# **WRF Physics Options** Jimy Dudhia

# WRF Physics

- Turbulence/Diffusion (diff\_opt, km\_opt)
- Radiation
  - Longwave (ra\_lw\_physics)
  - Shortwave (ra\_sw\_physics)
- Surface
  - Surface layer (sf\_sfclay\_physics)
  - Land/water surface (sf\_surface\_physics)
- PBL (bl\_physics)
- Cumulus parameterization (cu\_physics)
- Microphysics (mp\_physics)


# Turbulence/Diffusion

Sub-grid eddy mixing effects on all fields, e.g.  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} K_h \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \theta + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} K_h \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \theta + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} K_v \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \theta$ 

# diff\_opt=1


- ◆ 2<sup>nd</sup> order diffusion on model levels
  - Constant vertical coefficient (kvdif) or use with PBL
  - For theta, only perturbation from base state is diffused
- km\_opt selects method to compute K
  - 1: constant (khdif and kvdif used)
  - 4: 2D Smagorinsky (deformation based on horizontal wind for horizontal diffusion only)

# Difference between diff\_opt 1 and 2



diff\_opt=1
Horizontal diffusion acts along model levels
Simpler numerical method with only neighboring
points on the same model level

# Difference between diff\_opt 1 and 2



diff\_opt=2
Horizontal diffusion acts along model levels
Numerical method includes vertical correction term
using more grid points

# diff\_opt=2

- 2<sup>nd</sup> order horizontal diffusion
- Allows for terrain-following coordinate
- km\_opt selects method to compute K
  - 1: constant (khdif and kvdif used)
  - 2: 1.5-order TKE prediction
  - 3: Smagorinsky (deformation/stability based K)
  - 4: 2D Smagorinsky (deformation based on horizontal wind for horizontal diffusion only)

# diff\_opt=2 (continued)

- mix\_full\_fields=.true.: vertical diffusion acts on full (not perturbation) fields (recommended, but default = .false.)
- mix\_isotropic=1: same length scale used for horizontal and vertical diffusion (for dx≈dz)
- ◆ Idealized constant surface fluxes can be added in diff\_opt=2 using namelist (dynamics section). Not available for diff\_opt=1.
  - tke\_drag\_coefficient (C<sub>D</sub>)
  - tke\_heat\_flux (=H/ρc<sub>p</sub>)
  - Must use isfflx=0 to use these switches

# diff\_opt=2 (continued)

- Explicit large-eddy simulation (LES) PBL in real-data cases (V3) or idealized cases
  - bl\_pbl\_physics = 0
    - isfflx = 0 (idealized drag and heat flux from namelist)
    - isfflx = 1 (drag and heat flux from physics)
      - sf\_sfclay\_physics=1
      - sf\_surface\_physics (choose non-zero option)
    - isfflx = 2 (drag from physics, heat flux from tke\_heat\_flux)
      - sf\_sfclay\_physics=1
  - km\_opt = 2 or 3
  - mix\_isotropic=1 (if dx and dz are of same order)
- ♦ Not available for diff\_opt=1.

# Diffusion Option Choice

- Real-data case with PBL physics on
  - Best is diff\_opt=1, km\_opt=4
  - This complements vertical diffusion done by PBL scheme
- ♦ High-resolution real-data cases (~100 m grid)
  - No PBL
  - diff\_opt=2; km\_opt=2,3 (tke or Smagorinsky scheme)
- idealized cloud-resolving modeling (smooth or no topography)
  - diff\_opt=2; km\_opt=2,3
- Complex topography with no PBL scheme
  - diff\_opt=2 is more accurate for sloped coordinate surfaces, and prevents diffusion up/down valley sides
- Note: WRF can run with no diffusion (diff\_opt=0)

# diff\_6th\_opt

- 6<sup>th</sup> order optional added horizontal diffusion on model levels
  - Used as a numerical filter for 2\*dx noise
  - Suitable for idealized and real-data cases
  - Affects all advected variables including scalars
- diff\_6th\_opt
  - 0: none (default)
  - 1: on (can produce negative water)
  - 2: on and prohibit up-gradient diffusion (better for water conservation)
- diff\_6th\_factor
  - Non-dimensional strength (typical value 0.12, 1.0 corresponds to complete removal of 2\*dx wave in a timestep)

# damp\_opt=1

- Upper level diffusive layer
- Enhanced horizontal diffusion at top
- Also enhanced vertical diffusion at top for diff\_opt=2
- Cosine function of height
- Uses additional parameters
  - zdamp: depth of damping layer
  - dampcoef: nondimensional maximum magnitude of damping
- Works for idealized cases and real-data since
   2.2 release

# damp\_opt=2

- Upper level relaxation towards 1-d profile
- Rayleigh (relaxation) layer
- Cosine function of height
- Uses additional parameters
  - zdamp: depth of damping layer
  - dampcoef: inverse time scale (s<sup>-1</sup>)
- Works for idealized cases only

# damp\_opt=3


- "W-Rayleigh" (relaxation) layer
- Upper level relaxation towards zero vertical motion
- Cosine function of height
- Uses additional parameters
  - zdamp: depth of damping layer
  - dampcoef: inverse time scale (s<sup>-1</sup>)
- Works for idealized and real-data cases
- Applied in small time-steps (dampcoef=0.2 is stable)

# Radiation

Atmospheric temperature tendency

Surface radiative fluxes

#### Illustration of Free Atmosphere Radiation Processes



# ra\_lw\_physics=1

#### **RRTM** scheme

- Spectral scheme
- K-distribution
- Look-up table fit to accurate calculations
- ◆ Interacts with clouds (1/0 fraction)
- Ozone profile specified
- CO2 constant (well-mixed)

# ra\_lw\_physics=3

#### CAM3 scheme

- Spectral scheme
- 8 longwave bands
- Look-up table fit to accurate calculations
- Interacts with clouds
- Can interact with trace gases and aerosols
- Ozone profile function of month, latitude
- CO2 changes based on year (new in V3.1)

# ra\_lw\_physics=4

RRTMG longwave scheme (New in V3.1)

- Spectral scheme
- ◆ 16 longwave bands (K-distribution)
- Look-up table fit to accurate calculations
- Interacts with clouds (MCICA method)
- Can interact with trace gases and aerosols
- Ozone profile specified
- CO2 and trace gases specified

# ra\_lw\_physics=99

#### GFDL longwave scheme

- used in Eta/NMM
- Default code is used with Ferrier microphysics
  - Remove #define to compile for use without Ferrier
- Spectral scheme from global model
- Also uses tables
- Interacts with clouds (cloud fraction)
- Ozone profile based on season, latitude
- CO2 fixed

# ra\_sw\_physics=1

#### MM5 shortwave (Dudhia)

- Simple downward calculation
- Clear-sky scattering
  - swrad\_scat tuning parameter
    - 1.0 = 10% scattered, 0.5=5%, etc.
  - WRF-Chem aerosol effect (PM2.5)
- Water vapor absorption
- Cloud albedo and absorption
- No ozone effect (model top below 50 hPa OK)
- Surface slope and shading switches (slope\_rad, topo\_shading)

# ra\_sw\_physics=2

#### Goddard shortwave

- Spectral method
- Interacts with clouds
- Ozone profile (tropical, summer/winter, mid-lat, polar)
- CO2 fixed
- WRF-Chem optical thicknesses

# ra\_sw\_physics=3

#### CAM3 shortwave

- Spectral method (19 bands)
- Interacts with clouds
- Ozone/CO2 profile as in CAM longwave
- Can interact with aerosols and trace gases
- Note: CAM schemes need some extra namelist items (see README.namelist)

# ra\_sw\_physics=4

RRTMG shortwave (New in V3.1)

- Spectral method (14 bands)
- Interacts with clouds (MCICA method)
- Ozone/CO2 profile as in RRTMG longwave
- Can interact with aerosols
- Trace gases specified

# ra\_sw\_physics=99

#### **GFDL** shortwave

- Used in Eta/NMM model
- Default code is used with Ferrier microphysics (see GFDL longwave)
- Ozone/CO2 profile as in GFDL longwave
- Interacts with clouds (and cloud fraction)

### radt

#### Radiation time-step recommendation

- Radiation is too expensive to call every step
- Frequency should resolve cloud-cover changes with time
- radt=1 minute per km grid size is about right (e.g. radt=10 for dx=10 km)
- Each domain can have its own value but recommend using same value on all 2-way nests

NMM only

# nrads/nradl

#### Radiation time-step recommendation


- Number of fundamental steps per radiation call
- Operational setting should be 3600/dt
- Higher resolution could be used, e.g. 1800/dt
- Recommend same value for all nested domains

### Surface schemes

Surface layer of atmosphere diagnostics (exchange/transfer coeffs)

Land Surface: Soil temperature /moisture /snow prediction /seaice temperature

# **Surface Physics Components**



## Surface Fluxes

Heat, moisture and momentum

$$H = \rho c_p u_* \theta_* \qquad E = \rho u_* q_* \qquad \tau = \rho u_* u_*$$

$$u_* = \frac{kV_r}{\ln(z_r / z_0) - \psi_m} \qquad \theta_* = \frac{k\Delta\theta}{\ln(z_r / z_{0h}) - \psi_h} \qquad q_* = \frac{k\Delta q}{\ln(z_r / z_{0q}) - \psi_h}$$

Subscript r is reference level (lowest model level, or 2 m or 10 m)  $z_0$  are the roughness lengths

# Roughness Lengths

- Roughness lengths are a measure of the "initial" length scale of surface eddies, and generally differ for velocity and scalars
- Roughness length depends on land-use type
- Some schemes use smaller roughness length for heat than for momentum
- For water points roughness length is a function of surface wind speed

# **Exchange Coefficient**

 $\bullet$   $C_{hs}$  is the exchange coefficient for heat, defined such that

$$H = \rho c_p C_{hs} \Delta \theta$$

It is related to the roughness length and u\* by

$$C_{hs} = \frac{ku_*}{\ln\left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right) - \psi_h}$$

# sf\_sfclay\_physics=1

#### Monin-Obukhov similarity theory

- **◆**Taken from standard relations used in MM5 MRF PBL
- Provides exchange coefficients to surface (land) scheme
- Should be used with bl\_pbl\_physics=1 or 99

# sf\_sfclay\_physics=2

Monin-Obukhov similarity theory

- Modifications due to Janjic
- Taken from standard relations used in NMM model, including Zilitinkevich thermal roughness length
- Should be used with bl\_pbl\_physics=2

NMM only

sf\_sfclay\_physics=3

GFS Monin-Obukhov similarity theory

- For use with NMM-LSM
- Should be used with bl\_pbl\_physics=3

# sf\_sfclay\_physics=4

- QNSE Monin-Obukhov similarity theory (New in V3.1)
- For use with QNSE-PBL
- Should be used with bl\_pbl\_physics=4
- Very similar to MYJ SFC
- New stability functions

## sf\_sfclay\_physics=5

MYNN Monin-Obukhov similarity theory (New in V3.1)


- For use with MYNN-PBL
- Should be used with bl\_pbl\_physics=5

# sf\_sfclay\_physics=7

Pleim-Xiu surface layer (EPA)

- For use with PX LSM and ACM PBL
  - Should be used with sf\_surface\_physics=7 and bl\_pbl\_physics=7
- New in Version 3

#### **Illustration of Surface Processes**



# sf\_surface\_physics=1

- 5-layer thermal diffusion model from MM5
- Predict ground temp and soil temps
- Thermal properties depend on land use
- No effect for water (Version 3 has ocean mixed-layer model for hurricane applications)
- No soil moisture or snow-cover prediction
- Moisture availability based on land-use only
- Provides heat and moisture fluxes for PBL
- May be available for NMM in Version 3

### sf\_surface\_physics=2

Noah Land Surface Model (Unified ARW/NMM version in Version 3)

- Vegetation effects included
- Predicts soil temperature and soil moisture in four layers and diagnoses skin temperature
- Predicts snow cover and canopy moisture
- Handles fractional snow cover and frozen soil
- New time-varying snow albedo (in V3.1)
- Provides heat and moisture fluxes for PBL
- Noah has 2 Urban Canopy Model options (sf\_urban\_physics, ARW only)

### sf\_urban\_physics=1

Urban Canopy Model (UCM, Kusaka et al.)

- Sub-grid wall, roof, and road effects on radiation and fluxes
- Anthropogenic heat source can be specified
- Can use low, medium and high density urban categories

### sf\_urban\_physics=2

Building Environment Parameterization (BEP, Martilli et al.)

- Sub-grid wall, roof, and road effects on radiation and fluxes
- Can be used with MYJ PBL or BouLac PBL to represent buildings higher than lowest model levels (Multi-layer urban model)
- Needs additional sub-grid building fractional area information

### sf\_surface\_physics=3

RUC Land Surface Model (Smirnova)

- Vegetation effects included
- Predicts soil temperature and soil moisture in six layers
- Multi-layer snow model
- Provides heat and moisture fluxes for PBL

### sf\_surface\_physics=7

Pleim-Xiu Land Surface Model (EPA)

- New in Version 3
- Vegetation effects included
- Predicts soil temperature and soil moisture in two layers
- Simple snow-cover model
- Provides heat and moisture fluxes for PBL

### LANDUSE.TBL

Text (ASCII) file that has land-use properties for slab model (vegetation, urban, water, etc.)

- From Version 3.1 Noah LSM does not use this table
- 24 USGS categories or 20 MODIS categories (new) from 30" global dataset
- Each type is assigned summer/winter value
  - Albedo
  - Emissivity
  - Roughness length
- Other table properties (thermal inertia, moisture availability, snow albedo effect) are used by 5-layer model
- Also note
  - Other tables (VEGPARM.TBL, etc.) are used by Noah
  - RUC LSM uses same table files after Version 3

### Initializing LSMs

- Noah and RUC LSM require additional fields for initialization
  - Soil temperature
  - Soil moisture
  - Snow liquid equivalent
- These are in the Grib files, but are not from observations
- They come from "offline" models driven by observations (rainfall, radiation, surface temperature, humidity wind)

### Initializing LSMs

- There are consistent model-derived datasets for Noah and RUC LSMs
  - Eta/GFS/AGRMET/NNRP for Noah (although some have limited soil levels available)
  - RUC for RUC
- But, resolution of mesoscale land-use means there will be inconsistency in elevation, soil type and vegetation
- This leads to spin-up as adjustments occur in soil temperature and moisture
- This spin-up can only be avoided by running offline model on the same grid (e.g. HRLDAS for Noah)
- Cycling land state between forecasts also helps, but may propagate errors (e.g in rainfall effect on soil moisture)

### sst\_update=1

Reads lower boundary file periodically to update the sea-surface temperature (otherwise it is fixed with time)

- For long-period simulations (a week or more)
- wrflowinp\_d0n created by real
- Sea-ice can be updated since Version 3.0
- Vegetation fraction update is included
  - Allows seasonal change in albedo, emissivity, roughness length in Noah LSM
- usemonalb=.true. to use monthly albedo input

### Regional Climate Options

- New in V3.1
- tmn\_update=1 updates deep-soil temperature for multi-year future-climate runs
- sst\_skin=1 adds diurnal cycle to sea-surface temperature
- bucket\_mm and bucket\_J a more accurate way to accumulate water and energy for long-run budgets
- No-leap-year compilation option for CCSMdriven runs

### **Hurricane Options**

- ◆ Ocean Mixed Layer Model (omlcall=1)
  - Use with sf\_surface\_physics=1
  - 1-d slab ocean mixed layer (specified initial depth)
  - Includes wind-driven ocean mixing for SST cooling feedback
- Alternative surface-layer option for high-wind ocean surface (isftcflx=1)
  - Use with sf\_sfclay\_physics=1
  - Modifies Charnock relation to give less surface friction at high winds (lower Cd)
  - Modifies surface enthalpy (Ck, heat/moisture) formulation


### Fractional Sea Ice

- fractional\_seaice=1 with input sea-ice fraction data can partition land/water fluxes within a grid box
- ◆New in Version 3.1

# Planetary Boundary Layer

Boundary layer fluxes (heat, moisture, momentum)

Vertical diffusion  $\frac{\partial}{\partial z} K_{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \theta$ 



### bl\_pbl\_physics=1

YSU PBL scheme (Hong, Noh and Dudhia 2006)

- Parabolic K profile mixing in dry convective boundary layer
- Troen-Mahrt countergradient flux (non-local)  $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}(K_{\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\theta + \Gamma)$
- Depth of PBL determined from thermal profile
- Explicit treatment of entrainment
- Vertical diffusion depends on Ri in free atmosphere
- New stable surface BL mixing using bulk Ri

### bl\_pbl\_physics=2

Mellor-Yamada-Janjic (Eta/NMM) PBL

- ◆1.5-order, level 2.5, TKE prediction
- Local TKE-based vertical mixing in boundary layer and free atmosphere
- ◆TKE\_MYJ is advected by NMM, not by ARW (yet)

### bl\_pbl\_physics=4

- QNSE (Quasi-Normal Scale Elimination)
  PBL from Galperin and Sukoriansky
- ◆1.5-order, level 2.5, TKE prediction
- Local TKE-based vertical mixing in boundary layer and free atmosphere
- New theory for stably stratified case
- ◆New in V3.1

### bl\_pbl\_physics=5 and 6

### MYNN (Nakanishi and Niino) PBL

- ◆(5)1.5-order, level 2.5, TKE prediction, OR
- (6)2nd-order, level 3, TKE,  $\theta'^2$ ,  $q'^2$  and  $\theta'q'$  prediction
- Local TKE-based vertical mixing in boundary layer and free atmosphere
- ♦ New in V3.1

NMM only

## bl\_pbl\_physics=3

#### GFS PBL

- ◆1st order Troen-Mahrt
- Closely related to MRF PBL
- Non-local-K vertical mixing in boundary layer and free atmosphere

### bl\_pbl\_physics=7

Asymmetrical Convective Model, Version 2 (ACM2) PBL (Pleim and Chang)

- Blackadar-type thermal mixing upwards from surface layer
- Local mixing downwards
- PBL height from critical bulk Richardson number

### bl\_pbl\_physics=8

BouLac PBL (Bougeault and Lacarrère)

- **◆**TKE prediction scheme
- Designed to work with multi-layer urban model (BEP)
- New in V3.1

# bl\_pbl\_physics=99

MRF PBL scheme (Hong and Pan 1996)

- Non-local-K mixing in dry convective boundary layer
- Depth of PBL determined from critical Ri number
- Vertical diffusion depends on Ri in free atmosphere

### bldt

- Minutes between boundary layer/LSM calls
- ◆Typical value is 0 (every step)

NMM only

### nphs

- Time steps between PBL/turbulence/LSM calls
- ◆ Typical value is chosen to give a frequency of 1-3 minutes, i.e. 60/dt to 180/dt
- Also used for microphysics

### **PBL Scheme Options**

- PBL schemes can be used for most grid sizes when surface fluxes are present
- With YSU, ACM2, GFS and MRF PBL schemes, lowest full level should be .99 or .995 (not too close to 1)
- TKE schemes can use thinner surface layers
- Assumes that PBL eddies are not resolved
- At grid size dx << 1 km, this assumption breaks down</p>
  - Can use 3d diffusion instead of a PBL scheme in Version 3 (coupled to surface physics)
  - Works best when dx and dz are comparable

### diff\_opt=2 (repeated)

- Explicit large-eddy simulation (LES) PBL in real-data cases (V3) or idealized cases
  - bl\_pbl\_physics = 0
    - isfflx = 0 (idealized drag and heat flux from namelist)
    - isfflx = 1 (drag and heat flux from physics)
      - sf\_sfclay\_physics=1
      - sf\_surface\_physics (choose non-zero option)
    - isfflx = 2 (drag from physics, heat flux from tke\_heat\_flux)
      - sf\_sfclay\_physics=1
  - km\_opt = 2 or 3
  - mix\_isotropic=1 (if dx and dz are of same order)
- Not available for diff\_opt=1.


### Gravity Wave Drag (gwd\_opt=1 for ARW, 2 for NMM)

- ARW scheme from Hong et al. New in V3.1
- Accounts for orographic gravity wave effect on momentum profile
- Extra sub-grid orographic information comes from geogrid
- Probably needed only if all below apply
  - dx > 10 km
  - Simulations longer than 5 days
  - Domains including mountains

### **Cumulus Parameterization**

Atmospheric heat and moisture/cloud tendencies
Surface rainfall

#### **Illustration of Cumulus Processes**



### cu\_physics=1

#### New Kain-Fritsch

- As in MM5 and Eta/NMM test version
- Includes shallow convection (no downdrafts)
- Low-level vertical motion in trigger function
- CAPE removal time scale closure
- Mass flux type with updrafts and downdrafts, entrainment and detrainment
- Includes cloud, rain, ice, snow detrainment
- Clouds persist over convective time scale (recalculated every convective step in NMM)
- Old KF is option 99

# cu\_physics=2

#### Betts-Miller-Janjic

- As in NMM model (Janjic 1994)
- Adjustment type scheme
- Deep and shallow profiles
- BM saturated profile modified by cloud efficiency, so post-convective profile can be unsaturated in BMJ
- No explicit updraft or downdraft
- No cloud detrainment
- Scheme changed significantly since V2.1

### cu\_physics=3

### Grell-Devenyi Ensemble

- Multiple-closure (CAPE removal, quasiequilibrium, moisture convergence, cloudbase ascent) - 16 mass flux closures
- Multi-parameter (maximum cap, precipitation efficiency) e.g. 3 cap strengths, 3 efficiencies
- Explicit updrafts/downdrafts
- Includes cloud and ice detrainment
- Mean feedback of ensemble is applied
- Weights can be tuned (spatially, temporally) to optimize scheme (training)

NMM only

# cu\_physics=4

Simpified Arakawa-Schubert (SAS) GFS scheme

- Quasi-equilibrium scheme
- Related to Grell scheme in MM5
- Includes cloud and ice detrainment
- Downdrafts and single, simple cloud

### cu\_physics=5

### Grell-3d

- As GD, but slightly different ensemble
- Includes cloud and ice detrainment
- Subsidence is spread to neighboring columns
  - This makes it more suitable for < 10 km grid size than other options
  - cugd\_avgdx=1 (default), 3(spread subsidence)
- Mean feedback of ensemble is applied
- Weights can be tuned (spatially, temporally) to optimize scheme (training)

### cudt

- Time steps between cumulus scheme calls
- Typical value is 5 minutes

NMM only

### ncnvc

- Time steps between cumulus parameterization calls
- Typically 10 same as NPHS

### Cumulus scheme

### Recommendations about use


- $\bullet$  For dx  $\geq$  10 km: probably need cumulus scheme
- $\bullet$  For dx  $\leq$  3 km: probably do not need scheme
  - However, there are cases where the earlier triggering of convection by cumulus schemes help
- ◆ For dx=3-10 km, scale separation is a question
  - No schemes are specifically designed with this range of scales in mind
- Issues with 2-way nesting when physics differs across nest boundaries (seen in precip field on parent domain)
  - best to use same physics in both domains or 1-way nesting





Atmospheric heat and moisture tendencies


Microphysical rates
Surface rainfall


### **Illustration of Microphysics Processes**











# mp\_physics=1

Kessler scheme

- ◆Warm rain no ice
- Idealized microphysics
- ◆Time-split rainfall

# mp\_physics=2

Purdue Lin et al. scheme

- 5-class microphysics including graupel
- Includes ice sedimentation and timesplit fall terms

# mp\_physics=3

### WSM 3-class scheme

- From Hong, Dudhia and Chen (2004)
- Replaces NCEP3 scheme
- 3-class microphysics with ice
- Ice processes below 0 deg C
- Ice number is function of ice content
- Ice sedimentation and time-split fall terms

# mp\_physics=4

### WSM 5-class scheme

- Also from Hong, Dudhia and Chen (2004)
- Replaces NCEP5 scheme
- ◆5-class microphysics with ice
- Supercooled water and snow melt
- Ice sedimentation and time-split fall terms

# mp\_physics=14

### WDM 5-class scheme

- Version of WSM5 that is doublemoment for warm rain processes
- 5-class microphysics with ice
- CCN, and number concentrations of cloud and rain also predicted

# mp\_physics=5

### Ferrier (current NAM) scheme

- Designed for efficiency
  - Advection only of total condensate and vapor
  - Diagnostic cloud water, rain, & ice (cloud ice, snow/graupel) from storage arrays – assumes fractions of water & ice within the column are fixed during advection
- Supercooled liquid water & ice melt
- Variable density for precipitation ice (snow/graupel/sleet) – "rime factor"

# mp\_physics=6

### WSM 6-class scheme

- From Hong and Lim (2006, JKMS)
- 6-class microphysics with graupel
- ◆Ice number concentration as in WSM3 and WSM5
- New combined snow/graupel fall speed
- Time-split fall terms with melting

# mp\_physics=16

### WDM 6-class scheme

- Version of WSM6 that is doublemoment for warm rain processes
- 6-class microphysics with graupel
- CCN, and number concentrations of cloud and rain also predicted

# mp\_physics=7

### Goddard 6-class scheme

- From Tao et al.
- 6-class microphysics with graupel
- Based on Lin et al. with modifications for ice/water saturation
- gsfcgce\_hail switch for hail/graupel properties
- gsfcgce\_2ice switch for removing graupel or snow processes
- Time-split fall terms with melting

# mp\_physics=8

New Thompson et al. scheme in V3.1

- Replacement of Thompson et al. (2007) scheme that was option 8 in V3.0
- 6-class microphysics with graupel
- Ice and rain number concentrations also predicted (double-moment ice)
- Time-split fall terms

# mp\_physics=98

Old Thompson et al. 2007 graupel scheme

- From Thompson et al. (2007)
- Was option 8 in Version 3.0
- 6-class microphysics with graupel
- Ice number concentration also predicted (double-moment ice)
- Time-split fall terms

# mp\_physics=10

### Morrison 2-moment scheme

- New in Version 3.0
- 6-class microphysics with graupel
- Number concentrations also predicted for ice, snow, rain, and graupel (double-moment)
- Time-split fall terms

### no\_mp\_heating=1

- Turn off heating effect of microphysics
  - Zeroes out the temperature tendency
  - Equivalent to no latent heat
  - Other microphysics processes not affected
  - New in Version 3

### mp\_zero\_out

Microphysics switch (also mp\_zero\_out\_thresh)

- 1: all values less than threshold set to zero (except vapor)
- $\bullet$  2: as 1 but vapor also limited  $\geq$  0
- Note: this option will not conserve total water
- Not needed when using positive definite advection
- NMM: Recommend mp\_zero\_out=0

NMM only

### nphs

- Time steps between microphysics calls
- Same as parameter for turbulence/PBL/LSM
- Typical value is chosen to give a frequency of 1-3 minutes, i.e. 60/dt to 180/dt

### Microphysics Options

### Recommendations about choice

- Probably not necessary to use a graupel scheme for dx > 10 km
  - Updrafts producing graupel not resolved
  - Cheaper scheme may give similar results
- When resolving individual updrafts, graupel scheme should be used
- All domains use same option

### Rainfall Output

- Cumulus and microphysics can be run at the same time
- ARW outputs rainfall accumulations since simulation start time (0 hr) in mm
- RAINC comes from cumulus scheme
- RAINNC comes from microphysics scheme
- ◆ Total is RAINC+RAINNC
  - RAINNCV is time-step value
  - SNOWNC/SNOWNCV are snow sub-set of RAINC/RAINNCV (also GRAUPELNC, etc.)


NMM only

### Rainfall Output

- Cumulus and microphysics can be run at the same time
- NMM outputs rainfall accumulations in mm
- TPREC controls zeroing out frequency
- ACPREC is the total precipitation
- CUPREC is the part that comes from the cumulus scheme
- The microphysics part is ACPREC-CUPREC

# **Physics Interactions**

### **Direct Interactions of Parameterizations**



# Solver Calling Sequence (ARW example)

Call to solver advances one domain by one model time-step

- Physics tendencies
  - Radiation, surface, land-state update, PBL, cumulus, gridfdda, obs-fdda
- Dynamics tendencies
  - Diffusion, advection, dynamics terms (for 3d momentum, theta, geopotential, surface pressure)
- Acoustic steps
  - Update 3d momentum, theta, surface pressure, height
- Scalar dynamics tendencies and update
  - Advection, diffusion of moist (qv,qc, etc.), scalar, tracer, tke, (and chemistry) variables
- Microphysics update

### &physics

```
Seven major physics categories:

mp_physics: 0,1,2,3,4,5,6,8,10

ra_lw_physics: 0,1,3,99

ra_sw_physics: 0,1,2,3,99

sf_sfclay_physics: 0,1,2

sf_surface_physics: 0,1,2,3,99 (set before running real or ideal, need to match with num_soil_layers variable)
```

bl\_pbl\_physics: 0,1,2,99
cu physics: 0,1,2,3,99

ucm call = 0,1

### PBL schemes in V3.1

bl_pbl_ physics	Scheme	Reference	Added
1	YSU	Hong, Noh and Dudhia (2006, MWR)	2004
2	MYJ	Janjic (1994, MWR)	2000
3	GFS	Hong and Pan (1996, MWR)	2005
4	QNSE	Sukoriansky, Galperin and Perov (2005, BLM)	2009
5	MYNN2	Nakanishi and Niino (2006, BLM)	2009
6	MYNN3	Nakanishi and Niino (2006, BLM)	2009
7	ACM2	Pleim (2007, JAMC)	2008
8	BouLac	Bougeault and Lacarrere (1989, MWR)	2009
99	MRF	Hong and Pan (1996, MWR)	2000

### PBL schemes in V3.1

bl_pbl_ physics	Scheme	Cores	sf_sfclay_ physics	Prognostic variables	Diagnostic variables	Cloud mixing
1	YSU	ARW NMM	1		exch_h	QC,QI
2	MYJ	ARW NMM	2	TKE_MYJ	EL_MYJ, exch_h	QC,QI
3	GFS(hwrf)	NMM	3			QC,QI
4	QNSE	ARW NMM	4	TKE_MYJ	EL_MYJ, exch_h, exch_m	QC,QI
5	MYNN2	ARW	1,2,5	QKE	Tsq, Qsq, Cov, exch_h, exch_m	QC
6	MYNN3	ARW	1,2,5	QKE, Tsq, Qsq, Cov	exch_h, exch_m	QC
7	ACM2	ARW	1,7			QC,QI
8	BouLac	ARW	1,2	TKE_PBL	EL_PBL, exch_h, exch_m, wu_tur, wv_tur, wt_tur, wq_tur	QC
99	MRF	ARW NMM	1			QC,QI

### LES schemes in V3.1

Unified horizontal and vertical mixing (for dx~dz).

Typically needed for dx<~200 m. Also use mix\_isotropic=1.

bl_pbl_p hysics	diff_opt	km_opt	Scheme	Cores	sf_sfclay _physics	isfflx	Prognostic variables
0	2	2	tke	ARW	0,1,2	0,1,2	tke
0	2	3	3d Smagorinsky	ARW	0,1,2	0,1,2	

### Namelist isfflx controls surface flux methods

isfflx	sf_sfclay_physics	Heat flux	Drag	Real/Ideal
0	0	From namelist tke_heat_flux	From namelist tke_drag_coefficient	Ideal
1	1,2	From LSM/sfclay physics (HFX, QFX)	From sfclay physics (UST)	Real
2	1,2	From namelist tke_heat_flux	From sfclay physics (UST)	Ideal

# Microphysics schemes in V3.2

mp_physics	Scheme	Reference	Added
1	Kessler	Kessler (1969)	2000
2	Lin (Purdue)	Lin, Farley and Orville (1983, JCAM)	2000
3	WSM3	Hong, Dudhia and Chen (2004, MWR)	2004
4	WSM5	Hong, Dudhia and Chen (2004, MWR)	2004
5	Eta (Ferrier)	Rogers, Black, Ferrier, Lin, Parrish and DiMego (2001, web doc)	2000
6	WSM6	Hong and Lim (2006, JKMS)	2004
7	Goddard	Tao, Simpson and McCumber (1989, MWR)	2008
8 (+98)	Thompson (+old)	Thompson, Field, Rasmussen and Hall (2008, MWR)	2009
9	Milbrandt 2-mom	Milbrandt and Yau (2005, JAS)	2010
10	Morrison 2-mom	Hong and Pan (1996, MWR)	2008
14	WDM5	Lim and Hong (2010,)	2009
16	WDM6	Lim and Hong (2010,)	2009

# Microphysics schemes in V3.2

mp_physics	Scheme	Cores	Mass Variables	Number Variables
1	Kessler	ARW	Qc Qr	
2	Lin (Purdue)	ARW	Qc Qr Qi Qs Qg	
3	WSM3	ARW	Qc Qr	
4	WSM5	ARW NMM	Qc Qr Qi Qs	
5	Eta (Ferrier)	ARW NMM	Qc Qr Qs (Qt*)	
6	WSM6	ARW NMM	Qc Qr Qi Qs Qg	
7	Goddard	ARW	Qc Qr Qi Qs Qg	
8 (/98)	Thompson(/old)	ARW NMM	Qc Qr Qi Qs Qg	Ni Nr (/Ni)
9	Milbrandt 2-mom	ARW	Qc Qr Qi Qs Qg Qh	Nc Nr Ni Ns Ng Nh
10	Morrison 2-mom	ARW	Qc Qr Qi Qs Qg	Nr Ni Ns Ng
14	WDM5	ARW	Qc Qr Qi Qs	Nn** Nc Nr
16	WDM6	ARW	Qc Qr Qi Qs Qg	Nn** Nc Nr

<sup>\*</sup> Advects only total condensate \*\* Nn= CCN number