

A comparison of bulk microphysical schemes for cloud resolving NWP

Axel Seifert and Morris Weisman

... with help from a lot of people at NCAR

National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO



BAMEX 2003, JUNE 10 - 00 UTC + 11 h, column max. reflectivity

a) NEXRAD Composite b) LIN scheme 2003-06-10 11:00:00 2003-06-10 11:00:00 40N 40N 100W 90W 100W 90W Column Max Reflectivity (dbz) Column Max Reflectivity (dbz) 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 0

- Error in location of convective line
- Lack of trailing stratiform region (High refl. line at leading edge is too broad)
- Spurious convective cell in Oklahoma
- Overprediction of surface precipitation



• WRF 1.3 as used for BAMEX forecasts



- WRF 1.3 as used for BAMEX forecasts
- 1600 km \times 1600 km domain over continental U.S. with 4 km resolution (400 \times 400 \times 30 grid points)



- WRF 1.3 as used for BAMEX forecasts
- 1600 km \times 1600 km domain over continental U.S. with 4 km resolution (400 \times 400 \times 30 grid points)
- WRFSI initialization at 00 UTC and b.c. using ETA model forecast (no clouds)



- WRF 1.3 as used for BAMEX forecasts
- 1600 km \times 1600 km domain over continental U.S. with 4 km resolution (400 \times 400 \times 30 grid points)
- WRFSI initialization at 00 UTC and b.c. using ETA model forecast (no clouds)
- YSU boundary layer scheme

WRF COD

- WRF 1.3 as used for BAMEX forecasts
- 1600 km \times 1600 km domain over continental U.S. with 4 km resolution (400 \times 400 \times 30 grid points)
- WRFSI initialization at 00 UTC and b.c. using ETA model forecast (no clouds)
- YSU boundary layer scheme
- No cumulus parameterization

WRF-

- WRF 1.3 as used for BAMEX forecasts
- 1600 km \times 1600 km domain over continental U.S. with 4 km resolution (400 \times 400 \times 30 grid points)
- WRFSI initialization at 00 UTC and b.c. using ETA model forecast (no clouds)
- YSU boundary layer scheme
- No cumulus parameterization
- Four microphysical schemes:
 - Purdue 'Lin et al.' scheme
 - WRF single-moment scheme by Hong et al. (WSM-6)
 - Reisner scheme (Thompson et al. 2004)
 - Seifert&Beheng two-moment scheme



Seifert&Beheng two-moment microphysics

- mass and number concentrations
- cloud water, rain, cloud ice, snow, graupel
- S&B (2001) autoconversion
- snow = unrimed and slighty rimed aggregates
- graupel density increases from 0.2 to 0.8 g/cm³, i.e. includes rimed aggregates, graupel and hail





Seifert&Beheng two-moment microphysics

- nucleation of droplets and ice
- selfcollection and breakup





Observations used for validation:

- NEXRAD column maximum reflectivity composite (available only for BAMEX domain)
- NCEP precipitation estimates (Stage II radar-only, Stage II gage-only, Stage IV)

Observations used for validation:

- NEXRAD column maximum reflectivity composite (available only for BAMEX domain)
- NCEP precipitation estimates (Stage II radar-only, Stage II gage-only, Stage IV)

Reflectivity calculation:

- Empirical approach not useful for comparison of microphysics schemes
- Use particle densities and size distributions as assumed in each microphysics scheme (two-moment schemes can use number conc.)
- Maxwell-Garnet approach to approximate dielectric function of ice particles
- Mie theory to calculate reflectivity using size bins



Test case 1:

JUNE 10 - Bow echo

JUNE 10 - 00 UTC + 00 h, microphysics schemes

a) NEXRAD Composite b) LIN scheme





c) WSM-6 scheme



									-
0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	

Column max. reflectivity (dBZ)







JUNE 10 - 00 UTC + 03 h, microphysics schemes

a) NEXRAD Composite b) LIN scheme



2003-06-10 03:00:00 40N 100W 90W

c) WSM-6 scheme



								_
0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	

Column max. reflectivity (dBZ)





JUNE 10 - 00 UTC + 11 h, microphysics schemes

a) NEXRAD Composite b) LIN scheme





c) WSM-6 scheme



0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70

Column max. reflectivity (dBZ)















d) WSM-6



e) Reisner



f) SB2004



JUNE 10 - 00 UTC + 23 h, Total acc. precip





Test case 2:

MAY 24 - Another bow echo

MAY 24 - 00 UTC + 13 h, microphysics schemes





c) WSM-6 scheme



0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70

Column max. reflectivity (dBZ)







a) NEXRAD Composite b) LIN scheme



c) WSM-6 scheme



0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70

Column max. reflectivity (dBZ)

d) Reisner scheme



e) SB2004 scheme









Total precip in mm

1 2 3 5 10 20 30 50



2 3 5 10 20

30









Test case 4:

MAY 30 - Line of cells

MAY 30 - 00 UTC + 24 h, microphysics schemes

a) NEXRAD Composite b) LIN scheme





c) WSM-6 scheme



0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	
	0.1			- 6 4	/	-107)		

Column max. reflectivity (dBZ)





Conclusions:

- Little differences within the first 12 h, e.g. propagation speed of convective systems is almost identical. Solutions become different after 20-24 h.
- All one-moment schemes show broad convective regions with high refl., two-moment scheme gives more realistic cloud structure.
- Surface precip is most intense for Lin scheme, Reisner and SB2004 maybe more realistic (WSM-6 somewhere inbetween).
- Significant differences in stratiform regions and in the extent of upper level clouds i.e. storm anvil. These features are also sensitive to the assumption within each scheme. In general, mixing ratios of snow, ice, cloud water can show large differences.

Future work:

- Cold pools and surface observations.
- Quantitative comparison of model and satellite
- In-situ observations of upper level clouds
- 2km simulations

JUNE 10 - 8:40 UTC - NEXRAD vs NOAA-16 satellite

10 20 30 40 50 60 70

0

b) NOAA-16 thermal-IR 8:37 UTC





Test case 3:

JUNE 11 - Large MCV

JUNE 11 - 00 UTC + 11 h, microphysics schemes

a) NEXRAD Composite b) LIN scheme





c) WSM-6 scheme



0	1	.0 2	20	30	40	50	60	70	
	C	olumi	n ma	ix. re	flectiv	vitv (d	B7)		





JUNE 11 - 00 UTC + 14 h, microphysics schemes

a) NEXRAD Composite b) LIN scheme





c) WSM-6 scheme



Г								_	1
	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	
		Colu	mn m	ax. r	eflecti	vitv (dBZ)		





JUNE 11 - 00 UTC + 20 h, microphysics schemes

a) NEXRAD Composite b) LIN scheme c) WSM-6 scheme







0		10	20	30	40	50	60	70
	С	olur	nn ma	ax. re	flectiv	/ity (c	BZ)	













c) Lin







e) Reisner



f) SB2004







MAY 30 - 00 UTC + 35 h, Total acc. precip





Need for speed



JUNE 10 case, restart after 6 h simulation 30 min CPU time on blackforest, MPI, no OpenMP