



Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

Using High-Resolution ARM Observations to Evaluate High-Resolution WRF Simulations

Jerome Fast and Larry Berg

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

ARM Supersite Data HI-SCALE Campaign Data





CLIMATE RESEARCH FACILITY



Motivation

- Cumulus convection is an important component of the radiation budget and hydrologic cycle over many regions of the world, but ...
- Convective cloud parameterizations contain uncertainties due partly to insufficient coincident data coupling cloud macro- and microphysical properties to inhomogeneities in boundary layer and aerosols.



ARM Southern Great Plains "Supersite"



Kansas Radar Oklahoma LES domain, 33 km wide radar wind profiler, surface meteorology 0 Doppler Lidar, AERI, Tulsa surface meteorology surface meteorology 0 Oklahoma City

white dots = Oklahoma mesonet

ARM Instrument Sites

Central Facility

- Radiosonde (4 / day)
- Radar Wind Profiler
- Doppler Lidar
- Micropulse Lidar
- Raman Lidar
- Atmospheric Emitted Radiance

Interferometer (AERI)

surface meteorology

surface meteorology includes radiation, fluxes, soil moisture



Holistic Interactions of Shallow Clouds, Aerosols and Land Ecosystems (HI-SCALE) Campaign



Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965





IOP 1: April 24 – May 20

- 17 flights, 57.8 hours total
- 3.4 h average duration

IOP 2: Aug 28 – Sept 23

- 21 flights, 47.8 hours total
- 2 flights / day on 5 days



High-Resolution Rapid Refresh (HRRR)



- Real-time operational forecasts for the CONUS (Dx = 3 km)
 - Forecasts produced every 1 h for up to 15 h forecast
 - Data assimilation used to create initial conditions, but does not use ARM data that can be used as an independent data set
 - In this study, 15-h forecasts (at hourly intervals) starting from 00, 06, 12, and 18 UTC with a focus on 1-6 h forecasts





Questions



How well does HRRR / WRF represent the observed atmospheric conditions, particularly cloudiness and its effect on radiation, in the vicinity of the SGP site?

How well does HRRR / WRF represent the spatial and temporal variability that is seen in the observations?





Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

Comparison with ARM Operational and HI-SCALE Campaign Measurements

Cloudiness on May 3





Observed and Simulated Radiation: May 3





Variability in Downward SW on May 3





Spatial Variability of Radiation during IOP 1





Observed and Simulated Radiation: Sept. 6





Variability in Downward SW on September 6







Spatial Variability of Radiation during IOP 2





Surface Temperature and Humidity during IOP 1



Diurnal Average Temperature, RH, and Specific Humidity at 16 ARM Sites temperature (C) 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 RH (%) observed simulated 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 25 26 27 28 29 mixing ratio (g kg⁻¹) 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 UTC April May

- ~2° warm bias during the day that leads to dry RH bias; bias increases significantly with forecast period
- simulated spatial variability somewhat smaller than observed

Surface Temperature and Humidity during IOP 2





- much smaller temperature and RH bias than during IOP1, but bias in mixing ratio is higher during IOP2
- simulated spatial variability less than observed

Skin Temperature: May 6 (Clear Sky, IOP 1)





Simulated skin temperature less variable and often too high. Does this bias contribute to the near surface temperature bias?

Skin Temperature: Sept. 11 (Clear Sky, IOP 2)





Simulated skin temperature less variable, but bias is much smaller than during IOP1

Surface Wind Variability during IOP1



Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965



Simulated winds are less variable in space than observed

Surface Wind Variability during IOP2



Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965



Simulated winds are less variable in space than observed

Wind Profiles: Observed Low-Level Jet





Wind Profiles: Simulated Low-Level Jet

Pacific Northwest



Wind Profile Variability





Boundary Layer Depth during IOP 1





Boundary Layer Depth on May 5 (Clear Sky)





Boundary Layer Depth during IOP 2

all 5 sites

PBL depth (km)

0.

3+

E32







E37 PBL depth (km) 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25



Boundary Layer Depth on Sept. 11 (Clear Sky)





Summary

- HRRR v3 performs much better when compared to ARM operational and HI-SCALE field campaign measurements
- HRRR represents some of the spatial variability of meteorological quantities across the ARM supersite with Dx = 3 km, but the observations exhibit somewhat more variability
- While the simulated radiation on partly cloudy days is better during IOP 2, HRRR can still underestimate the amount of cloudiness in regions where cloud fraction small

Lots of Work Remains to be Done

- Evaluation of shallow convective parameterizations
- More extensive evaluation of cloud properties and radiation
- Inclusion of more heterogeneous variable land properties and inclusion of aerosol chemistry in WRF
- Use of LES for insights into shallow cloud processes

LES Representation of Clouds



Proudly Operated by Battelle Since 1965

WRF LES 1950 UTC (Dx = 100 m)



MODIS AQUA 1950 UTC (Dx ~ 1 km)



