

# EVALUATING WRF-ARW V3.4.1 SIMULATIONS OF TROPICAL CYCLONE YASI

Chelsea Parker, Amanda Lynch, Maria Tsukernik (Brown University), Todd Arbetter (CRREL)

14<sup>th</sup> Annual WRF User's Workshop Thursday June 27<sup>th</sup> 2013



# Outline

- Background of Case Study Tropical Cyclone Yasi
- WRF v3.4.1 model set up
- Physics Sensitivity Simulations
- Results and Analysis
- Conclusions



#### YASI

Rapidly intensifying, category 5 storm.

Cyclogenesis northeast of Fiji on 29<sup>th</sup> Jan, landfall on the Queensland coastline in early hours Feb 3<sup>rd</sup> 2011.

600km wide, eye 35km wide.

6m storm surge. Wind speed up to 300 km/h.

929hPa minimum low



#### LIFE CYCLE

#### YASI





Australian Bureau of Meteorology, 2011



Australian Bureau of Meteorology, 2011

#### MODEL SET UP

d01, 36km d02, 12km d03, 4km 27 vertical levels ptop: 50hPa

4day simulations from Jan 31<sup>st</sup> 00:00 to Feb 4<sup>th</sup> 2011 00:00 UTC.

- Shortwave: Dudhia
- Longwave: RRTM
- Surface layer: MM5 Monin-Obukhov
- Land surface: Unified Noah LSM



#### **INITIALISATION and FORCING DATA**

### ERA INTERIM Reanalysis data, ~80km resolution

Bureau of Meteorology Initialisation, 4km resolution





### Physics package trials

• Cumulus parameter (CU):

| CU number | Scheme name            |  |
|-----------|------------------------|--|
| 0         | Default, None          |  |
| 1         | Kain-Fritsch new Eta   |  |
| 2         | Betts Miller Janjic    |  |
| 3         | Grell Devenyi Ensemble |  |
| 5         | Grell 3D               |  |
| 6         | Modified Tiedtke       |  |

Microphysics (MP):

| MP number | Scheme name         |  |
|-----------|---------------------|--|
| 0         | Default, None       |  |
| 1         | Kessler             |  |
| 4         | WSM 5-class         |  |
| 5         | Ferrier new Eta     |  |
| 6         | WSM 6-class graupel |  |

 Planetary Boundary Layer (PBL):

| PBL number | Scheme Name        |
|------------|--------------------|
| 0          | Default, None      |
| 1          | YSU                |
| 5          | MYNN 2.5 level TKE |

- ISFTCFLX: off or with 2, Donelan Cd + Garrett scheme
- OMLCALL: off or with 50m
  1D simple ocean mixed
  layer. (Land Surface:
  Thermal Diffusion Scheme)

#### Simulated TC Tracks

Track Colour key: Black = Cu = 0 None Green: Cu = 1 K-F Yellow: Cu=2 BMJ Cyan: Cu = 3 G-D Blue: Cu=5 Grell 3D Red: Cu=6 Tiedtke

Runs with CU 6 cluster the most throughout the whole simulation even after landfall and get the closest to the correct landfall location.

Runs with CU 1 show the most southerly motion of the track and the greatest deviation from the landfall location.





# Statistical ANOVA test

| ٠ | All the components account for<br>~76% of variance in the  | Coefficients:   |
|---|--|---|
|   | calculated error index and this is statistically significant.  | Estimate Std.Error t value Pr(> t )<br>(Intercept) 1.103 0.071 15.600 3.02e-10 ***  |
| • | Variance in CU parameter<br>individually is statistically<br>significant in predicting the error<br>index variance.                          | YASI\$CU    -0.074    0.012    -6.150    2.52e-05    ***      YASI\$MP    -0.037    0.017    -2.192    0.046 *      YASI\$PBL    -0.002    0.024    -0.089    0.930      YASI\$ISFLX    0.082    0.082    0.999    0.335      YASI\$OML    -0.017    0.093    -0.187    0.854 |
| ٠ | Changing MP parameter also<br>individually affects the variance of<br>the error index but to a lesser<br>significance.                       | <br>Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1<br>Residual standard error: 0.1181 on 14 degrees of   |
| • | None of the other physics<br>parameters have a statistically<br>significant relationship with<br>predicting the error index<br>individually. | freedom<br>Multiple R-squared: 0.8251, Adjusted R-squared:<br>0.7626<br>F-statistic: 13.21 on 5 and 14 DF, p-value: 6.947e-05   |

#### SLP and Track

- CU 1 K-F more accurate minimum sea level pressure (SLP) values and evolution of values and therefore intensity.
- CU 6 Tiedtke more accurate track evolution and landfall location.
- For almost all runs, the minimum in SLP occurs over the open ocean around 08:00 1<sup>st</sup> Feb 2011 UTC which is too early and then weaken towards landfall.



#### WRF Output: Total Accumulated Precipitation, Tiedtke-WSM 6-YSU

Yasi Total RAIN and track from ERABOM 2 domain run with MP6CU6PBL1

UnKnown 5°S 10°S 15°S 63 0 20°S 25°S 30°S 130°E 140°E 150°E 160°E 170°E 180° 75 100 125 150 175 200 225 250 275 300 0 25 50



Earthobservatory.nasa.gov

OUTPUT FROM WRF V3.4.1 MODEL WE = 505 ; SN = 283 ; Levels = 28 ; Dis = 12km ; Phys Opt = 6 ; PBL Opt = 1 ; Cu Opt = 6

### WRF output: Sea Level pressure and track, Tiedtke-WSM 6-YSU

Yasi tracks from ERABOM 2 domain run with adjusted physics MP6CU6PBL1

Init: 2011-01-31\_00:00:00 Valid: 2011-01-31 00:00:00





# Conclusions

- Prescribing physics parameters key in improving the accuracy of the simulated TC Yasi and reducing the calculated error.
- Cumulus parameter had the biggest effect on altering the produced TC and the calculated error index by affecting the pressure, timing and location of the TC throughout the lifecycle and especially at landfall.
- Kain-Fritsch scheme produces a closest to accurate simulation of pressure and landfall timing but the greatest deviation of distance. Modified Tiedtke scheme produces the most accurate track.
- 'Best' simulations according to the error index use Modified Tiedtke-Ferrier-YSU or when implementing ISFTCFLX and OML then Modified Tiedtke-WSM6-YSU
- Still problems with TC life cycle simulation even in these 'best' cases.
- Still more schemes to test such as Thompson MP scheme and other PBL schemes and other output parameters and differences to consider.

# Acknowledgements



- Amanda Lynch, Masha Tsukernik, Henry Johnson (Brown University).
- Todd Arbetter (CRREL)
- Noel Davidson (Bureau of Meteorology, Australia)

#### **Thank You!**



# Wind Shear, Warm Advection, Latent and Sensible Heat Fluxes

CU1 MP5 PBL1

CU6 MP5 PBL1





# **Next Steps**

- Also consider size and wind fields in skill score.
- Further analyse the output from the physics trials particularly for wind shear, warm advection, latent and sensible heat.
- Choose the most appropriate simulation and its physics combination to move forward.
- Add a high resolution sea surface temperature (SST) field in to the WRF simulation to see how TC Yasi changes.
- Further test the TC's sensitivity to SST by manually altering the SST magnitudes and gradients across the western South Pacific in the vicinity of Yasi's track.
- ROMS? COAWST?

