Impact of AIRS data over the Antarctic region

Tom Auligné, Dale Barker, Zhiquan Liu, Hui-Chuan Lin, Hui Shao

National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR)

Introduction: AMPS

The Antarctic Mesoscale Prediction System (AMPS)

- Real-time, experimental NWP modeling capability for Antarctica
- Purposes: Support of weather forecasting and scientific activities

WRF-Var (3DVar)

- Specific Background Error Covariances
- Lateral Boundary Conditions from FNL



AIRS innovations: Channel Selection



AIRS innovations: QC & Thinning

• Pixel-level QC

- Reject limb observations
- Reject pixels over land and sea-ice

Channel-level QC

- Gross check (innovations <15 K)
- First-guess check (innovations < 3σ_o). Error factor tuned from objective method (Desrozier and Ivanov, 2001)

• **NESDIS Cloud detection**

- LW window channel > 271K
- Thresholds on model SST minus SST from 4 AIRS LW channels

Imager AIRS/VIS-NIR

Day only (cloud coverage within AIRS pixel <5%)



• Thinning

AIRS innovations: Cloud detection strategy

From « hole hunting » (identifying clear pixels)...



... to identifying clear channels (insensitive to the cloud).



Cloud Detection: MMR scheme



Minimum Residual:

$$R_{\nu}^{Cld}(N,k) = (1-N)R_{\nu}^{\circ} + NR_{\nu}^{\bullet k}$$

Multivariate Minimum Residual (MMR):

$$R_{v}^{Cld}(N^{\circ}, N^{1}, ..., N^{n}) = N^{\circ}R_{v}^{\circ} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} N^{k}R_{v}^{\bullet k}$$





Cloud Detection: MMR scheme







Cloud Detection: Initial Validation



Perspective: Cloudy Radiances



Bias Correction: Variational Bias Correction

Modeling of errors in satellite radiances:

$$y = H(x_t) + B(\beta) + \varepsilon$$

$$\begin{cases} \langle \varepsilon \rangle = 0 & Parameters \\ B(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \beta_i p_i & Offset \\ \cdot & scan, scan^2, scan^3 \end{cases}$$

Bias parameters can be estimated within the **variational assimilation**, jointly with the atmospheric model state (Derber and Wu 1998) (Dee 2005) (Auligné et al. 2007)

Inclusion of the bias parameters in the control vector : $x^{T} \rightarrow [x, \beta]^{T}$



Bias Correction: VarBC Timeseries

Time evolution of Offset bias parameter for various AIRS channels



Inverse Modeling: Adjoint Parameter Estim.

$$R_{v}^{atm} = \int_{z_{0}}^{\infty} B_{v}(T(z)) \left[\frac{d\tau_{v}(z,\theta)}{dz} \right] dz$$
$$\tau_{v}(z_{1},\theta) = \exp\{-\gamma_{v} \sec\theta \int_{z_{1}}^{\infty} k_{v}(z)c(z)\rho(z)dz\}$$

 γ modulates atmospheric absorption to compensate for:



- B = Planck function
- τ = transmittance
- T = temperature
- θ = incident angle
- $z = altitude \ coordinate$
- $k_v = absorption \ coefficient$
- c = mixing ratio
- $P = atmospheric \ density$
- poor knowledge of gas concentrations (CO₂, ...)
- errors in definition of ISRF
- errors in mean absorption coefficient



AIRS Impact: 36h Forecast vs. Radiosondes

Initial assessment of AIRS impact:

- 60km horizontal resolution
- 50hPa model top
- 6-hourly cycling with WRF 3DVar
- Period = 01-19 Oct 2006
- CTRL Expt = Conventional Data + COSMIC GPS refractivity
- AIRS Expt = CTRL + AIRS radiances
- Radiance thinning = 120km
- No radiance over land / snow / sea-ice



Major limitation: Systematic model error



Conclusions and future work

• Encouraging results

- Initial test with conservative implementation
- Neutral impact, slightly positive on wind forecast

• Short-term improvements

- Raise model top
- Use more AIRS channels
- Improved estimation of surface emissivity over land

• Longer-term developments

- Compare with impact of retrievals
- Address systematic model errors
- Assimilate cloud-contaminated radiances