

Overview of WRF-Chem (V3.2.1 and V3.3)

Georg Grell

Steven E. Peckham, Stuart A. McKeen + others from NOAA/ESRL

Jerome Fast, William Gustafson jr., + many others from PNNL

+ Saulo Freitas, Karla Longo (CPTEC, BRAZIL)

+ Christine Wiedinmyer, Xue-Xi, Gabi Pfister, Mary Barth and many others from NCAR

+ many more national and international collaborators

WRF-Chem web site - <http://wrf-model.org/WG11>





WRF-Chem

Community effort

**Largest contributing groups: ESRL,
PNNL, NCAR**

**Other significant contributions
from: University of Chile, CPTEC
Brazil, University of Fairbanks**



WRF-Chem

The help desk:

wrfchemhelp.gsd@noaa.gov




WRF-Chem

- Online, completely embedded within WRF CI
- Consistent: all transport done by meteorological model
 - Same vertical and horizontal coordinates (no horizontal and vertical interpolation)
 - Same physics parameterization for subgrid scale transport
 - No interpolation in time
- Easy handling (Data management)
- Very modular approach
 - Chemistry subdirectory has been implemented in version of HIRLAM
 - Is being implemented now into FIM global model (icosahedral in horizontal, vertical adaptive coordinates)

Why Online?

- In models, with increasing horizontal resolution, the variability of the vertical velocity becomes much more important
- Offline might introduce a large error in estimate of vertical mass transport
- If dependent on offline, power spectrum analysis should be performed to determine necessary offline frequency



Gas Phase Chemistry Packages


- Chemical mechanism from RADM2 (Quasi Steady State Approximation method with 22 diagnosed, 3 constant, and 38 predicted species is used for the numerical solution)
- Carbon Bond (CBM-Z) based chemical mechanism, and the
- Kinetic PreProcessor (KPP)
 - RADM2, versions of RACM, MOZART, CBMZ, SAPRC99,...

Available Aerosols modules

1. PM advection, transport, emissions and deposition only
2. GOCART
3. Modal approach (MADE/SORGAM)
4. Sectional approach (MOSAIC)


Aerosol modules comparison

(1) Modal



composition
sulfate
nitrate
ammonium
chloride
carbonate
sodium
calcium
other inorganics
organic carbon
elemental carbon

(2) Sectional



(3) GOCART: Sections for dust and sea salt, otherwise total mass only

Sectional or modal may be multiplied by phase differences



For NWP a bulk scheme is very attractive

GOCART (Currently used in real-time FIM-Chem, RR-Chem, and HRRR-Chem)

- Much simpler than the sectional and model schemes
 - Calculates only with the total mass of the aerosol components
 - Provides no information on
 - Particle size
 - Particle concentration
 - E.g., when particles grow, the aerosol mass increases but we don't know how their size/number changes
- Numerically very efficient
- Coupled with radiation (Mie scattering and extinction calculations or lookup tables) in FIM and WRF



For research on aerosol direct and indirect effects modal and sectional approaches are more attractive

Less assumptions are made when coupled to atmospheric radiation and/or microphysics



Limited selection of radiation and
microphysics for aerosol direct and
indirect effect

For V3.2.1 all aerosol modules
were hooked up to Goddard
short wave radiation,
modal and sectional scheme
also to a version of the Lin et al.
Microphysics scheme



Photolysis Packages – all coupled to aerosols and hydrometeors

- Madronich Photolysis
- Madronich F-TUV
- Fast-j photolysis scheme

Biogenic emissions

- Biogenic emissions
 - May be calculated “online” based on USGS landuse
 - May be input
 - BEISv3.13 (offline reference fields, online modified)
 - Use of MEGAN



Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature (MEGAN) in WRF-Chem


Global, high resolution biogenic emissions

**Out of available biogenic emissions
modules only BEIS and MEGAN are actively
being worked on (developed)**

Preprocessor for MEGAN exists and can be downloaded
from NCAR

Fire Plumerise - Collaboration with Saulo Freitas from CPTEC in Brazil, (Grell et al 2011 ACPD)


1-D Cloud model




New in V3.3: Coupling of Aerosols to RRTMG Radiation (implemented by PNNL)

- Extended modular optical property module to compute information needed for both shortwave and longwave RRTMG radiation scheme
- Works for both MADE/SORGAM and MOSAIC
- Evaluated using AOD and extinction profile data over northern Africa associated with Saharan dust
 - GOCART dust emission module also extended to work with MADE/SORGAM and MOSAIC
 - See *Zhao et al., ACP, 2010* for more details

Dust Emissions from 2 Treatments




AOD under Various Scenarios – Dust Emissions and Aerosol Models





Aerosol-Cloud Aerosol Interactions: What's new in V3.3

- Morrison microphysics scheme now coupled with either prescribed aerosols or predicted aerosols from both MADE/SORGAM or MOSAIC (PNNL + Hugh Morrison(NCAR))
- Using same treatment for aerosol activation as implemented previously with Lin microphysics scheme, as described in *Chapman et al., ACP, 2009*
- Current applications (publications in progress):
 - Effect of aerosols on marine stratocumulus during VOCALS-Rex



➤ Effect of aerosols on mixed phase clouds during ISDAC / ARCTAS



droplet number produced by aerosol activation scheme coupled with Morrison microphysics consistent with aircraft data


Secondary Organic Aerosols: New in V3.3

Added 'volatility basis set' approach to MOSAIC (PNNL)

- Based on *Robinson et al. (2007)* and *Shrivastava et al. (2008)*
- Coupled with SAPRC99 photochemistry – which has also been added as a separate stand alone package, in collaboration with Pablo Saide (Univ. Iowa)
- Release version uses 2 volatility bins for simplicity and 4 size bins, 8 volatility bins approach available on request (computationally expensive)
- Oxygen and carbon species treated separately to compute O:C ratios
- Anthropogenic, biomass burning, and biogenic sources of organics tracked

Like all SOA parameterizations, it should be treated with caution


- Predictions not perfect, but better than simulating non-volatile POA only
- Evaluated against surface and aircraft data collected during MILAGRO field campaign – see *Shrivastava et al., ACPD, 2010* and *Fast et al. ACP 2009* for more details
- Optical properties for SOA treated the same as POA – for now
- Aerosol-cloud interactions not treated for SOA presently



DMS and Sea-Salt Emissions

- DMS chemistry now included in MOSAIC
- Fixed bugs with DMS rate constants for MOSAIC and bugs for GOCART DMS emissions
- Fixed minor bug in sea-salt emissions in smallest size bins of MOSAIC

SO₂ over the Southeastern Pacific Ocean during VOCALS-Rex, looking Southeast



Volcanic ash in WRF-Chem V3.3

Collaboration with University of Alaska in
Fairbanks as well as INPE/CPTEC in Brazil,
Publication in progress

Impact of Volcanoes

- Ash-fall near eruption
- Transport of fine ash in high concentrations for long distances
- Impact on weather, climate, and air quality



The plume of the 30 Sept/1 Oct 1994 eruption of Kliuchevskoi Volcano, Kamchatka taken from the space shuttle STS-68 mission (Russia)

ASH Volcanoes Prediction

Based on Mastin et al. (2009) dataset

1. 1535 volcanoes with lat, lon, elevation, eruption classification (ESP)
2. Table describing injection height, duration, eruption rate, volume and mass fraction (<63um)

ESP	Type	Example	H km above vent	Duration hr	Eruption rate (kg/s)	Volume (km3)	mass fraction less than 63 micron
		Cerro Negro, Nicaragua, 4/13/1992	7	60	1,E+05	0,01	0,05
M0	Standard mafic	Etna, Italy, 7/19-24/2001	2	100	5,E+03	0,001	0,02
M1	small mafic	Cerro Negro, Nicaragua, 4/9-13/1992	7	60	1,E+05	0,01	0,05
M2	medium mafic	Fuego, Guatemala, 10/14/1974	10	5	1,E+06	0,17	0,1
M3	large mafic	Spurr, USA, 8/18/1992	11	3	4,E+06	0,015	0,4
S0	standard silicic	Ruapehu, New Zealand, 6/17/1996	5	12	2,E+05	0,003	0,1
S1	small silicic	Spurr, USA, 8/18/1992	11	3	4,E+06	0,015	0,4
S2	medium silicic	St. Helens, USA, 5/18/1980	15	8	1,E+07	0,15	0,5
S3	large silicic	St. Helens, USA, 5/18/1980 (pre-9 AM)	25	0,5	1,E+08	0,05	0,5
S8	co-ignimbrite silicic	Soufrière Hills, Montserrat (composite)	10	0,01	3,E+06	0,0003	0,6
S9	Brief silicic	none	0	--	--	--	--
U0	default submarine						


Vertical source distribution and particle size bin

1. Vertical Distribution below the umbrella section
 1. Base of umbrella section is 27% of maximum height so 12 km plume, 3.24 km depth to umbrella.
 2. The percentage below umbrella is around 20 - 30 % of total mass.
2. New Particle size distribution based on MSH 1980


Particle Size Bin	Phi	Percentage of mass
1 – 2mm	-1 – 0	2
0.5 – 1 mm	0 – 1	4
0.25 – 0.5 mm	1 – 2	11
125 – 250 μm	2 – 3	9
62.5 – 125 μm	3 – 4	9
31.25 – 62.5 μm	4 – 5	13
15.625 – 31.25 μm	5 – 6	16
7.8125 – 15.625 μm	6 – 7	16
3.9065 – 7.8125 μm	7 – 8	10
< 3.9 μm	> 8	10

10 size bins for prediction of ash-fall and transport of volcanic ash

Tephra-fall deposits (g/m^2)
Redoubt Volcano, south-central Alaska
December 15, 1989



Observed



VOLCANIC ASH FALL (g/m^2)




0 8 16 24 32 40 48 56 64 72 80 88 96

Predicted by WRF-Chem


First WRF-Chem runs for “Big E”

- 30km horizontal resolution
- 10 ash bins
- Ash settling, dry deposition, and wet deposition included
- Aerosol optical properties could be implemented easily for ash

Comparison of ash forecasts (London VAAC and WRF-Chem) at 0000Z, April 15



VA advisory
from London




WRF-Chem


LIDAR
comparison

6km

Martha,
April 16







6km



08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16

Comparison of ash forecasts (London VAAC and WRF-Chem) at 1800Z, April 17 and 0000Z, April 18









How is the meteorological forecast affected by aerosol?

- In general large importance for climate simulations is recognized (when integrating models over 100's of years, small differences in the earth's energy budget are extremely important)
- Weather forecasting for only a few days?
 - Much research needed, but direct effect may positively influence forecasts when strong signals exist
 - Influence on meteorological data assimilation

Chemical data assimilation: WRF-Chem and PM2.5

2 months worth of WRF-Chem runs:

1. New England 2004 to estimate background error covariances and lengthscales
2. Houston 2006 for evaluation



Chemical data assimilation: ARW-WRF/Chem and PM2.5

Much work in progress at ESRL as well as at NCAR, not released to community yet

If you need chemical data assimilation, contacts: wrfchemhelp desk will tell you who and when

Resources

- WRF project home page
 - <http://www.wrf-model.org>
- WRF users page (linked from above)
 - <http://www.mmm.ucar.edu/wrf/users>
- On line documentation (also from above)
 - http://www.mmm.ucar.edu/wrf/WG2/software_v2
- WRF users help desk
 - wrfhelp@ucar.edu
- WRF-Chem users help desk
 - wrfchemhelp.gsd@noaa.gov


PNNL Aerosol Modeling Testbed

(Fast et al. 2011 in BAMS)


Create a computational framework, the **Aerosol Modeling Testbed**, that streamlines the process of testing and evaluating aerosol process modules over a range of spatial / temporal scales

- **Systematically and objectively** evaluate aerosol process modules
- Provide **tools** that facilitate science by minimizing redundant tasks
- **Document** performance and computational expense
- Better **quantify uncertainties** by targeting specific processes
- Build an **internationally-recognized capability** that fosters collaboration

New Modeling Paradigm



Traditional Modeling Paradigm



WRF-Chem: Summary of what will be new in upcoming release (V3.3)

- Added aerosol feedbacks for MOSAIC, MADE/SORGAM, & GOCART with RRTMG for SW and LW
- New chemical mechanisms (KPP or separate packages):
 - cbmz coupled with MADE/SORGAM, with or without aqueous phase
 - Cbmz coupled with MOSAIC with dms, with or without aqueous phase chemistry, 4 or 8 bins
 - SAPRC99 with or without MOSAIC including vbs2 (KPP only)
- Volatile Basis Set (VBS) was added to MOSAIC
- Morrison microphysics scheme now is linked to aerosol-cloud interactions for 1st and 2nd indirect effects
- Large scale wet deposition (when running without aqueous phase packages)
- Shallow convection
- 4-bin volcanic ash
- Diagnostic package to trace tendencies for some chemical species
- Option to run dust only
- CAM5 physics packages

