Evaluating simulated microphysics using GPM satellite observations in the Pacific Northwest

Robert Conrick and Cliff Mass University of Washington

Photo: olympex.atmos.washington.edu ; Funding: NSF AGS-1349847

OLYMPEX Campaign

- Winter 2015-2016, Olympic Peninsula of WA
- Assets included 3 aircraft, several radars, satellite (GPM), additional radiosondes, dropsondes, rain gauges, and parsivels.
- Observations on windward and leeward slopes,⁴⁷ including radar coverage.



WRF Configuration



- The University of Washington WRF used WRF v.3.7.1 during OLYMPEX
- 38 vertical levels
- 36-12-4-1.33 km configuration
- Thompson MP, YSU PBL, RRTMG radiation,
- <u>Grell-Freitas Cu</u> scheme (on 36-12-4 km domains)
- GFS IC/BC, 36-km grid nudging.
- Using 0000 UTC daily runs between 01 November 2015 and 01 February 2016

How were synoptic forecasts during OLYMPEX?



- UW WRF vs. UIL sondes
- Low-level integrated vapor transport (IVT) was well forecast during OLYMPEX.

• UW WRF: WRFv3.7.1; Thompson MP; YSU PBL

How were synoptic forecasts during OLYMPEX?

• Even the melting level height was very well forecast.



If synoptic forecasts were accurate, how good were precipitation forecasts?



Precipitation Errors during OLYMPEX



- Nov. 2015 Feb. 2016
- UW WRF (Thompson MP)
 - Error = Forecast Observations
- Coastal underprediction.
- General overprediction elsewhere, including the OR Cascades.

The GPM Satellite



GPM Satellite Analysis

- 12 'good' overpasses during OLYMPEX
 - Precipitating over or near the Peninsula.



- Next slides use daily mean data.
- Two instruments:
 - GMI: Mixing ratios, rain rates
 - DPR: Reflectivity, rain rates



GPM Mixing Ratios

- **Blue** = WRF ; **Black** = GPM
- Good water vapor prediction.
- Underprediction of high <u>cloud water</u> and high <u>rain rates</u>.



Connecting cloud and rain water errors...

- Comparing WRF to GPM:
 - Strong relationship between <u>underpredicted cloud water</u> and <u>underpredicted rainfall</u>.



Let's look aloft...

- Underprediction of <u>cloud water</u> and <u>rain water</u> in the lower atmosphere.
 - Similar magnitude.
- Snow overpredicted in WRF, consistent with *years* of literature.



In different environments...

- Pre- and post-frontal environments generally have <u>lowest</u> errors in cloud/rain water.
- Largest errors during warm sector at <u>lowest levels</u>.



DPR Reflectivity: Evidence of snow overprediction?



- Reflectivity over land greater than water.
 → Terrain enhancement?
- WRF refl. Much greater than DPR above 2 km.
 → Snow
- Below 2 km, WRF refl is underpredicted.
 → Rain

Conclusions

- Synoptic forecasts are accurate over the PNW using the UW WRF.
- Precipitation is <u>underpredicted</u> along the Pacific Coast and has been for a <u>long</u> time.
 Not unique to UW WRF.
 - Also not unique to Thompson MP.
- From GPM observations:
 - Related underprediction of cloud and rain water, especially in warm sector. Is the snow overprediction related?
 - Evidence of snow overprediction / rain underprediction in reflectivity profiles.
- Testing an autoconversion fix thanks to Greg Thompson.

More info: Conrick, R. and C.F. Mass, 2019: <u>Evaluating Simulated Microphysics during OLYMPEX Using GPM Satellite Observations.</u> J. Atmos. Sci., **76**, 1093–1105, https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-18-0271.1

Extra: Wind and Qvapor

• Low-level wind and water vapor content (IVT constituents) were also in good agreement with observations.

