Evaluation of the RUC-initialized WRF for application in the Rapid Refresh at NCEP

Tanya Smirnova John Brown Stan Benjamin

The Joint WRF/MM5 User's Workshop 27 June 2005



FORECAST SYSTEMS LABORATORY

BOULDER, COLORADO



GOALS:

 Use WRF model at ~13 km horizontal resolution in the Rapid Update Cycle (RUC) at NCEP in 2007 - Rapid Refresh (RR)

 Achieve improved performance of WRF in RR compared to RUC on the same horizontal resolution

 Test WRF model against current RUC hydrostatic model using RUC initial conditions (WRFRUC – WRF initialized with RUC)

WRFRUC model configurations:

- NCAR Eulerian mass-coordinate dynamic core -(ARW – Advanced Research WRF) using 35 and 50 vertical sigma-p levels
- WRF-NMM to be added in near future
- Initial conditions from RUC20/RUC13 cycles using RUC 3DVAR
- Lateral boundary conditions from the same FSL RUC20/RUC13 (~ ETA) 48h forecast
- RUC post-processing adapted to WRF output to produce RUC look-alike GRIB output 3

Two WRFRUC systems run at FSL in real time:

- 1. WRFRUC with 20-km horizontal resolution on CONUS domain
 - 48-h forecasts twice a day (00 and 12 UTC)
- 2. WRFRUC with 13-km horizontal resolution on CONUS domain
 - 48-h forecasts twice a day (00 and 12 UTC)

http://ruc.fsl.noaa.gov

Physics options used in WRFRUC : - WSM (WRF Single-Moment) 5-class microphysics scheme (option 4)

- RRTM longwave radiation (option 1)
- Dudhia shortwave radiation (option 1)
- Janjic surface layer (Eta) scheme (option 2)
- RUC land-surface model (option 3)
- Mellor-Yamada-Janjic (Eta) TKE scheme (option 2)

- Grell-Devenyi ensemble cumulus scheme (opt.3)

[also in current RUC model]



9-hr fcst valid 24-Jun-05 21:00Z

2-m temperature valid at 2100 UTC 24 June 2005

9h fcst

FSL



WRFRUC13

NOAA

RUC13 analysis

Surface Temperature / Winds (°F / Knots) Analysis valid 24-Jun-05 21:00Z

12-h surface forecasts verified vs. METAR obs 10 March – 21 June 2005 RUC vs. WRFRUC –

all METARs in domain

Variable	RUC20	WRF20	RUC13	WRF13
Wind spd – s.d.	2.01	2.03	1.98	1.98
Wind spd - bias	-0.04	0.20	0.07	0.16
Temp –s.d.	2.62	2.52	2.55	2.59
Temp – bias 12z	-0.31	-0.34	-0.10	-0.13
Temp – bias 00z	-1.43	-1.58	-0.26	-1.65
Dewpoint – s.d.	2.81	2.84	2.98	2.91
Dewpoint – bias 12z	0.89	0.10	0.88	0.13
Dewpoint – bias 00z	1.61	0.73	1.32	0.11



12-h temperature and winds aloft forecasts
 verified against rawinsonde (92 stations)
 20-km RUC vs. 20-km WRFRUC
 10 March – 21 June 2005



12-h temperature and winds aloft forecasts
verified against rawinsonde (92 stations)
13-km RUC vs. 13-km WRFRUC
10 March – 21 June 2005





Differences of 250mb wind 12-h forecast RMS vector error (RUC13 minus WRFRUC13)

May 2005

1



RMS diff (RUC13 - WRF13)

RUC-1	3		WRFRUC-13	
			24-h (2x12h fcst) accumulated	
thrsh	eqts	bias	thrsh eqts	bias
0.01	0.369	1.093	0.01 0.279	1.380
0.10	0.492	0.809	0.10 0.471	1.076
0.25	0.440	0.709	0.25 0.475	0.901
0.50	0.340	0.601	0.50 0.375	0.786
1.00	0.195	0.392	1.00 0.243	0.922
1.50	0.182	0.362	NCEP precip anal 1.50 0.249	1.191
2.00	0.092	0.250	2.00 0.276	1.286
3.00	0.200	0.200	10 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00 1.50 2.00 2.50 3.00 4.0 3.00 0.066	2.200

Valid at 1200 UTC 1 May 2005

-	RUC-20 (dev2))			¥#++	
0.	.01	0.10	0.	25	0.	50	G	.75

thrsh	eqts	bias
0.01	0.492	1.069
0.10	0.371	0.920
0.25	0.159	0.597
0.50	0.075	0.335
1.00	0.000	0.000

24-h (2x12h fcst) accumulated precipitation



Valid at 1200 UTC 28 November 2004

WRFRUC-20

0.50 0.75 1.00 1.50 2.00 2.50 3.0

thrsh	eqts	bias
0.01	0.451	1.220
0.10	0.398	1.093
0.25	0.200	1.021
0.50	0.100	0.709
1.00	0.022	0.424

Averaged equitable threat scores and biases for **24-h** (2x12h fcst) accumulated precipitation from RUC and WRFRUC 10 March – 21 June 2005





Rapid Refresh on expanded domain

- GSI (Gridpoint Statistical Interpolation) for data assimilation
- Boundary conditions from GFS (currently also initialized from GFS in initial testing)
- WRF model
 - selection of dynamical core (ARW or NMM)
 - selection of model physics
 - development of digital filter initialization - DFI





2-m temperaturevalid at 2100 UTC24 June 2005



WRFRR-13



Summary

- 13-km and 20-km WRFRUC (ARW) on CONUS run in real time
- Results of WRFRUC surface verifications generally reasonable, daytime cold bias
- Precipitation verification overall improved in WRFRUC compared to RUC at both 20-km and 13-km resolutions

Future plans

- Test WRFRUC (ARW and NMM) in parallel cycles on CONUS domain with different physics options to
 - reduce temperature and wind errors aloft
 - reduce day-time cold bias at the surface
 - evaluate performance of aviation impact variables (ceiling, visibility, etc.)
- Determine the optimal WRF model configuration for application in the Rapid Refresh at NCEP