### Numerical Modeling Study of Wind Flow over the Salt Lake City Region using integrated WRF-Noah-UCM Model at Meso-Gamma Scale

M. Tewari, F. Chen, Thomas T. Warner (NCAR) William J. Coirier and Sura Kim (CFD Research Corporation)

#### **Outline:**

- Objectives
- Numerical Experiments and Results
  - WRF-Noah model with high resolution land-use
  - WRF-Noah-UCM with high resolution land-use
  - CFD-urban model with input from WRF/ WRF-UCM models
- Summary

Work supported by the US Air Force Weather Agency (AFWA) and Defense Threat Reduction Agency (DTRA)

## **Objectives**

- Ultimate goal: improve Transport and Dispersion (T&D) accuracy via merging capability of mesoscale (WRF) and microscale (CFD-urban) models
- To evaluate coupled WRF/Noah LSM /Urban Canopy Model (UCM) model's capability to represent fine scale (0.5-km) wind flow and temperature over the complex terrain and complex urban region of Salt Lake City (SLC)
  - Do we model/parameterize turbulence right using 0.5-km grid spacing?
- To couple the WRF/Noah/UCM with CFD (Computational Fluid Dynamics)-urban model
- Evaluate the utility of WRF/Noah/UCM-CFD-urban in T&D model
- Evaluate the above models performance with the IOP 10 data obtained from the Urban 2000 field experiment

• Explore strategies to couple WRF and CFD models

### High-Resolution WRF/Noah/Urban Modeling Capability: Coupled to CFD-Urban



## **WRF Model Experiments**

#### • WRF-Noah LSM

- Simple urban treatment (large roughness length, low surface albedo, and large thermal capacity and thermal conductivity for urban landuse)
- WRF/Noah/UCM: couple a single layer urban-canopy model (UCM), based on Kusaka et al, 200, and Noah LSM
  - User defined canyon orientations
  - Shadowing from buildings and reflection of short and long wave radiations in the canyon
  - Diurnal change of solar azimuth angle
  - Wind profile in the canopy layer
  - Multi-layer heat transfer equation for roof, wall, and road
  - Very thin bucket model for hydrological processes.
- Numerical Experiments and Observations
  - 24 hours starting at 26 Oct 2000, 00Z with each model
  - 5 domains nested runs (40.5km, 13.5km, 4.5km, 1.5km and 0.5km)
  - Observational data for IOP 10 of URBAN 2000 field experiment



### **High-Resolution WRF/Noah/Urban Modeling**

Domains: 40.5,13.5,4.5,1.5,0.5 Km

City area

NCAR



Complex urban land use distribution over SLC

### LAND-USE (500m) and Observation Sites at PNNL

#### **PNNL Met Stns**

#### **PNNL Hobo Temp Loggers**





 T01: Redwood Road and I-215 Jordan City Hall

 T02: 3200W and 2100N

 T03: Redwood Road and 1580N

 T04: 1795N Warm Springs

 T05: W 7200W and I-80

 T05: End Amelia Earhart D

 T08: 1020W and 200N

 T09: 300W and 900N

 T10: D St and 10th Av

 T11: Trc Hills and 11th Ave

 T23: 200E and 400S



Urban Categories 31: Low Intensity 32: High Intensity 33: Industrial

## **Diurnal Wind Direction at North Downtown**



### **Temperature and Wind Speed**



NCAR

Red: Obs, Green: WRF/Noah, Blue: WRF/Noah/UCM

## WRF + CFD-Urban: Boundary Condition Procedures

- DTRA project at CFDRC: SBIR Phase I: "Improved High-Fidelity Forecasting Capability using Combined Mesoscale and Microscale Models"
  - •Tech Monitor: Cmdr. Stephanie Hamilton
- Process WRF datasets (NetCDF format):
  - Interpolate data to CFD-Urban grid boundary faces
  - Continuous, linear interpolant

•Pressure: Remove hydrostatic variation by subtracting ideal atmosphere and imposing it on this "column"

- Allows imposition of lateral pressure gradient from WRF
- •Turbulence Field:
  - Directly use TKE from the MYJ model ("TKE\_MYJ")



NCAR

• Compute TKE dissipation rate using TKE and momentum diffusion coefficient ("AKM\_M")





## Preliminary Results: IOP 10 Urban 2000

- Urban 2000: Field Test conducted in Salt Lake City
  - SF6 released in Central Business District
  - Samplers located in CBD and on "arcs" located downstream

•Statistical Comparison of Predicted to Measured Concentration Data

$$FB = \frac{\left(C_{o} - C_{p}\right)}{0.5\left(\overline{C_{o}} + \overline{C_{p}}\right)} \qquad MG = \exp\left(\overline{\ln C_{o}} - \overline{\ln C_{p}}\right) \qquad Acceptable values:$$

$$NMSE = \frac{\left(\overline{C_{o} - C_{p}}\right)^{2}}{\overline{C_{o}} \overline{C_{p}}} \qquad FAC2 = \text{fraction of data that satisfy } 0.5 \le \frac{C_{p}}{C_{o}} \le 2.0 \qquad \bullet FAC2 > 0.5$$

$$\bullet -0.3 < FB < 0.3 (0.7 < MG < 1.3)$$

$$\bullet NMSE = < 4 (V/G < 1.6)$$



# Preliminary Results: IOP 10 Urban 2000

- Three sets of calculations:
  - Raging Waters Input: Use sounding data (single sounding) at all boundary faces
  - WRF Boundary Conditions: Unsteady Flow, Turbulence and Contaminant
  - WRF Boundary Conditions: Steady Flow ("Wind Library"), Frozen Hydro Contaminant Transport

|                      | Near Source | R2    | R3   | R4    | All   |
|----------------------|-------------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| FAC2: RW             | 0.12        | 0.17  | 0.36 | 0.38  | 0.18  |
| FAC2: Unsteady       | 0.08        | 0.17  | 0.36 | 0.38  | 0.16  |
| FAC2: Quasi-Unsteady | 0.57        | 0.42  | 0.36 | 0.5   | 0.51  |
| MG: RW               | 25.42       | 14.11 | 4.58 | 5.06  | 15.83 |
| MG: Unsteady         | 15.89       | 11.64 | 4.77 | 5.679 | 11.69 |
| MG: Quasi-Unsteady   | 0.74        | 1.59  | 1.96 | 2.05  | 1.04  |

•Quasi-Steady approach appears to be best mode of operation:

- Steady-state wind/turbulence fields at set intervals in time using WRF data as boundary conditions
- Significantly improve FAC2 (FAC>0.5 acceptable) and MG (0.7<MG<1.3 acceptable)

Unsteady flow/turbulence/transport: Time step restrictions

•Too costly for accuracy or inaccurate because timestep too big

NCAR

# Preliminary Results: IOP 10 Urban 2000

- Entire IOP 10
  - 3 Releases/Pauses
- WRF/Noah Data for BC
- •Quasi-steady approach:
  - Wind/Turbulence fields at 15 minute intervals
  - Unsteady T&D using Unified Frozen Hydro Solver
- Flow turning is replicated, which causes plume to travel NNW

#### Gas Dispersion(Measurement vs. Prediction)

IOP10 (Gas Release 3600-7200s, 10800-14400s, 18000-22600s)



TIME = 3540.0 sec





NCAR

### **Conclusions and Future Work**

- High-res nested (0.5-km grid spacing) WRF simulations of wind field are reasonable (compared to obs and CFD results)
- Coupled WRF/Noah/UCM model generally produced better wind field and temperature than WRF-Noah model
  - Performance of UCM is sensitive to the urban parameters
- Using WRF forecast to drive CFD-urban model (quasi-steady) approach significantly improve CFD-urban and T&D results
  - 4 to 5 times improvement in FAC2 and MG over single sounding as input (Raging Waters)
- Recommend WRF-to-CFD-Urban "coupling" using wind library and Unified Frozen Hydrodynamics Approach
- Phase-II: two-way coupling between WRF and CFD-urban
  - utilize separate WRF and CFD executable, and ESMF coupler

