ON THE REPRESENTATION OF SNOW IN BULK MICROPHYSICAL PARAMETERIZATIONS

Mark T. Stoelinga, Christopher P. Woods, John D. Locatelli, and Peter V. Hobbs

University of Washington, Seattle, WA

Why work with a "classic" single-moment 5-class bulk scheme? [specifically, the Reisner et al. (1998) / Thompson et al. (2004), or "R-T" scheme]:

Sophistication of Grid-resolved Microphysics



As computer power increases, enhanced sophistication in cloud microphysics will always compete with the desire to:

- Increase resolution
- Enhance other physics schemes (radiation, PBL, LSM)
- Add ensemble members
- Improve data assimilation



Three aspects of the representation of snow in bulk schemes:

- 1. Size distribution
- 2. Shape and density assumptions (i.e., mass-diameter relationship)
- 3. Velocity-diameter relationship
- A case will be made for:
- **1.** Choosing a reasonable/relevant habit
- 2. Enforcing "habit consistency" throughout the scheme
- 3. Diagnosing (as in Meyers et al. 1997) or predicting habit variability

1. Size distribution

$$\hat{N}_s(D) = N_{0s} \exp(-\lambda_s D)$$

Integrating the third moment over all sizes yields

$$\rho_{\text{air}}q_s = \frac{\pi N_{0s}\rho_{\text{snow}}}{\lambda_s^4} = f(N_{0s},\lambda_s)$$

 q_s is predicted; specify N_{0s}, and solve for λ_s ; or alternatively, specify $\lambda_{s'}$ and solve for N_{0s}.

Intercept and slope parameters measured by aircraft particle imagers throughout IMPROVE-1 and IMPROVE-2, as a function of temperature





Intercept (N_{0s}) vs. T

2. Snow particle shape and density

Many bulk schemes (R-T, Tao and Simpson 1993, Ferrier 1994) assume snow particles are <u>spheres</u> of <u>constant density</u>, implying a mass-diameter relationship of:

 $\overline{m(D)} = \rho_{\text{snow}} (\pi/6) D^3$

However, observational and theoretical studies yield more general, habit-dependent power-law relationships of the form

$$m(D) = a_m D^{b_m}$$

which can also be implemented in bulk schemes (Cox 1988, Meyers et al. 1997).

Constants in the *m-D* power law relationships for various crystal aggregate types (from Locatelli and Hobbs 1974), and for model snow spheres

Habit	a _m (mg mm ^{-bm})	b _m
Dendrites	0.0141	2.19
Cold-type	0.0370	1.90
Needles	0.0092	2.01
Model spheres	0.0520	3.00

General expression for relationship between $q_{s'} N_{0s'}$ and λ_s :

$$\rho_{\text{air}}q_s = \frac{a_m N_{0s} \Gamma(b_m + 1)}{\lambda_s^{b_m + 1}}$$

Variation of spectral slope with particle habit (for fixed values of N_{0s} and q_s)



3. Snow fall speed

Observational and theoretical studies provide habit-dependent power-law relationship between the terminal fall speed of a particle and its diameter (a *V-D* relationship) of the form $V(D) = a_{y}D^{b_{y}}$,

Combining with the exponential size distribution and the appropriate *m-D* relationship (for the same particle habit) and integrating, one obtains the *mass-weighted* terminal fall speed for snow particles <u>of that habit</u>:

$$\overline{V} = \frac{a_{v} \Gamma(b_{m} + b_{v} + 1)}{\lambda_{s}^{b_{v}} \Gamma(b_{m} + 1)}$$

Mass-weighted terminal fallspeed for various particle habits and snow mixing ratios



Example: Frontal rainband observed off the Washington coast during IMPROVE-1 (1-2 February 2001)



14-h MM5 forecast of 1-h precip, 12-km grid, R-T microphysics



Control

Cold-type crystals



Contours: q_{snow} (g kg⁻¹) q_{rain} (g kg⁻¹) **T** (°C)

Dendrites





Control

Cold-type crystals



Contours: precip rate (mm h⁻¹) T (°C)

Dendrites



Recommendations / Future Work:

- Constant density spheres are not a good representation of most snow particle types.
- Enforce "habit consistency" for the various habitdependent aspects of the scheme (size distribution, *m-D* and *V-D* relationships, capacitance for depositional growth, etc.)
- 3. Examine ways to skew the distribution toward smaller particles when ice enhancement is active. Can this be done without going to a double-moment scheme?
- 4. Implement particle habit diagnosis (Meyers et al. 1997)
- 5. Develop habit *prognosis*, to test the effectiveness of the simpler habit *diagnosis*.



Global ice particle spectra, Ryan (1996)







Papers submitted for a special "IMPROVE" issue of the Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences:

- Bond, N. A., B. F. Smull, M. T. Stoelinga, C. P. Woods, A. Haase, and J. D. Locatelli, <u>"The Evolution of a</u> <u>Cold Front over Quasi-2D Terrain: Coordinated Aircraft Observations of the 8-9 December 2001 Wide</u> <u>Cold Frontal Rainband during IMPROVE-2</u>"
- Colle, B. A., M. F. Garvert, J. B. Wolfe, and C. F. Mass, <u>"Microphysical Budgets and Sensitivity Studies for</u> the 13-14 December 2001 IMPROVE-2 Event"
- Evans, A. G., J. D. Locatelli, M. T. Stoelinga, and P. V. Hobbs, <u>"The IMPROVE-1 Storm of February 1-2,</u> 2001. Part II: Cloud Structures and the Growth of Precipitation"
- Garvert, M. F., B. A. Colle, and C. F. Mass, "<u>Synoptic and Mesoscale Evolution of the 13-14 December 2001</u> <u>IMPROVE II Storm System and Comparison with a Mesoscale Model Simulation"</u>
- Garvert, M. F., C. P. Woods, B. A. Colle, C. F. Mass, P. V. Hobbs and J. B. Wolfe, "<u>Comparisons of MM5</u> <u>Model Simulations of Clouds and Precipitation with Observations for the 13-14 December 2001</u> <u>IMPROVE-2 Event</u>"
- Houze, R. A., and S. Medina, <u>"Turbulence as a Mechanism for Orographic Precipitation Enhancement"</u>
- Medina, S., B. F. Smull, and R. A. Houze, <u>"Airflow Patterns in Orographic Precipitation Events over the European Alps and the Oregon Cascades"</u>
- Ikeda, K, E. A. Brandes, and R. M. Rasmussen, "Polarimetric Radar Observation of Multiple Freezing Levels"
- Locatelli, J. D., M. T. Stoelinga, M. F. Garvert, and P. V. Hobbs, <u>"The IMPROVE-1 Storm of February 1-2,</u> 2001. Part I: Development of a Forward-Tilted Cold Front and a Warm Occlusion"
- Woods, C. P., M. T. Stoelinga, J. D. Locatelli, and P. V. Hobbs, <u>"Cloud Structures, Microphysical Processes</u> and Synergistic Interaction between Frontal and Orographic Forcing of Precipitation during the <u>December 13, 2001 IMPROVE-2 Event over the Oregon Cascades"</u>
- Yuter, S. E., D. Kingsmill, L. B. Nance, and M. Löffler-Mang, <u>"Observations of precipitation characteristics</u> <u>near and within the melting layer"</u>

Outline:

- Microphysical issues (as represented in the MM5's mixed phase scheme), from observations and modeling of IMPROVE case studies
- Hypotheses for important interactions between mesoscale processes and microphysics
- "Studies of opportunity": interesting features elucidated by the IMPROVE data set

Evidence of excessive supersaturation with respect to ice in deep frontal clouds (Locatelli et al. 2004)

12 UTC 1 Feb 2001 (12-h fcst), 36-km simulation



Evidence of excessive supersaturation with respect to ice in deep frontal clouds

00 UTC 2 Feb 2001 (24-h fcst), 36-km simulation



Summary of observations from Convair-580 flight stack:

In regions where model indicated high ice supersaturation:

- measured RH was generally near ice saturation
- Negligible liquid water was detected
- Ice crystal habits were generally found to be sub-water-saturated types, or inconclusive (notably, no dendrites in the dendritic growth zone)

One possible problem with the Rutledge and Hobbs (1983) formulation for growth of snow by deposition (PSDEP):

Although the RH83 equation uses capacitance for a 2-D plate, it assumes the population is comprised of spherical particles.

For a given supersaturation, the mass of a growing particle as a function of time behaves as follows:

•3-D growth (e.g., spherical particle):
$$m(t) \propto \frac{t^{3/2}}{\rho_s^{1/2}}$$

•2-D growth (e.g., plate, dendrite): $m(t) \propto \frac{t^2}{\rho_s}$
•1-D growth (e.g., needle): $m(t) \propto \exp\left(\frac{\text{const} \times t}{\rho_s}\right)^{1/2}$

(Young 1993)

Growth of snow by deposition is also sensitive to the assumed snow particle size distribution parameters (Garvert et al. 2004)

20 UTC 13 Dec 2001 (20-h fcst), 4-km simulation

20 UTC



Neither formulation for N_{0S} agrees with the "upside-down" behavior of N_{0S} that was observed in Convair-580 flight tracks during the 13-14 Dec 2001 case. (model spectra from 1.3-km MM5 simulation)



Storm total precipitation verification from the 1.3-km MM5 simulation (Garvert et al. 2004)



Water mass transfer budget of bulk microphysical scheme for simulation of 13-14 Dec 2001 case (Colle et al. 2004)



Turbulence as a Mechanism for Orographic Precipitation Enhancement (Houze and Medina 2004) Hypotheses for important interactions between

Vertically pointing S-band (precipitation) radar



Importance of frontal structure and prefrontal flow for maximizing interaction between frontal and orographic precipitation (Woods et al. 2004)



Double brightband within warm-frontal inversion zone (Ikeda et al. 2004)

Unique frontal precipitation phenomena elucidated by the ground-based dual-polarized Doppler (S-Pol) radar

