The NCAR high resolution ensemble

Presented by: Glen Romine Other team members: Craig Schwartz, Ryan Sobash, and Kate Fossell

16th WRF User's Workshop: 6/18/2015

Support: NCAR's MMML and CISL; Collaborators: Ryan Torn, Greg Thompson, Stan Trier, Morris Weisman, Dave Ahijevych, Davide Del Vento, NOAA's NSSL, SPC

NCAR's history in real-time convection-allowing forecasts

- NCAR MMM first demonstrated convection-allowing forecasts in real-time with WRF in support of 2003 BAMEX field campaign
 - Showed potential of improved depictions of convective systems for forecast guidance
 - The success of this system inspired other teams to join NCAR in high resolution prediction
- Forecast continued in following springs, and began for other seasons/phenomena, but a new revolution left NCAR's real-time efforts behind...

Convection-allowing ensembles

- CAPS began storm-scale ensembles in 2007, later joined by AFWA, UKMet, NSSL, plus informal conglomerates of deterministic forecasts (SSEO)
 - Initialization typically drawn from down-scaled operational models
 - Largely ad hoc methods for spread from + perturbations, multianalysis, multi-physics, multi-model
- NCAR began development of EnKF initialized forecasts in 2011, first real-time ensemble in spring 2013
 - Demonstrations tied to field campaigns in spring season
 - Data Assimilation Research Testbed (DART)
 - Ensemble variance \approx certainty in initial conditions

WRF model configuration options

- Tiedtke cumulus parameterization (15-km domain only)
- Thompson microphysics
- RRTMG Short and Longwave radiation
 - includes aerosol and ozone climatology

All members of the ensemble use the same physics, BY DESIGN!

- 75 s (18.75 s) time step
- Perturbed GFS forecasts for lateral boundary conditions



DA primer: continuously cycled analysis

Continuous cycling is 'best practice'

First guess (**B**) for analysis is short forecast from prior analysis

No 'spinup' needed, on the model attractor



For regional models – nearly all centers use 'partial' cycling – periodically replacing the background from another (often global) analysis

DART ensemble analysis configuration options

- Data Assimilation Research Testbed toolkit (Lanai)
- Continuously cycled (initialized mid-March 2015)
- Ensemble Adjustment Kalman Filter
- 50-member analysis
- Updated every 6 hours
- Localization: 1270 km horizontal 1.5 scale height vertical
- Adaptive prior inflation, sampling error correction
- Assimilate conventional observations: Radiosonde, METAR, select Mesonet, cloud motion vectors, ACARS, Marine



Block diagram of ensemble cycled analysis (DART)

$$\mathbf{X}_{a} = \mathbf{X}_{f} + \mathbf{K}[\mathbf{y}^{0} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{X}_{f}]$$



Analysis bias comparison: DART, NAM, GFS



DART analysis fit is similar to (or better than) NCEP operational analyses against radiosonde observations on the NCAR grid

Real-time forecast system

NCAR ENSEMBLE - http://ensemble.ucar.edu

PRODUCT EXAMPLES

Ensemble mean: average forecast state from all ensemble members

- smooth, 'best forecast'

Probability matched mean: remapping of ensemble mean

- improved magnitudes over ensemble mean, may be unrepresentative **Ensemble spread**: variability metric among the member forecasts

- representativeness of the ensemble mean

Ensemble max/min: shows the extreme values at a given location

- quick look for high impact events, little information on likelihood **Paintball (spaghetti) plot**: Gives location and structure information

overlap indicates qualitative agreement, single threshold shown

Postage stamp: small plots with full contour range for each forecast member

- insight on member scenarios

Probability threshold: raw likelihood from ensemble of event occurrence

- summary of ensemble information at a given point, limited skill on grid scale **Neighborhood probability**: relaxes event occurrence to local area

- better representation for extreme events

Real-time analysis statistics

Analysis page details:

- Observations assimilated
- Analysis state
- Analysis system performance
 - observation space
 - state space

http://www.image.ucar.edu/wrfdart/rta/index.php



Real-time forecast system verification

Investigating forecast performance in several ways:

- Precipitation verification (intensity, location, timing)
- Observation space verification (use DA system to evaluate forecasts)
- Storm surrogate value for prediction of weather hazards (e.g. UH vs. storm reports)
- Analysis system performance (observation fit to priors, mean analysis increments, planning to look at physics tendencies)



Real-time forecast system verification



NWS warning polygons overlain on daily supercell surrogate probabilities

Real-time forecast system verification



3-km ensemble forecast verification against radiosondes

40 forecasts (late April to early June)

Initial down-scaling, diurnal bias in mid- and lower-troposphere, drift near tropopause

WRF model challenges – model top drift



WRF model challenges – model top drift



WRF model challenges – surface moisture



WRF model challenges – surface moisture

From 2013 real-time system investigation:

Spike in surface moisture owes to decoupling PBL while latent heat flux is still positive

Most prominent in vegetated areas with calm winds





NCAR Ensemble - Expected Outcomes

- Demonstration of the operational suitability of a WRF/DART based analysis and forecast system
- Larger data set of convection-allowing ensemble forecasts to understand strengths/weaknesses in system configuration – focus on hazard prediction
- Analysis increments, climatology, physics tendencies
 Improving WRF = better analyses and forecasts!
- In the future develop capability for analysis on the 3-km grid
- We welcome collaborators!
 - Contact us at ensemble@ucar.edu

Thompson microphysics – effective particle sizes to RRTMG

