



Understanding the role of topography on the diurnal cycle of precipitation in the Maritime Continent during MJO propagation

Haochen Tan¹, Pallav Ray¹, Bradford Barrett², Jimy Dudhia³, Mitchell Moncrieff³, Lei Zhang⁴, David Zermeno Diaz⁵

> Joint WRF/MPAS Users' Workshop 2022 June 9th

¹ Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, FL 32901, USA

- ² US Naval Academy, Annapolis, MD, USA
- ³ National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, USA
- ⁴ University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA
- ⁵ National Autonomous University of Mexico, Mexico City, Mexico
- doi: 10.1007/s00382-021-06085-0

Outline

- Background
- Objectives
- Model and simulation design
- Results
 - Impact of topography on precipitation and its diurnal cycle
 - \checkmark Physical processes of atmospheric moisture as a function of elevations
 - Diurnal variation of moisture from a budget perspective as a function of elevations
- Summary and conclusions

Maritime Continent



Summary

Madden-Julian Oscillation

Average MJO cloud and wind patterns



- Primary mode in intraseasonal scale
- 40-50% of precipitation in the warm pool comes from MJO
- Propagation speed: 5m/s

- Difficulties in simulating precipitation and its diurnal cycle in NWP model over MC
 ✓ Model physics, coarse grid spacing can not represent complex topography
- High resolution models have shown that inadequate grid spacing and model physics may cause inaccurate representation of precipitation and its diurnal cycle
- Cloud-permitting simulations (4 km)
- Quantify the physical processes that control precipitation as a function of elevations
- Investigate the diurnal variation of moisture from a budget perspective

- April 2009 was chosen (a typical strong MJO event) in spring season.
- 1 domain, 2145 x 921 grid points with 4 km grid spacing. 41 vertical levels
- IC&BC: ERA-Interim reanalysis, 0.25°x0.25°, 6-hourly
- Obs: NOAA CPC MORPHing technique (CMORPH), 8km res, 30mins

| Experiment | Description |
|---------------|--|
| Control (CTL) | 4 km, without cumulus parameterization |
| Flat (FLAT) | 4 km, 2-m unified flat land |



Indian

Ocean

0

RMM1

-2

-1

-3

-4

3

Result

Summary



| Precipitation | Obs | CTL | FLAT | FLAT-CTL |
|---------------|-----|------|------|-----------------------|
| МС | 5.0 | 4.0 | 3.8 | - 0.2 (- 5%) |
| All Land | 8.2 | 9.8 | 8.2 | - 1.6 (- 16%) |
| All Ocean | 4.5 | 2.8 | 2.7 | -0.1 (-4%) |
| Sumatra | 8.0 | 9.2 | 7.7 | - 1.5 (- 16%) |
| Borneo | 8.8 | 10.1 | 8.0 | - 2.1 (- 21%) |
| New Guinea | 9.3 | 10.4 | 8.4 | - 1.7 (- 16 %) |

- Model underestimates over the ocean but overestimate over the land
- Overestimation due to boundary layer transfer and convective lifiting-condesationprecipitation are likely not well reproduced
- Precipitation weakens over land in FLAT mostly due to sea breeze fronts are not lifted by topography
- 16% decrease in precipitation due to topography



10 12

- Phase in precipitation can be improved by higher model resolution
- Less precipitation in diurnal cycle without topography

3500

3000

1000

500

-80

3500

3000

1000

500

0

3500

3000

2500 E 2000 H 1500

1000

500

0

-80

-60

-20

Ó

Precipitation Difference (mm/day)

20

40

60

80

-40

-80

(e) 1200 LST



80

Result

(a) 0000 LST (c) 0600 LST (d) 0900 LST (b) 0300 LST 3500 3500 3500 3000 3000 3000 2500 E 2000 H 1500 원 (표) 2000 1500 2500 Ê 2000 J 면 1500 1000 1000 1000 500 500 500 -40 -20 0 20 40 60 Precipitation Difference (mm/day) -40 -20 0 20 40 60 Precipitation Difference (mm/day) -40 -20 Ó 20 -40 -20 0 20 40 60 Precipitation Difference (mm/day) -80 -60 80 -80 -60 -60 40 60 80 -80 -60 80 Precipitation Difference (mm/day)





Moisture Budget:



Advection

(VADV)

q: specific humidity, v_h: horizontal wind ω: vertical velocity, E: Evaporation, P: Precipitation; **R: Residual** <>: vertical integration

Advection

(HADV)

- **Precip, Local Tendency, Evaporation, HADV, VADV**, Residual
- Precipitation in CTL and FLAT is mostly ۰ balanced by HADV and VADV
- Timing of peak precipitation in FLAT lags of ٠ CTL by an hour
- Peak of HADV in CTL shifts from 1600 LST to ۰ 1900 LST in FLAT





- Precip, Local Tendency, Evaporation, HADV, VADV, Residual
- MJO propagation (from easterlies to westerlies)
- Diurnal cycle is stronger in easterlies than westerlies. Peak precipitation reduces about 30%
- VADV almost follows the difference of precipitation in both easterlies and westerlies



The diurnal cycle of moisture budget terms (> 1000 m topo)

- Precip, Local Tendency, Evaporation, HADV, VADV, Residual
- Precipitation decreases less (8%)
- Other terms almost retain their characteristics in diurnal cycle during change of background winds
- Timing of VADV maxima shifted from 1300 LST during easterlies to 1800 LST during westerlies.

Schematic diagram of impact of topography on HADV (red arrow) and VADV (blue arrow)

With Topography (a) 1200 LST







- Topography plays a significant role in the timing, intensity, and location of precipitation and its diurnal cycle over land in MC
- 33% decrease in precipitation at 1200 LST, 24% at 1500 LST, and 9% at 1800 LST without topography
- VADV and HADV are influenced by topography. On higher topography area, the removal of topography can induce 50% less precipitation
- The background winds have a larger impact on the precipitation over low topography areas than over elevated areas.

 Provides a contribution to the understanding of the upscale evolution of cumulus into mesoscale convective systems affects the DCP, and might have some implications for the parameterization of organized convection in global climate models



- Paleoclimate aspect
- Limitations: one MJO event; short simulation period; lack of air-sea coupling





