

# **Coupling of WRF and AERMOD for Pollutant Dispersion Modeling**

# Amit P Kesarkar<sup>\*1</sup>, Mohit Dalvi<sup>1</sup>, Akula Venkatram<sup>2</sup>, Alan Cimorelli<sup>3</sup>, Akshara Kaginalkar<sup>1</sup> and Ajay Ojha<sup>4</sup>

\*akesarkar@cdac.in

# Background

- Pune Air Quality Improvement Program under **USEPA- MoEF (India) Initiative**
- Initial focus on Pm10
- Proposal to use AERMOD model for simulating pollutant dispersion
- Issue: Unavailability of hourly meteorological



# Validation of WRF Outputs over Pune



- observations for Pune (& most of India)
- Likely solution: Meteorological model (WRF) output used as (psuedo) observations for AERMOD

# 20 Date April '05

#### Comparison of WRF and Observed Temperatures (°C) over Pune

**Deviation** of WRF from Observed temperatures: - 4.35 to 8.35 0C **Standard** Deviation : 2.460C, **Correlation Coefficient** : 91%



## **WRF Model Configuration**



- Domains: 32 km-> 8 km 2-way nested
- Initialization: NCEP Final Analysis (FNL) data
- Microphysics: Lin et al
- Cumulus parameterization: Betts-Miller-Janjic
- Land Surface:Noah
- PBL parameterization:YSU PBL
- <sup>D</sup> Time step:180 sec.
- Period of simulation: 11-19 April 2005

**WRF-AERMOD Modeling System** 

#### **AERMOD:**

- Developed by AMS/EPA Regulatory Model Improvement **Committee (AERMIC)**
- Steady-state Gaussian model, improved PBL, urban heat flux parameterization
- Emissions data : Inventory under Pune AQI Program
- WRF-AERMOD Offline Coupler Developed at C-DAC
- Couples Regional weather prediction model with Gaussian dispersion model
- Extracts surface and PBL parameters from WRF output;
- Preprocesses WRF output to produce input for AERMOD
- Bypasses need for AERMET preprocessor



Comparison of Average Angular Distribution of winds over Pune (11-19 April 2005)

### Validation of Simulated PM10 Dispersion



#### Comparison of Observed and Simulated PM10 Dispersion

AERMOD underestimates PM10 concentrations

- Possibly due to absence of "background" levels in model.
- Daily variations are better represented

#### **Acknowledgments**

This work is a part of Pune Air Quality Improvement Program being undertaken by USEPA, MoEF and PMC with support from US-AEP.

# Highlights

WRF output is able to provide realistic meteorological pattern for air quality models



1. Centre for Development of Advanced Computing (C-DAC), Pune, India 2. Dept. of Mechanical Engg., University of California at Riverside, CA, USA 3. US Environmental Protection Agency (Region -III) Office, Philadelphia, PA, USA. 4. Air Quality Management Cell, Pune Municipal Corporation (PMC), Pune, India

#### > AERMOD underestimates PM10 at most of the locations

Sensitivity to meteorology can be determined with different WRF parameterization schemes, preprocessing (use AERMET)

Required detailed study with :

information on background levels of pollutants

improved emission inventory

Validation of hourly meteorological and air quality data

#### Computational Atmospheric Sciences, Scientific and Engineering Application Group, Centre for Development of Advanced Computing, Pune Unversity Campus, Ganesh Khind, Pune 411007, India