For SLUCM, if the Jurges' formulation is selected instead of Monin–Obukhov formulation (a default option in WRF V3.1), Z_{0W} and Z_{0G} are not used.

to investigate the degree to which the (1) use of WRF forecasts for initial and boundary conditions can improve T&D simulations through downscaling and (2) feedback, through upscaling, of explicitly resolved turbulence and wind fields from T&D models can improve WRF forecasts in complex urban environments.

In the coupled WRF-EULAG/CFD-urban models (Figure 5), WRF generates mesoscale (\sim 1 to 10 km)

atmospheric conditions to provide initial and boundary conditions, through downscaling, for microscale (~1 to 10 m) EULAG/CFD-urban simulations. WRF mesoscale simulations are performed usually at 500-m grid spacing. Data from WRF model (i.e. grid structure information, horizontal and vertical velocity components, and thermodynamic fields, such as pressure, temperature, water vapour, as well as turbulence) are saved at appropriate

time intervals (usually each 5-15 min) required by CFD simulations. WRF model grid structure and coordinates are transformed to the CFD model grid before use in the simulations.

The CFD-urban model resolve building structures explicitly by considering different urban aerodynamic features, such as channelling, enhanced vertical mixing, downwash, and street-level flow. These microscale flow features can be aggregated and transferred back, through upscaling, to WRF to increase the accuracy of mesoscale forecasts for urban and downstream regions. The models can be coupled in real time; and data transfer is realized through the model coupling environmental library.

As an example, Tewari et al. (2010) ran the WRF model at a sub-kilometre resolution (0.5 km), and its temporal and spatial meteorological fields were downscaled and used in the unsteady coupling mode to supply initial and time-varying boundary conditions to the CFD-urban model developed by Coirier et al. (2005). Traditionally, most CFD models used for T&D studies are initialized with a single profile of atmospheric sounding data, which does not represent the variability of weather elements within urban areas. This often results in errors in predicting urban plumes. The CFD-urban T&D predictions using the above two methods of initialization were evaluated against the URBAN 2000 field experiment data for Salt Lake City (Allwine et al., 2002). For concentrations of a passive tracer, the WRF-CFD-urban downscaling better produced the observed high-concentration tracer in the northwestern part of the downtown area, largely due to the fact that the turning of lower boundary layer wind to NNW from N is well represented in WRF and the imposed WRF simulated pressure gradient is felt by the CFD-urban calculations (Figure 6). These improved steady-state flow fields result in significantly improved plume transport behaviour and statistics.



Figure 3. Simulated vertical profiles of night-time temperature above a city and a rural site upwind of the city. Results obtained with WRF/BEP for a two-dimensional simulation (from Martilli and Schmitz, 2007).

The NCAR Large-Eddy simulation (LES) model EULAG has been coupled to WRF. EULAG is a multiscale, multi-physics computational model for simulating urban canyon thermodynamic and transport fields across a wide range of scales and physical scenarios (see Prusa et al., 2008, for a review). Since turbulence in the mesoscale model (WRF in our case) is parameterized, there is no direct downscaling of the turbulent quantities (TKE) from WRF to the LES model. The LES model assumes the flow at the boundaries to be laminar (with small-scale random noise added to the mean flow), and the transition zone is preserved between the model boundary and regions where the turbulence develops internally within the LES model domain. Contaminant transport in urban areas is simulated with a passive tracer in time-dependent adaptive mesh geometries (Wyszogrodzki and Smolarkiewicz, 2009). Building structures are explicitly resolved using the immersed boundary approach, where fictitious body forces in the equations of motion represent internal boundaries, effectively imposing no-slip boundary conditions at building walls (Smolarkiewicz et al., 2007). The WRF/EULAG coupling with a downscaling data transfer capability was applied for the daytime intensive observation period (IOP)-6 case during the Joint Urban Oklahoma City 2003 experiment (JU2003; Allwine et al., 2004). With five two-way nested domains, with grid spacing ranging from 0.5 to 40 km, the coupled model was integrated from 1200UTC 16 July 2003 (0700CDT) for a 12-h simulation. WRF was able to reproduce the observed horizontal wind and temperature fields near the surface and in the boundary layer reasonably well. The macroscopic features of EULAG-simulated flow compare well with measurements. Figure 7 shows EULAG-generated nearsurface wind and dispersion of the passive scalar from the first release of IOP-6, starting at 0900 CDT.

3. Challenges in initializing the WRF/urban model system

Executing the coupled WRF/urban modelling system raises two challenges: (1) initialization of the detailed spatial distribution of UCM state variables, such as temperature profiles within wall, roofs, and roads and (2) specification of a potentially vast number of parameters related to building characteristics, thermal properties, emissivity, albedo, AH, and so on. The former issue is discussed in this section and the latter in Section 4.

High-resolution routine observations of wall/roof/road temperature are rarely available to initialize the WRF/ urban model, which usually covers a large domain (e.g. $\sim 10^6 \text{ km}^2$) and may include urban areas with a typical size of $\sim 10^2 \text{ km}^2$. Nevertheless, to a large extent, this initialization problem is analogous to that of initializing soil moisture and temperature in a coupled atmospheric–LSM. One approach is to use observed rainfall, satellite-derived surface solar insolation, and meteorological analyses to drive an uncoupled (offline) integration



Figure 4. Kinematic sensible heat fluxes: measured (solid line); computed offline with BEP + BEM and air conditioning working 24-h a day (ucp-bemac); with BEP + BEM and air conditioning working only from 0800 to 2000 LST (ucp-bemac*); with BEP + BEM, but without air conditioning (ucp-bem); and with the old version BEP. Results are at 18 m for a 3-day period during the Basel UrBan Boundary-Layer Experiment campaign (from Salamanca and Martilli, 2010).



Figure 5. Schematic representation of the coupling between the mesoscale WRF and the fine-scale urban T&D EULAG model.



Figure 6. Contours are the density of SF6 tracer gas (in parts per thousand) 60 min after the third release, simulated by CFD-urban using: (a) single sounding observed at the Raging Waters site and (b) WRF 12-h forecast. Dots represent observed density (in same scale as in scale bar) at sites throughout the downtown area of Salt Lake City (from Tewari *et al.*, 2010).

of an LSM, so that the evolution of the modelled soil state can be constrained by observed forcing conditions. The North-American Land Data Assimilation System (Mitchell *et al.*, 2004) and the NCAR high-resolution land data assimilation system (HRLDAS; Chen *et al.*, 2007) are two examples that employ this method. In particular, HRLDAS was designed to provide consistent land-surface input fields for WRF nested domains and is flexible enough to use a wide variety of satellite, radar,

model, and *in situ* data to develop an equilibrium soil state. The soil state spin-up may take up to several years and thus cannot be reasonably handled within the computationally expensive WRF framework (Chen *et al.*, 2007).

Therefore, the approach adopted is to urbanize highresolution land data assimilation system (u-HRLDAS) by running the coupled Noah/urban model in an offline mode to provide initial soil moisture, soil temperature, snow, vegetation, and wall/road/roof temperature profiles. As an example, a set of experiments with the u-HRLDAS using Noah/SLUCM was performed for the Houston region. Similar to Chen et al. (2007), an 18-month u-HRLDAS simulation was considered long enough for the modelling system to reach an equilibrium state, and the temperature difference ΔT between this 18-month simulation and other simulations with shorter simulation period (e.g. 6 months, 2 months, etc.) is used to investigate the spinup of SLUCM. The time required for SLUCM state variables to reach a quasi-equilibrium state ($\Delta T < 1$ K) is short (less than a week) for roof and wall temperature (Figure 8), but longer (~ 2 months) for road temperature, due to the larger thickness and thermal capacity of roads. However, this spin-up is considerably shorter than that for natural surfaces (up to several years; Chen et al., 2007). Results also show that the spun-up temperatures of roofs, walls, and roads are different (by ~ 1 to 2 K) and exhibit strong horizontal heterogeneity in different urban land-use and buildings. Using a uniform temperature to initialize WRF/urban does not capture such urban variability.

4. Challenges in specifying parameters for urban models

4.1. Land-use-based approach, gridded data set, and National Urban Database and Access Portal Tool

Using UCMs in WRF requires users to specify at least 20 urban canopy parameters (UCPs) (Table I). A combination of remote-sensing and *in situ* data can be used for this purpose owing to recent progress in developing UCP data sets (Burian *et al.*, 2004; Feddema *et al.*, 2006; Taha, 2008b; Ching *et al.*, 2009). While the availability of these data is growing, data sets are currently limited to a few geographical locations. High-resolution data sets on global bases comprising the full



Figure 7. Dispersion footprint for IOP6 0900 CDT release from source located at Botanical Gardens (near Sheridan & Robinson avenues, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma) calculated with WRF/EULAG.

suite of UCPs simply do not exist. In anticipation of increased database coverage, we employ three methods to specify UCPs in WRF/urban: (1) urban land-use maps and urban-parameter tables, (2) gridded high-resolution UCP data sets, and (3) a mixture of the above.

For many urban regions, high-resolution urban landuse maps, derived from in situ surveying (e.g. urban planning data) and remote-sensing data (e.g. Landsat 30-m images), are readily available. We currently use the USGS National Land Cover Data classification with three urban land-use categories: (1) low-intensity residential, with a mixture of constructed materials and vegetation (30-80% covered with constructed materials), (2) high-intensity residential, with highly developed areas such as apartment complexes and row houses (usually 80-100% covered with constructed materials), and (3) commercial/industrial/transportation including infrastructure (e.g. roads and railroads). An example of the spatial distribution of urban land-use for Houston is given in Figure 9. Once the type of urban land-use is defined for each WRF model grid, urban morphological and thermal parameters can be assigned using the urban parameters in Table I. Although this approach may not provide the most accurate UCP values, it captures some degree of their spatial heterogeneity, given the limited-input landuse-type data.

The second approach, to directly incorporate gridded UCPs into WRF, was tested in the context of the National Urban Database and Access Portal Tool (NUDAPT) project (Ching et al., 2009). NUDAPT was developed to provide the requisite gridded sets of UCPs for urbanized WRF and other advanced urban meteorological, air quality, and climate-modelling systems. These UCPs account for the aggregated effect of sub-grid building and vegetation morphology on grid-scale properties of the thermodynamics and flow fields in the layer between the surface and the top of the urban canopy. High definition (1-5 m) three-dimensional data sets of individual buildings, conglomerates of buildings, and vegetation in urban areas are now available, based on airborne lidar systems or photogrammetric techniques, to provide the basis for these UCPs (Burian et al., 2004, 2006, 2007). Each cell can have a unique combination of UCPs. Currently, NUDAPT hosts data sets (originally acquired by the National Geospatial Agency) for more than 40 cities in the United States, with different degrees of coverage and completeness for each city. In the future, it is anticipated that high-resolution building data will become available for other cities. With this important core-design feature, and by using web portal technology, NUDAPT can serve as the database infrastructure for the modelling community to facilitate customizing of data handling and retrievals (http://www.nudapt.org) for such future data sets and applications in WRF and other models.

4.2. Incorporating AH sources

The scope of NUDAPT is to provide ancillary information, including gridded albedo, vegetation coverage,



Figure 8. Noah/SLUCM simulated differences in fourth-layer road temperature (K), valid at 1200 UTC, 23 August 2006 for Houston, Texas, between the control simulation with 20-month spin-up time and a sensitivity simulation with: (a) 6-month, (b) 2-month, (c) 1-month, and (d) 14-day spin-up times.

population data, and AH for various urban applications ranging from climate to human exposure modelling studies. Taha (1999), Taha and Ching (2007), and Miao *et al.* (2009a) demonstrated that the intensity of the UHI is greatly influenced by the introduction of AH, probably the most difficult data to obtain. If AH is not treated as a dynamic variable (Section 2.4), then it is better to treat it as a parameter rather than to ignore it.

Anthropogenic emissions of sensible heat arise from buildings, industry/manufacturing, and vehicles, and can be estimated either through inventory approaches or through direct modelling. In the former approach (Sailor and Lu, 2004), aggregated consumption data are typically gathered for an entire city or utility service territory, often at monthly or annual resolution, and then must be mapped onto suitable spatial and temporal profiles. Waste heat emissions from industrial sectors can be obtained at the state or regional level [from sources such as the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), 2006], but it is difficult to assess the characteristics of these facilities that would enable estimation of diurnal (sensible and latent) anthropogenic flux emission profiles.

Regarding the transportation sector, the combustion of gasoline and diesel fuel produces sensible waste heat and water vapour. Since the network of roadways is well established, the transportation sector lends itself to geospatial modelling that can estimate diurnal profiles of sensible and latent heating from vehicles, as illustrated by Sailor and Lu (2004). A more sophisticated method incorporating mobile source emissions modelling techniques is from the air quality research community.

Existing whole-building-energy models can estimate both the magnitude and timing of energy consumption (Section 2.4). The physical characteristics of buildings, with details of the mechanical equipment and building internal loads (lighting, plug loads, and occupancy), can be used to estimate hourly energy usage, and hence to produce estimates of sensible and latent heat emissions from the building envelope and from the mechanical heating, cooling, and ventilation equipment. Correctly estimating AH relies on building size and type data spatially explicit for a city. Such geospatial data are commonly available for most large cities and can readily be combined with output from simulations of representative prototypical buildings (Heiple and Sailor, 2008). Recently, the US Department of Energy and the National Renewable Energy Research Laboratory created a database of prototypical commercial buildings representing the entire building stock across the United States (Torcellini et al., 2008). This database provides a unique opportunity to combine detailed building energy simulation with Geographical Information System data to create a US-wide resource to estimate AH emissions from the building sector at high spatial and temporal resolutions.

Gridded fields of AH from NUDAPT (Ching *et al.*, 2009), based on methodologies described in Sailor and Lu (2004) and Sailor and Hart (2006), provide a good example of a single product, combining waste heat from all sectors, that can be ingested into WRF/urban. Inclusion of hourly gridded values of AH, along with the BEM indoor–outdoor model in WRF/urban, should provide an improved base to conduct UHI mitigation studies and simulations for urban planning.



Figure 9. Land use and land cover in the Greater Houston area, Texas, based on 30-m Landsat from the NLCD 1992 data.

4.3. Model sensitivity to uncertainty in UCPs

A high level of uncertainty in the specification of UCP values is inherent to the methodology of aggregating fine-scale heterogeneous UCPs to the WRF modelling grid, particularly to the table-based approach. It is critical to understand impacts from such uncertainty on model behaviour. Loridan *et al.* (2010) developed a systematic and objective model response analysis procedure by coupling the offline version of SLUCM with the Multi-objective Shuffled Complex Evolution Metropolis (MOSCEM) optimization algorithm of Vrugt *et al.* (2003). This enables direct assessment of how a change in a parameter value impacts the modelling of the surface energy balance (SEB).

For each UCPs in Table I, upper and lower limits are specified. MOSCEM is set to randomly sample the entire parameter space, iteratively run SLUCM, and identify values that minimize the root mean square error (RMSE) of SEB fluxes relative to observations. The algorithm stops when it identifies parameter values leading to an optimum compromise in the performance of modelled fluxes. As an example, Figure 10 presents the optimum values selected by MOSCEM for roof albedo



Figure 10. Optimum roof albedo values (α_r) identified by MOSCEM, when considering the RMSE (W m⁻²) for Q^* and Q_H with forcing and evaluation data from Marseille.

 (α_r) when using forcing and evaluation data from a measurement campaign in Marseille (Grimmond *et al.*, 2004; Lemonsu *et al.*, 2004). The algorithm is set to minimize the RMSE for net all-wave radiation (Q^*) and turbulent sensible heat flux (Q_H) (two objectives) using



Figure 11. The diurnal variation of: (a) temperature (°C), as observed (obs), modelled 2-m air temperature (*t*2) and within the canyon (T2C), modelled aggregated land surface (TSK), and facet temperatures for roof (TR), wall (TB) and ground (TG); (b) observed (obs) and modelled 10-m wind speed (wsp) and simulated wind speed within the urban canyon in m s⁻¹; and (c) observed (obs) and modelled (q2) 2-m specific humidity (g kg⁻¹). Variables were averaged over high-density urban area stations for Beijing (from Miao *et al.*, 2009a).

100 samples. The optimum state identified represents a clear trade-off between the two fluxes, as decreasing the value of α_r improves modelled Q^* (lower RMSE) but downgrades modelled Q_H (higher RMSE). Identification of all parameters leading to such trade-offs is of primary importance to understand how the model simulates the SEB, and consequently how default table parameter values should be set.

This model-response-analysis procedure also provides a powerful tool to identify the most influential UCPs, i.e. by linking the best possible improvement in RMSE for each flux to corresponding parameter value changes; all inputs can be ranked in terms of their impact on the modelled SEB. A complete analysis of the model response for the site of Marseille is presented in Loridan et al. 2010. Results show that for a dense European city like Marseille, the correct estimation of roof-related parameters is of critical importance, with albedo and conductivity values being particularly influential. On the other hand, the impact of road characteristics appears to be limited, suggesting that a higher degree of uncertainty in their estimation would not significantly degrade the modelling of the SEB. This procedure, repeated for a variety of sites with distinct urban characteristics (i.e. with contrasting levels of urbanization, urban morphology, and climatic conditions) can provide useful guidelines for prioritizing efforts to obtain urban land-use characteristics for WRF.

5. Evaluation of the WRF/Urban model and its recent applications

The coupled WRF/urban model has been applied to major metropolitan regions (e.g. Beijing, Guangzhou/Hong Kong, Houston, New York City, Salt Lake City, Taipei, and Tokyo), and its performance was evaluated against surface observations, atmospheric soundings, wind profiler data, and precipitation data (Chen *et al.*, 2004; Holt and Pullen, 2007; Jiang *et al.*, 2008; Lin *et al.*, 2008; Miao and Chen, 2008; Kusaka *et al.*, 2009; Miao *et al.*, 2009a,b; Wang *et al.*, 2009; Tewari *et al.*, 2010).

For instance, Figure 11 shows a comparison of observed and WRF/SLUCM simulated diurnal variation of 2-m temperature, surface temperatures, 10-m wind speed, and 2-m specific humidity averaged over highdensity urban stations in Beijing. Among the urban surface temperatures, urban ground surface temperature has the largest diurnal amplitude, while wall surface temperature has the smallest diurnal range, reflecting the differences in their thermal conductivities and heat capacities. Results show the coupled WRF/Noah/SLUCM modelling system is able to reproduce the following observed features reasonably well (Miao and Chen, 2008; Miao et al., 2009a): (1) diurnal variation of UHI intensity; (2) spatial distribution of the UHI in Beijing; (3) diurnal variation of wind speed and direction, and interactions between mountain-valley circulations and the UHI; (4) smallscale boundary-layer horizontal convective rolls and cells; and (5) nocturnal boundary-layer low-level jet.

Similarly, Lin *et al.* (2008) showed that using the WRF/Noah/SLUCM model significantly improved the simulation of the UHI, boundary-layer development, and land–sea breeze in northern Taiwan, when compared to observations obtained from weather stations and lidar. Their sensitivity tests indicate that AH plays an important role in boundary-layer development and UHI intensity in the Taipei area, especially during night-time and early morning. For example, when AH was increased by 100 Wm^{-2} , the average surface temperature increased nearly



Figure 12. Monthly mean surface air temperature at 2 m in Tokyo area at 0500 JST in August averaged for 2004–2007: (a) AMeDAS observations, (b) from WRF/Slab model, and (c) from WRF/SLUCM (from Kusaka *et al.*, 2009).

0.3-1 °C in Taipei. Moreover, the intensification of the UHI associated with recent urban expansion enhances the daytime sea breeze and weakens the night-time land breeze, substantially modifying the air pollution transport in northern Taiwan.

The WRF/urban model was used as a high-resolution regional climate model to assess the uncertainty in the simulated summer UHI of Tokyo for four consecutive years (Figure 12). When the simple slab model is used in WRF, the heat island of Tokyo and of the urban area in the inland northwestern part of the plain is not reproduced at all. When the WRF/Noah/SLUCM is used, however, a strong nocturnal UHI is seen and warm areas are well reproduced.

One important goal for developing the integrated WRF/urban modelling system is to apply it to understand the effects of urban expansion, so we can use such knowledge to predict and assess impacts of urbanization and future climate change on our living environments and risks. For instance, the Pearl River Delta (PRD) and Yangtze River Delta (YRD) regions, China, have experienced a rapid, if not the most rapid in the world, economic development and urbanization in the past two decades. These city clusters, centred around mega cities such as Hong Kong, Guangzhou, and Shanghai (Figure 13), have resulted in a deterioration in air quality for these regions (Wang *et al.*, 2007).

In a recent study by Wang *et al.* (2009), the online WRF Chemistry (WRF-Chem) model, coupled with Noah/SLUCM and biogenic-emission models, was used to explore the influence of such urban expansion. Month-long (March 2001) simulations using two land-use scenarios (pre-urbanization and current) indicate that urbanization: (1) increases daily mean 2-m air temperature by about 1 °C, (2) decreases 10-m wind speeds for both day-time (by 3.0 m s⁻¹) and night-time (by 0.5–2 m s⁻¹), and (3) increases boundary-layer depths for daytime (more than 200 m) and night-time (50–100 m) periods. Changes in meteorological conditions result in an increase in surface ozone concentrations by about 4.7-8.5% for night-time and about 2.9-4.2% for day-time (Figure 14). Furthermore, despite the fact that both the PRD and the YRD have similar degrees of urbanization in the last decade, and that both are located in coastal zones, urbanization has different effects on the surface ozone for the PRD and the YRD, presumably due to their differences in urbanization characteristics, topography, and emission source strength and distribution.

The WRF-Chem model coupled with UCMs is equally useful to project, for instance, air quality change in cities under future climate change scenarios. For example, the impact of future urbanization on surface ozone in Houston under the future IPCC A1B scenario for 2051-2053 (Jiang *et al.*, 2008) shows generally a 2°C increase in surface air temperature due to the combined change in climate and urbanization. In this example, the projected 62% increase in urban areas exerted more influence than attributable to climate change alone. The combined effect of the two factors on O₃ concentrations can be up to 6.2 ppbv. The Jiang *et al.* (2008) sensitivity experiments revealed that future change in anthropogenic emissions produces the same order of O₃ change as that induced by climate and urbanization.

6. Summary and conclusions

An international collaborative effort has been underway since 2003 to develop an integrated, cross-scale urban modelling capability for the community WRF model. The goal is not only to improve WRF weather forecasts for cities, and thereby to improve air quality prediction, but also to establish a modelling tool for assessing the impacts of urbanization on environmental problems by providing accurate meteorological information for planning mitigation and adaptation strategies in a changing climate. The central distinction between our efforts and other atmosphere-urban coupling work is the availability of multiple choices of models to represent the effects of urban environments on local and regional weather and the cross-scale modelling ability (ranging from continental, to city, and to building scales) in the WRF/urban model. These currently include the following: (1) a suite of urban parameterization schemes with varying degrees



Figure 13. Urban land-use change in the PRD and YRD regions, China, marked in red from pre-urbanization (1992–1993) and current (2004): (a) WRF-Chem domain with 12-km grid spacing; (b) 1992–1993 USGS data for PRD, (c) 2004 MODIS data for YRD, (d) 1992–1993 USGS data for PRD, and (e) 2004 MODIS data for YRD (from Wang *et al.*, 2009).



Figure 14. Difference in surface ozone (in ppbv) and relative 10-m wind vectors: (a) daytime and (b) night-time (from Wang et al., 2009).

of complexities, (2) a capability of incorporating *in situ* and remote-sensing data of urban land use, building characteristics, and AH and moisture sources, (3) companion fine-scale atmospheric and urbanized land data assimilation systems, and (4) the ability to couple WRF/urban to fine-scale urban T&D models and chemistry models.

Inclusion of three urban parameterization schemes (i.e. bulk parameterization, SLUCM, and BEP) provides users

with options for treating urban surface processes. Parallel to an international effort to evaluate 30 urban models, executed in offline one-dimensional mode, against site observations (Grimmond *et al.*, 2010), work is underway within our group to evaluate three WRF urban models in a coupled mode against surface and boundarylayer observations from the Texas Air Quality Study 2000 (TexAQS2000) field program in the greater Houston area, Central California Ozone Study (CCOS2000), and Southern California Ozone Study (SCOS1997). The choice of specific applications dictates careful selection of different sets of science options and available databases. For instance, the bulk parameterization and SLUCM may be more suitable for real-time weather and air quality forecasts than the resource-demanding BEP. On the other hand, studying, for instance, the impact of air conditioning on the atmosphere and in developing an adaptation strategy for planning the use of air conditioning in less-developed countries in the context of intensified heat waves projected by IPCC, needs to invoke the more sophisticated BEP coupled with the BEM indoor–outdoor exchange model.

Initializing UCM state variables is a difficult problem, which has not yet received much attention in the urban modelling community. Although in its early stage of development (largely due to lack of appropriate data for its evaluation), u-HRLDAS may provide better initial conditions for the state variables required by UCMs than the current solution that assigns a uniform temperature profile for model grid points across a city. Similarly, specification of approximately 20 UCPs will remain a challenge, due to the large disparity in data availability and methodology for mapping fine-scale, highly variable data for the WRF modelling grid. Currently the WRF pre-processor System (WPS) is able to ingest the following: (1) high-resolution urban land-use maps and to then assign UCPs based on a parameter table and (2) gridded UCPs, such as those from NUDAPT (Ching et al., 2009). It would be useful to blend these two methods whenever gridded UCPs are available. Bringing optimization algorithms together with UCMs and observations, as recently demonstrated by Loridan et al. (2010), is a useful methodology to identify a set of UCPs to which the performance of the UCM is most sensitive, and to eventually define optimized values for those UCPs for a specific city.

Among these UCPs, AH has emerged as the most difficult parameter to obtain. Methods to estimate AH from buildings, industry/manufacturing, and transportation sectors have been developed (Sailor and Lu, 2004; Sailor and Hart, 2006; Torcellini *et al.*, 2008). Although data regarding the temporal and spatial distribution of waste heat emissions from industry, buildings, and vehicle combustion do exist for most cities, obtaining and processing these data are far from automated tasks. Nevertheless, the data currently available for major US cities in NUDAPT provide examples of combining all AH sources to create a single, hourly input for the WRF/urban model.

Evaluations and applications of this newly developed WRF/urban modelling system have demonstrated its utility in studying air quality and regional climate. Preliminary results that verify the performance of WRF/UCM for several major cities are encouraging (Chen *et al.*, 2004; Holt and Pullen, 2007; Lin *et al.*, 2008; Miao and Chen, 2008; Kusaka *et al.*, 2009; Miao *et al.*, 2009a,b; Wang *et al.*, 2009; Tewari *et al.*, 2010). They show that the model is generally able to capture influences of urban processes on near-surface meteorological conditions and on the evolution of atmospheric boundary-layer structures in cities. More importantly, recent studies (Jiang *et al.*, 2008; Wang *et al.*, 2009; Tewari *et al.*, 2010) have demonstrated the promising value of employing this model to investigate urban and street-level plume T&D and air quality, and to predict impacts of urbanization on our living environments and for risks in the context of global climate change.

While this WRF/urban model has been released (WRF V3.1, April 2009), except for the BEM model that is in the final stages of testing, much work still remains to be done. We continue to further improve the UCMs, explore new methods of blending various data sources to enhance the specification UCPs, increase the coverage of high-resolution data sets, particularly enhancing AH and moisture inputs, and link this physical modelling system with, for instance, human-response models and decision support systems.

Acknowledgements

This effort was supported by the US Air Force Weather Agency, NCAR FY07 Director Opportunity Fund, Defense Threat Reduction Agency Coastal-urban project, and National Science Foundation (Grants 0710631 and 0410103). This work was also supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 40875076, U0833001, 40505002, and 40775015). Computer time was provided by NSF MRI Grant CNS-0421498, NSF MRI Grant CNS-0420873, NSF MRI Grant CNS-0420985, the University of Colorado, and a grant from the IBM Shared University Research programme. The National Center for Atmospheric Research is sponsored by the National Science Foundation.

References

- Allwine KJ, Shinn JH, Streit GE, Clawson KL, Brown M. 2002. Overview of URBAN 2000: a multiscale field study of dispersion through an urban environment. *American Meteorological Society* 83: 521–536.
- Allwine KJ, Leach MJ, Stockham LW, Shinn JS, Hosker RP, Bowers JF, Pace JC. 2004. Overview of Joint Urban 2003: an atmospheric dispersion study in Oklahoma City. *AMS Symposium on planning, nowcasting and forecasting in urban zone (on CD)*, American Meteorological Society: Seattle, WA.
- Best MJ. 2005. Representing urban areas within operational numerical weather prediction models. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology* 114: 91–109.
- Bougeault P, Lacarrère P. 1989. Parameterization of orographyinduced turbulence in a mesobeta-scale model. *Monthly Weather Review* **117**: 1872–1890.
- Brown MJ. 2004. Urban dispersion challenges for fast response modeling. Preprints, *Fifth Conference on Urban Environment*, Vancouver, BC, Canada, American Meteorological Society, J5.1 [Available online at http://ams.confex.com/ams/AFAPURBBIO/techprogram/ paper_80330.htm].
- Burian SJ, Brown MJ, Augustus N. 2007. Development and assessment of the second generation National Building Statistics database. *Seventh Symposium on the Urban Environment*, San Diego, CA, 10–13 September, American Meteorological Society: Boston, MA, Paper 5.4.
- Burian SJ, Stetson SW, Han W, Ching J, Byun D. 2004. Highresolution dataset of urban canopy parameters for Houston, Texas. Preprint proceedings, *Fifth Symposium on the Urban Environment*,

Vancouver, BC, Canada, 23–26 August, American Meteorological Society: Boston, MA, 9.

- Burian S, Brown M, McPherson TN, Hartman J, Han W, Jeyachandran I, Rush J. 2006. Emerging urban databases for meteorological and dispersion. *Sixth Symposium on the Urban Environment*, Atlanta, GA, 28 January–2 February, American Meteorological Society: Boston, MA, Paper 5.2.
- Chen F, Mitchell K, Schaake J, Xue Y, Pan H-L, Koren V, Duan QY, Ek M, Betts A. 1996. Modeling of land-surface evaporation by four schemes and comparison with FIFE observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 101: 7251–7268.
- Chen F, Dudhia J. 2001. Coupling an advanced land-surface/hydrology model with the Penn State/NCAR MM5 modeling system. Part I: model implementation and sensitivity. *Monthly Weather Review* **129**: 569–585.
- Chen F, Janjic Z, Mitchell K. 1997. Impact of atmospheric surface layer parameterization in the new land-surface scheme of the NCEP mesoscale Eta numerical model. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology* 185: 391–421.
- Chen F, Kusaka H, Tewari M, Bao J-W, Harakuchi H. 2004. Utilizing the coupled WRF/LSM/urban modeling system with detailed urban classification to simulate the urban heat island phenomena over the Greater Houston area. Preprints, *Fifth Symposium on the Urban Environment*, Vancouver, BC, Canada, American Meteorological Society, 9–11 [Available online at http://ams.confex.com/ams.pdfpapers/79765.pdf].
- Chen F, Manning KW, LeMone MA, Trier SB, Alfieri JG, Roberts R, Tewari M, Niyogi D, Horst TW, Oncley SP, Basara JB, Blanken PD. 2007. Evaluation of the characteristics of the NCAR high-resolution land data assimilation system. *Journal of Applied Meteorology* 46: 694–713.
- Ching J, Brown M, McPherson T, Burian S, Chen F, Cionco R, Hanna A, Hultgren T, Sailor D, Taha H, Williams D. 2009. National Urban Database and Access Portal Tool, NUDAPT. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* **90**(8): 1157–1168.
- Coirier WJ, Fricker DM, Furmanczyk M, Kim S. 2005. A computational fluid dynamics approach for urban area transport and dispersion modeling. *Environmental Fluid Mechanics* 5: 443–479.
- Dupont S, Otte TL, Ching JKS. 2004. Simulation of meteorological fields within and above urban and rural canopies with a mesoscale model (MM5). *Boundary-Layer Meteorology* 113: 111–158.
- Ek MB, Mitchell KE, Lin Y, Rogers E, Grunmann P, Koren V, Gayno G, Tarpley JD. 2003. Implementation of Noah land surface model advances in the National Center for Environmental Prediction operational mesoscale Eta model. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **108**(D22): 8851, DOI: 10.1029/2002JD003296.
- Feddema J, Oleson K, Bonan G. 2006. Developing a global database for the CLM urban model, *Sixth Symposium on the Urban Environment*, 86th AMS Annual Meeting, Atlanta, GA, 1 February.
- FERC. 2006. Form 714 Annual Electric Control and Planning Area Report Data, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission [Available online at http://www.ferc.gov/docs-filing/eforms/form-714/data.asp].
- Grell GA, Peckham SE, Schmitz R, McKeen SA, Frost G, Skamarock WC, Eder B. 2005. Fully coupled online chemistry within the WRF model. *Atmospheric Environment* 39: 6957–6975.
- Grimmond CSB, Blackett M, Best MJ, Barlow J, Baik J-J, Belcher SE, Bohnenstengel SI, Calmet I, Chen F, Dandou A, Fortuniak K, Gouvea ML, Hamdi R, Hendry M, Kawai T, Kawamoto Y, Kondo H, Krayenhoff ES, Lee S-H, Loridan T, Martilli A, Masson V, Miao S, Oleson K, Pigeon G, Porson A, Ryu Y-H, Salamanca F, Shashua-Bar L, Steeneveld G-J, Tombrou M, Voogt J, Young D, Zhang N. 2010. The International Urban Energy Balance Models Comparison Project: first results from Phase 1. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology (in press).
- Grimmond CSB, Salmond JA, Oke TR, Offerle B, Lemonsu A. 2004. Flux and turbulence measurements at a densely built-up site in Marseille: heat, mass (water and carbon dioxide), and momentum. *Journal of Geophysical Research, Atmospheres* **109**(D24): 101–119, DOI: 10.1029/2004JD004936.
- Heiple SC, Sailor DJ. 2008. Using building energy simulation and geospatial modeling techniques to determine high resolution building sector energy consumption profiles. *Energy and Buildings* 40: 1426–1436.
- Holt T, Pullen J. 2007. Urban canopy modeling of the New York city metropolitan area: a comparison and validation of singleand multilayer parameterizations. *Monthly Weather Review* 135: 1906–1930.
- IPCC Fourth Assessment Report: Climate Change. 2007. http://www. wmo.ch/pages/partners/ipcc/index_en.html.

- Janjic ZI. 1994. The step-mountain eta coordinate: further development of the convection, viscous sublayer, and turbulent closure schemes. *Monthly Weather Review* **122**: 927–945.
- Jiang XY, Wiedinmyer C, Chen F, Yang ZL, Lo JCF. 2008. Predicted impacts of climate and land-use change on surface ozone in the Houston, Texas, area. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 113: D20312, DOI: 10.1029/2008JD009820.
- Kusaka H, Kimura F. 2004. Coupling a single-layer urban canopy model with a simple atmospheric model: impact on urban heat island simulation for an idealized case. *Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan* 82: 67–80.
- Kusaka H, Kondo H, Kikegawa Y, Kimura F. 2001. A simple singlelayer urban canopy model for atmospheric models: comparison with multi-layer and slab models. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology* **101**: 329–358.
- Kusaka H, Chen F, Tewari M, Duda M, Dudhia J, Miya Y, Akimoto Y. 2009. Performance of the WRF model as a high resolution regional climate model: model intercomparison study. *Proceedings* of *ICUC-7* (in CD-ROM).
- Lemonsu A, Grimmond CSB, Masson V. 2004. Modelling the surface energy balance of the core of an old mediterranean city: Marseille. *Journal of Applied Meteorology* **43**: 312–327.
- Lemonsu A, Masson V. 2002. Simulation of a summer urban Breeze over Paris. Boundary-Layer Meteorology 104: 463–490.
- Leung LR, Kuo Y-H, Tribbia J. 2006. Research needs and directions of regional climate modeling using WRF and CCSM. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society* 87: 1747–1751.
- Lin C-Y, Chen F, Huang JC, Chen W-C, Liou Y-A, Chen W-N, Liu S-C. 2008. Urban Heat Island effect and its impact on boundary layer development and land-sea circulation over northern Taiwan. *Atmospheric Environment* 42: 5635–5649, DOI: 10.1016.
- Liu Y, Chen F, Warner T, Basara J. 2006. Verification of a mesoscale data-assimilation and forecasting system for the Oklahoma city area during the Joint Urban 2003 Field Project. *Journal of Applied Meteorology* **45**: 912–929.
- Lo JCF, Lau AKH, Chen F, Fung JCH, Leung KKM. 2007. Urban modification in a mesoscale model and the effects on the local circulation in the Pearl River Delta Region. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*. **46**: 457–476.
- Loridan T, Grimmond CSB, Grossman-Clarke S, Chen F, Tewari M, Manning K, Martilli A, Kusaka H, Best M. 2010. Trade-offs and responsiveness of the single-layer urban parameterization in WRF: an offline evaluation using the MOSCEM optimization algorithm and field observations. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society* 136: 997–1019.
- Martilli A, Clappier A, Rotach MW. 2002. An urban surface exchange parameterization for mesoscale models. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology* **104**: 261–304.
- Martilli A, Schmitz R. 2007. Implementation of an urban canopy parameterization in WRF-chem. Preliminary results. Seventh Symposium on the Urban Environment of the American Meteorological Society, San Diego, USA, 10–13 September.
- Miao S, Chen F. 2008. Formation of horizontal convective rolls in urban areas. *Atmospheric Research* **89**(3): 298–304.
- Miao S, Chen F, LeMone MA, Tewari M, Li Q, Wang Y. 2009a. An observational and modeling study of characteristics of urban heat island and boundary layer structures in Beijing. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology* **48**(3): 484–501.
- Miao S, Chen F, Li Q, Fan S. 2009b. Impacts of urbanization on a summer heavy rainfall in Beijing, *The seventh International Conference on Urban Climate: Proceeding*, 29 June-3 July 2009, Yokohama, Japan, B12-1.
- Mitchell KE, Lohmann D, Houser PR, Wood EF, Schaake JC, Robock A, Cosgrove BA, Sheffield J, Duan Q, Luo L, Wayne Higgins R, Pinker RT, Dan Tarpley J, Lettenmaier DP, Marshall CH, Entin JK, Pan M, Shi W, Koren V, Meng J, Ramsay BH, Bailey AA. 2004. The multi-institution North American Land Data Assimilation System (NLDAS): utilizing multiple GCIP products and partners in a continental distributed hydrological modeling system. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 109: D07S90, DOI: 10.1029/2003JD003823.
- Nicholls RJ, Hanson S, Herweijer C, Patmore N, Hallegatte S, Corfee-Morlot J, Chiteau J, Muir-Wood R. 2007. Screening Study: Ranking Port Cities with High Exposure and Vulnerability to Climate Extremes: Interim Analysis: Exposure Estimates. OECD [Available online at www.oecd.org/env/workingpapers].
- Otte TL, Lacser A, Dupont S, Ching JKS. 2004. Implementation of an urban canopy parameterization in a mesoscale meteorological model. *Journal of Applied Meteorology* **43**: 1648–1665.

- Oleson KW, Bonan GB, Feddema J, Vertenstein M, Grimmond CSB. 2008. An urban parameterization for a global climate model: 1. Formulation & evaluation for two cities. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology* **47**: 1038–1060, DOI: 10.1175/2007JAMC1597.1.
- Prusa JM, Smolarkiewicz PK, Wyszogrodzki AA. 2008. EULAG, a computational model for multiscale flows. *Computers and Fluids* 37: 1193–1207.
- Rotach MW, Vogt R, Bernhofer C, Batchvarova E, Christen A, Clappier A, Feddersen B, Gryning S-E, Martucci G, Mayer H, Mitev V, Oke TR, Parlow E, Richner H, Roth M, Roulet Y-A, Ruffieux D, Salmond JA, Schatzmann M, Voogt JA. 2005. BUBBLE an Urban Boundary Layer Meteorology Project. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology* 81: 231–261, DOI: 10.1007/s00704-004-0117-9.
- Sailor DJ, Hart M. 2006. An anthropogenic heating database for major U.S. cities, *Sixth Symposium on the Urban Environment*, Atlanta, GA, 28 January–2 February, American Meteorological Society: Boston, MA, Paper 5.6.
- Sailor DJ, Lu L. 2004. A top-down methodology for developing diurnal and seasonal anthropogenic heating profiles for urban areas. *Atmospheric Environment* 38: 2737–2748.
- Salamanca F, Martilli A. 2010. A new building energy model coupled with an urban canopy parameterization for urban climate simulations – part II. Validation with one dimension off-line simulations. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology* **99**: 345–356, DOI 10.1007/s00704-009-0143-8.
- Skamarock WC, Klemp JB, Dudhia J, Gill DO, Barker DM, Wang W, Powers JG. 2005. A description of the Advanced Research WRF version 2. NCAR Technical Note TN-468+STR, 88 [Available from NCAR, P. O. Box 3000, Boulder, CO 80307].
- Smolarkiewicz PK, Sharman R, Weil J, Perry SG, Heist D, Bowker G. 2007. Building resolving large-eddy simulations and comparison with wind tunnel experiments. *Journal of Computational Physics* 227: 633–653.
- Taha H. 1999. Modifying a mesoscale meteorological model to better incorporate urban heat storage: a bulk-parameterization approach. *Journal of Applied Meteorology* 38: 466–473.
- Taha H. 2008a. Urban surface modification as a potential ozone air-quality improvement strategy in California: a mesoscale modeling study. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology* **127**(2): 219–239, DOI:10.1007/s10546-007-9259-5.
- Taha H. 2008b. Meso-urban meteorological and photochemical modeling of heat island mitigation. *Atmospheric Environment* **42**: 8795–8809, DOI:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.06.036.

- Taha H, Bornstein R. 1999. Urbanization of meteorological models: implications on simulated heat islands and air quality. *International Congress of Biometeorology and International Conference on Urban Climatology (ICB-ICUC) Conference*, Sydney, Australia, 8–12 November 1999.
- Taha H, Ching JKS. 2007. UCP/MM5 Modeling in conjunction with NUDAPT: model requirements, updates, and applications. *Seventh Symposium on the Urban Environment*, San Diego, CA, 10–13 September, American Meteorological Society: Boston, MA, Paper 6.4.
- Tewari M, Kusaka H, Chen F, Coirier WJ, Kim S, Wyszogrodzki A, Warner TT. 2010. Impact of coupling a microscale computational fluid dynamics model with a mesoscale model on urban scale contaminant transport and dispersion. *Atmospheric Research* **96**: 656–664.
- Torcellini P, Deru M, Griffith B, Benne K, Halverson M, Winiarski D, Crawley DB. 2008. DOE Commercial Building Benchmark Models, 15.
- United Nations. 2007. World Urbanization Prospects: The 2007 Revision [Available online at http://esa.un.org/unup].
- Vrugt JA, Gupta HV, Bastidas LA, Bouten W. 2003. Effective and efficient algorithm for multiobjective optimization of hydrological models. *Water Resources Research* 39: 1214, DOI:10.1029/2002WR001746.
- Wang XM, Lin WS, Yang LM, Deng RR, Lin H. 2007. A numerical study of influences of urban land-use change on ozone distribution over the Pearl River Delta Region, China. *Tellus* 59B: 633–641.
- Wang X, Chen F, Wu Z, Zhang M, Tewari M, Guenther A, Wiedinmyer C. 2009. Impacts of weather conditions modified by urban expansion on surface ozone: comparison between the Pearl River Delta and Yangtze River Delta regions. *Advances in Atmospheric Sciences.* 26: 962–972.
- Wyszogrodzki AA, Smolarkiewicz PK. 2009. Building resolving largeeddy simulations (LES) with EULAG. Academy Colloquium on Immersed Boundary Methods: Current Status and Future Research Directions, 15–17 June 2009, Academy Building, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- Zhang CL, Chen F, Miao SG, Li QC, Xia XA, Xuan CY. 2009. Impacts of urban expansion and future green planting on summer precipitation in the Beijing metropolitan area. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 114: D02116, DOI:10.1029/2008JD010328.