# The WRF-Hydro Modeling System: System Overview and Status Update January 30, 2015

D. Gochis, J. McCreight, W. Yu, A. Dugger, K. Sampson, D. Yates, A. Wood, M. Clark, R. Rasmussen





# **Purpose & Outline**

**Purpose:** Provide a brief but comprehensive review of the WRF-Hydro system and its current componentry

#### **Outline:**

- 1. WRF-Hydro System Description
- 2. Support services

## **Motivating Research Questions:**

- 1. How do horizontal routing processes impact the partitioning of water and energy at the land-atmosphere interface?
- 2. How does organization of fine-scale surface heterogeneity impact boundary layer exchange and mesoscale circulation features?
- 3. How do spatial gradients and variability in meteorological forcing impact terrestrial water dynamics?
- 4. How will eco-hydrologic processes evolve under various disturbance mechanisms such as landscape and climatic change?
- 5. What is the 'coupled-system' predictability of extreme hydrological events?

#### **Overarching WRF-Hydro Development Objectives**

#### A community-based, supported coupling architecture designed to provide:

- 1. An extensible *multi-scale* & *multi-physics* land-atmosphere modeling capability for conservative, coupled and uncoupled *assimilation* & *prediction* of major water cycle components such as <u>precipitation</u>, soil moisture, snowpack, groundwater, <u>streamflow, inundation</u>
- 2. 'Accurate' and 'reliable' streamflow prediction across scales (from 0-order headwater catchments to continental river basins & minutes to seasons)
- 3. A robust framework for land-atmosphere coupling studies



1-10's km



100's m - 1's km



1-10's m

# WRF-Hydro Operates in 2 Major Modes: Coupled or Uncoupled to an Atmospheric Model

One-way ('uncoupled') → Met. Forcing

Two-way ('coupled')  $\leftrightarrow$ 

- Uncoupled mode critical for spinup, data assimilation and model calibration
- Coupled mode critical for landatmosphere coupling research and long-term predictions
- Model forcing and feedback components mediated by WRF-Hydro:
  - Forcings: T, Press, Precip., wind, radiation, humidity, BGC-scalars
  - Feedbacks: Sensible, latent, momentum, radiation, BGC-scalars

# **Model Componentry**



©The COMET Program



Multi-scale aggregation/disaggregation:

100m Terrain





Current 'Regridding'



Implementing ESMF Regridders (v2.1)



Terrain slope (0-45 deg)

#### **Column Land Models:**

- WRF-coupled and offline Noah and Noah-MP now supported as of April, 2014
- CLM coupling supported through CESM architecture (in progress under DOE project)
- NOAA SAC-HTET (in progress under OHD project)





Surface routing: Explicit overland flow



- Pixel-to-pixel routing
  - Steepest descent (1d) or 2d
  - Diffusive wave/backwater permitting
  - Kinematic wave (in progress)
  - Explicit solution
- Ponded water (surface head) can be fullyinteractive with land model
- Sub-grid variability of ponded water on routing grid is preserved between land model calls

#### Subsurface routing:

Surface Exfiltration from Saturated Soil Columns

Lateral Flow from Saturated Soil Layers

> Adapted from: Wigmosta et. al, 1994

- Quasi steady-state, Boussinesq saturated flow model
- Exfiltration from fully-saturated soil columns to overland flow
- Anisotropy in vertical and horizontal Ksat
- No 'perched' flow
- Soil depth is uniform
- Critical initialization value: water table depth

- Subsurface routing:
  - 2d groundwater model
  - Coupled to bottom of LSM soil column through Darcy-flux parameterization
  - Independent hydraulic characteristics vs. soil column
  - Full coupling to gridded channel model through assumed channel depth and channel head
  - Detailed representation of wetlands



Surface ponded water from coupled groundwater in WRF-Hydro B. Fersch, KIT, Germany

Ammer domain. 100 m

 Simple routing: simple catchment aggregated channel inflow (very fast)



Adapted from: RAPID , David et al., 2011

- Collects 'infiltration excess' and 'soil drainage' from predefined basins and dumps directly into channel network
- Most applicable for small catchment networks (e.g. NHDPlus, HUC10+, etc.)
- Supports lumped/catchment hydrological model formulations

• Channel routing: Gridded vs. Reach-based





Surface water on channel grid cells get deposited in channel as 'lateral inflow'

 One-way ov. flow into channel
 No sub-surface losses
 'Infinite' channel depth (no overbank flow)
 No Flow

- Solution Methods:
  - Gridded diffusive wave (slower)
  - ROUTPIX, Kinematic (in progress under OHD project)
  - Reach-based Muskingam methods, custom & RAPID (NHDPlusV2).....(very fast)
  - Parameters:
    - A priori function of Strahler order or fully gridded (v2.1)
    - Trapezoidal channel (bottom width, side slope)
    - Channel roughness



#### Optional lake/reservoir model:

- Level-pool routing (i.e. no lagging of wave or gradient in pool elevation)
- Inflows via channel and overland flow
- Discharge via orifice and spillway to channel network
- Parameters: lake and orifice elevations, max. pool elevation, spillway and orifice characteristics; specified via parameter table
- Active management can be added via an operations table
- Presently no seepage or evaporative loss functions



# Moving beyond 'natural flows' towards explicit accounting of infrastructure

#### Including the control effects of, and impacts on infrastructure:

- Dams and reservoirs (passive and actively managed)
- Overbank storage and attenuation
- Diversion structures, headgates
- Levees, dikes
- Failures of infrastructure (exceeding design capacity)
- \* Needs Infrastructure & Operations Data Standards



Design storm streamflow capture by Barker Reservoir and Gross Reservoirs. Colorado Front Range

## HydroDART Overview



# 'WRF-Hydro' Process Permutations and System Features:

- ~180 possible 'physics' component configurations for streamflow prediction:
  - 3 up-to-date column physics land models (Noah, NoahMP, CLM)
  - 3 overland flow schemes (Diffusive Wave, Kinematic Wave, Direct basin aggregation)
  - 4 lateral/baseflow groundwater schemes (Boussinesq shallow-saturated flow, 2d aquifer model, Direct Aggregation Storage-Release: passthrough or exponential model
  - 5 channel flow schemes: Diffusive wave, Kinematic Wave, RAPID-Muskingam for NHDPlus, Custom Network Muskingam/Muskingam Cunge
- Simple level-pool reservoir with management
- DART, filter-based hydrologic data assimilation



Ensemble Flood Forecasting in the Southeast U.S. with WRF-Hydro 2014 WRF User's Workshop, K. Mahoney (NOAA-ESRL)

# WRF-Hydro System-Level Coupling Capabilities

Completed:

- Stand-alone, 'Un-coupled' (1-d Noah & NoahMP land model driver)
- Coupled with the Weather Research and Forecasting Model WRF-ARW)
- Coupled with LIS (WRF-Hydro v1.0, LISv6.1)
- Coupled into DART...

#### In Progress:

- NOAA/NEMS (NOAA Environmental Modeling System-Cecilia DeLuca)
- Update of LIS coupling to LIS v7/WRF-Hydro v2.1
- Coupled with CLM under CESM coupler (working on recent release of CLM in WRF)

## 'WRF-Hydro' Software Features:

- Modularized F90/95 (and later)
- <u>Coupling options are specified at compilation and WRF-Hydro is</u> <u>compiled as a new library in WRF when run in coupled mode</u>
- Physics options are switch-activated though a namelist/ configuration file
- Options to output sub-grid state and flux fields to standards-based netcdf point and grid files
- Fully-parallelized to HPC systems (e.g. NCAR supercomputer) and 'good' scaling performance
- Ported to Intel, IBM and MacOS systems and a variety of compilers (pg, gfort, ifort)

Wei Yu (RAL) – lead engineer

# The WRF-Hydro Workflow

# **WRF-Hydro Implementation Workflow:**

Collect geospatial terrain and hydrographic data Collect & Prepare Meteorological Forcings: (uncoupled runs)

Prepare Atmospheric Model: (coupled runs)

Prepare: Land model grids (WPS) Routing Grids/Networks (ArcGIS) Conduct uncoupled model runs -physics selection -calibration -assimilation &/or spinup

Execute uncoupled forecast cycles: Nowcasts, NWP QPF

Execute coupled-model forecast cycles

Create output forecast & evaluation products

# WRF-Hydro Setup and Parameterization: Python Pre-Processing Toolkit: K. Sampson - developer

• Python-based scripts

ProcessGeogrid

- ESRI ArcGIS geospatial processing functions
  - Support of multiple terrain datasets
    - NHDPlus, Hydrosheds, EuroDEM

	N ProcessGeogrid	
🖃 🛐 GIS Servers		Input Geogrid File
🝓 Add ArcGIS Server	Input Geogrid File D:\ksampson\Desktop\geo_em.d03_upp_Delaware_R.nc	input Geogria File
Add ArcIMS Server	Number of pixels to define stream	Input WRF Geogrid file
Add WCS Server	200	(NetCDF format).
🗿 Add WMS Server	OVROUGHRTFAC Value 1.0	
🗿 Add WMTS Server	RETDEPRTFAC Value	
🧔 ArcGIS on services.natio	1	
🖃 鎭 arcgis on STRUCTURE (		v v
🗉 🚞 Utilities	OK Cancel Environments << Hide Help	Tool Help
🗉 🧐 Buffer_Service		
🗉 👰 FixedModel3		
🖃 획 ProcessGeogridFile	the second s	



Outputs: topography, flowdirection, watersheds, gridded channels, river reaches, lakes, various parameters

# Forcing data supported:

- NLDAS, NARR analyses
- QPE products: MPE, StgIV, NCDC-served, dual-pol, Q3/ MRMS, gauge analyses
- NOAA QPF products: GFS, NAM, RAP, HRRR, ExREF
- Nowcast (NCAR Trident/ TITAN)
- NOHRSC SNODAS
- ESMF/ncl regridding tools





# **Input Forcing Data Requirements:**

• Data Requirements:

The

National

Center

for

Atm o sp

h

eric

Research

- Forcing Input: Forecast Example...



#### WRF-Hydro output products: Forecasts of water cycle components Maps of precipitation, soil moisture, ET, snowpack, inundation depth, groundwater depth, streamflow



Unidata IDV display

# WRF-Hydro output Products: Forecasts of spatiallyexplicit water cycle components

- MPE-driven streamflow during the 2013 Colorado Floods
- Unidata IDV Display of gridded and point netcdf output



# Visual forecast products...Web map service interfaces: GoogleMaps/Earth , ESRI ArcGIS,

Inon avors



GoogleEarth, GoogleMaps. ArcGIS WMS display

## **WRF-Hydro Support Services**

- Web Page:
  - Code distribution (GIT repository)
  - Documentation (v2, 120 pages)
  - Test cases (coupled and uncoupled)
  - Script Library (file prep, reformatting, viz)
  - ArcGIS preparation tools
  - Email help support (staff limited)
  - Google analytics (Jan 1 Oct 1, 2014)
    - Total page views: 14,664
    - Downloads: 1, 735
    - (Stats have major gap in recording during Oct. 2013)



http://www.ral.ucar.edu/projects/wrf\_hydro/

#### **WRF-Hydro Support Services**

Training classes:

- Semi-annual WRF tutorial training sessions (short 1-hr system overviews)
- University hosted visits (~1-2/yr on the order of 1-3 days)
- International training seminars and colloquia (~1-2/yr, on the order of 1-3 days)





1st European Fully Coupled Atmospheric-Hydrological Modeling and WRF-Hydro Users workshop, U. of Calabria, Italy, June 2014

http://www.ral.ucar.edu/projects/wrf\_hydro/

## WRF-Hydro Community of Developers & Users:





## **Current WRF-Hydro Applications around the**

#### world: 1. Operational Streamflow Forecasting:

- U.S. National Weather Service, National Water Center
- Israeli Hydrological Service
- State of Colorado-Upper Rio Grande River Basin (CWCB, NSSL)
- NCAR-STEP Hydrometeorological Prediction Group
- U. of Calabria reservoir inflow forecasting
- 2. Streamflow prediction research (U. Ankara, Arizona State U., Karlsruhe Inst. Tech.)
- 3. Diagnosing climate change impacts on water resources
  - Himalayan Mountain Front (Bierknes Inst.)
  - Colorado Headwaters (U. Colorado)
  - Bureau of Reclamation Dam Safety Group (USBR, NOAA/CIRES)
- 4. Diagnosing land-atmosphere coupling behavior in mountain-front regions of the U.S. and Mexico (Arizona State U., U. Arizona)
- 5. Diagnosing the impacts of disturbed landscapes on coupled hydrometeorlogical predictions
  - Western U.S. Fires (USGS)
  - West African Monsoon (Karlsruhe Inst. Tech)
  - S. America Paraná river (U. Arizona)
  - Texas Dust Emissions (Texas A&M U.)
  - Landslide Hazard Modeling (USGS)
- 6. Hydrologic Data Assimilation, WRF-Hydro/DART coupling

# **Continental Domain Water Prediction**

- Initial tests...
  - Streamflow from cold start
  - 250m channel pixels,
     2<sup>nd</sup> order and higher filesize 575MB ea.

CONUS+ 250m channel flow (thinned to 5<sup>th</sup> order and higher channels)



CONUS+ 250m channel flow (thinned to 4<sup>th</sup> order and higher channels)

**IDV** images

Regional

Views



# Acknowledgements

#### NCAR Development, Evaluation and Advising Team:

Wei Yu, David Yates, Kevin Sampson, Aubrey Dugger, James McCreight, Mike Barlage, Yongxin Zhang, Mukul Tewari, Roy Rasmussen, Andy Wood, Fei Chen, Martyn Clark, Matthias Steiner

#### **External Contributors**

- K. Mahoney (CU-CIRES)
- Brian Cosgrove (NOAA/OHD)
- B. Fersch, T. Rummler (KIT-Germany)
- Alfonso Senatore (U. Calabria-Italy)
- A. Parodi and E. Fiori (CIMA-Italy)
- Amir Givati and Erik Fredj (Israeli Hydr. Service)
- Lu Li (Bierknes Inst.)
- Col. State Univ. CHILL-team
- Logan Karsten (NOHRSC)
- Sujay Kumar, Christa Peters-Lidard (NASA-Goddard)
- Peirong Lin, Z.-Liang Yang (U. Texas-Austin)
- I. Yucel, (U. Ankara-Turkey)

#### Support provided by:

- NSF- NCAR-STEP program, EarthCube, ETBC, WSC
- NOAA-OHD
- NASA-IDS
- CUAHSI
- DOE-ESM
- USBR WaterSmart & Dam Safety Programs
- Colorado Water Conservation Board
- Texas Dept. of Environmental Quality & Texas A&M U.

# End

WRF-Hydro: http://www.ral.ucar.edu/projects/wrf\_hydro/

#### **Contributions:**

NCAR Internal: D. Gochis (Project Lead) W. Yu (Lead Software Engineer) D. Yates (Water Resources Lead) K. Sampson (GIS Specialist) J. McCreight (Post-doc) A. Dugger (Post-doc) M. Barlage (NoahMP Developer) A. Wood (Advising Scientist) M. Clark (Advising Scientist) K. Ikeda (Data Analyst) R. Rasmussen (Sr. Advising Scientist) F. Chen (Sr. Advising Scientist)