

Goddard Space Flight Center

Mesoscale Dynamics and Modeling Group

Implementation of NASA/GSFC Cloud Microphysics Schemes into WRF

Jainn J. Shi¹ and Wei-Kuo Tao²

¹Science Applications International Corporation, Beltsville, MD ²Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD

Outline

- Brief introduction of Goddard cloud microphysics scheme
 - Model setup
- Comparing WRF results from different cloud microphysics schemes
- Summary and future works





Goddard Bulk Microphysical Scheme

- Warm Rain (Soong and Ogura 1973)
- Ice-Water Saturation Adjustment (Tao et al. 1989)
- 3ICE-Graupel and 3ICE-Hail (**Tao and Simpson 1989, 1993; MuCumber** *et al.* **1990**) Option 3ICE-Graupel (Rutledge and Hobb 1984) or 3ICE -Hail (Lin *et al.* 1983)

The sum of all the sink processes associated with one species will not exceed its mass - (Water budget balance)

All transfer processes from one type of hydrometeor to another are calculated based on one thermodynamic state (ensure all processes are equal)

- 3ICE Modification (Tao et al. 2003a)
 Saturation adjustment
 Conversion from Ice to Snow
- 2ICE scheme (Tao et al. 2003b) Ice and Snow
- 3ICE-Graupel Modification (Lang et al. 2006) Conversion from cloud to snow Dry growth of graupel



CFAD - Radar Reflectivity



Improved



Water Budget: WRF-GCE Microphysics





Resolutions: 9, 3 and 1 km Grid size: 301x202, 481x352, 541x466, 31 vertical layers ∆t = 30 seconds Starting time: 00Z 6/12/2002 Initial and Boundary Conditions: NCEP/GFS, no data assimilation **Physics:**

- Cu parameterization: Kane and Fritsch scheme (for the outer grid only)
- Cloud microphysics:
 - 1. WSM6 scheme
 - 2. LIN scheme
 - 3. Goddard microphysics
- Radiation: shortwave: Dudhia longwave: RRTM
- PBL parameterization: Mellor-Yamada-Janjic TKE scheme
- Surface Layer: Monin-Obukhov
- Land Surface Model: Noah land-surface



Resolutions: 18, 6 and 2 km Grid size: 244x217, 472x469, 520x493, 31 vertical layers ∆t = 60 seconds Starting time: 00Z 9/15/2003 Initial and Boundary Conditions: NCEP/GFS, No bogusing and data assimilation WRFGCE Simulated Composite Radar Reflectivities (dBz) at 24h Jice with ice, snow and hall valid at 00Z 6/13/2002



3ice/hail



WRF-LN Simulated Composite Radar Reflectivities (dBz) at 24h 3ice with ice, enow and graupel velid at 00Z 6/13/2002

WSM6

WRF-WSH6 Simulated Composite Radar Reflectivities (dBz) at 24h Size with ice, enow and groupal valid at 002 8/13/2002



Lin

WRFGCE Simulated Composite Radar Reflectivities (dBz) at 24h Jice with ice, snow and graupel valid at 00Z 6/13/2002



3ice/graupel







2ice



Horizontal domain average of cloud species (1-km grid)

WRF-GCE Horizontal Mean Cloud Species 3ice with ice, snow and hail WRF-WSM6 Horizontal Mean Cloud Species 3ice with ice, snow and graupel WRF-LIN Horizontal Mean Cloud Species 3ice with ice, snow and graupel



	Goddard microphysics				T T T
	3ice/hail	3ice/graupel	2ice	WSM6	LIN
warm	37.3%	28.5%	15.5%	42.5%	48.9%
cold	62.7%	71.5	84.5%	57.5%	51.1%

850mb Radar Reflectivities (dBz) - WRF-GCE at 24h 3ice with ice, snow and graupel valid at 00Z 9/16/2003



3ice/graupel

850mb Radar Reflectivities (dBz)- WRF-WSM6 at 24h 3ice with ice, snow and graupel valid at 00Z 9/16/2003

30

- 28

26

2.

- 25



32



Lin

B50mb Radar Reflectivities (dBz) — WRF—GCE at 24h 3ice with ice, snow and hail valid at 00Z 9/16/2003



3ice/hail

63

850mb Radar Reflectivities (dBz) - WRF-GCE at 24h 2ice with ice and snow valid at 00Z 9/16/2003

WSM6

65

63



2ice



Horizontal domain average of cloud species (2-km grid)

3ice with ice, snow and graupel

WRF-WSM6 Horizontal Mean Cloud Species

3ice with ice, snow and graupel 100.0 200.0 300.0 400.0 500.0 o—o Ocloud æ ⊶• Qrain 600.0 😶 Qice ---- Qsnow 700.0 🛶 Qgraupel 800.0-900.0

6.0 8.0

1.E−5 kg/m**3

4.0

10.0 12.0

1000.0

2.0

0.0

WRFGCE Horizontal mean Cloud Species

100.0 200.0 300.0 400.0 500.0 ⊶ Qcloud đ ⊶o Qrain 600.0 o—o Qice - Qsnow 700.0 👆 Qgraupel 800.0 900.0 1000.0 1 .0 .0 1.0 2.0 3.0 4.0 5.0 6.0 7.0 8.0 9.0 10.0 11.0 1.E-5 kg/m**3

WRF-LIN Horizontal Mean Cloud Species 3ice with ice, snow and graupel



WRFGCE Horizontal Mean Cloud Species Sice with ice, snow and hail

14.0



WRFGCE Horizontal Mean Cloud Species 2ice with ice and snow



Qall(g/kg) and Tc (deg) — WRFGCE at 24h 3ice with ice, snow and graupel valid 00Z 9/16/2003



Qall (g/kg) and TC (deg) — WRF-LIN at 24h 3ice with ice, snow and graupel valid 00Z 9/16/2003



fingers

0.5

0.5

Qall (g/kg) and TC (deg) — WRF—WSM6 at 24h Jice with ice, snow and graupel valid 00Z 9/16/2003



Composite Analysis (PR and GOES)

PR reveals interesting "fingers" of weak reflectivity inside eye in convergent regions between eyewall mesovortices.





0.5

SUMMARY

- For IHOP 2002 June 12 case, all three microphysics captured the narrow rain band passing through Oklahoma, except they all moved about 2 hours faster than the obs. Goddard microphysics (with hail option) produced more cold rain and narrower rain band as compared to WSM6 and Lin schemes.
- WRF have done an adequate job in simulating Isabel and its inner core structure regardless which microphysics scheme was used.
- No significant difference in track and intensity forecasts among three cloud microphysics.
- Goddard microphysics seems to do better in simulating the outer rain band.

FUTURE WORKS

- Using NASA/GMAO's GEOS5 0.25^o global analysis as intitial and boundary conditions.
- Implementing Goddard radiative transfer (both longwave and shortwave) packages, which provides better interaction with Goddard cloud microphysics, into WRF.
- Adding our 2-moment and spectral bin schemes into the Goddard cloud microphysics into WRF.





00Z 9/06/03	Tropical depression
06Z 9/06/03	Tropical storm
12Z 9/07/03	Hurricane
9/11/03	Category 5
18Z 9/11/03	915 mb
17Z 9/18/03	Landfall near Drum Inlet of North Carolina as a Category-2 hurricane

Courtesy of National Hurricane Center



Courtesy of National Hurricane Center

Goddard Microphysics (12 Different Schemes)

	Characteristics	References
Warm Rain	qc,qr	Kessler (1966), ng and Ogura (1
2 Ice	qc,qr, qi,qg	Cotton et al (1982), Chen (1 McCumber et al (1991)
3lce - 1	qc,qr, qi, qs,qh	Lin et al (1983), Tao and Simps 1993)
3lce - 2	qc,qr, qi, qs,qg	Rutledge and Hobbs (1984), Ta Simpson (1989, 1993)
3lce - 3	qc,qr, qi, qs,qh	Lin et al (1983), Rutledge and (1984), Ferrier at al (199
3lce - 4	qc,qr, qi, qs,qg orqh	Lin et al (1983), Scott et al
3lce - 5	Saturation Technique	Tao et al (1989), Tao et al (
4lce - 1	qc,qr, qi, qs, qg, qh Ni, Ns, Ng, Nh	Ferrier (1994)
4lce - 2	qc,qr, qi, qs,qg, qh Ni, Ns, Ng, Nh	Tao, Ferrier et al (2000)
One-Moment Spectral - Bin	33 bins for 6 types iceyalteu and cloud condensation nu	Khain andSednev (1996)Kahadin <i>et a</i> (1998)
Multi-componer Spectral - Bin	Liquid: 46 bins for water ma for solute mass Ice: water mass, solute mass, as ratio Aqueous-phase chemist(N H ₃ , H ₂ SO ₄ , HNO ₃ , SQ, Q, HO ₂ , CQ)	Chen and Lamb (1994, 199



No Microphysical Scheme is perfect !

Modification of negative cloud species in WRF

- A 3rd order finite difference method is used for advection in WRF. It is well known that these difference methods can generate negative mass for hydrometeors near and at cloud boundaries. The adjustment used in WRF is to reassign all negative hydrometeors to be zero. This can cause an imbalance in the water budget. Note that the error grows with the number of time iterations not the length of model integration.
- To remedy this shortcoming (especially for long term model integration and for fine model resolution simulations), a mass conservation-adjustment scheme was implemented into WRF. The procedure for this mass conservation scheme for all hydrometeors is as follows: (i) compute the total positive mass (P) and negative mass (N) over the entire domain, (ii) set all negative mass to be zero, and (iii) recompute the positive mass by multiplying by a factor of (P-N)/P. This type of adjustment has been used in many cloud-scale models (i.e., the GCE model, and many others).



Minimum Surface Pressre



