Numerical simulation of Fog over Indo Gangetic Plains (IGP), India during CAIPEEX 14-15 and WIFEX15-17 field campaigns using WRF/WRF Chem models Prakash Pithani¹, Sachin D Ghude, Thara Prabhakaran, Mukhopadhyay P, Murali Phani, Hazra Anupam, D.M.Chate, Jenamani R K² ¹Indian Institute of Tropical Meteorology, Maharastra, India ²Indian Meteorological Department, New Delhi, India ¹sachinghude@tropmet.res.in & prakash@tropmet.res.in

Background

A series of numerical experiments were carried out with various combinations of physical parameterization schemes to determine the fidelity of the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model (at 2 km resolution) to simulate fog event at Barkachha (in IGP, 25.06N, 82.59E). These simulations with four Planetary Boundary Layer (PBL) combined with five microphysics schemes during Cloud Aerosol Interaction Enhancement Experiment Integrated Ground Observational Campaign 2014-15 (CAIPEEXIGOC) and Winter Fog Experiment (WIFEX2015-16), revealed that the MYNN2.5 PBL scheme with WSM6 microphysics is probably the best combination for simulating Liquid Water Content (LWC) during the fog event This setup was further used for experimental forecast during WIFEX2016-17 at IGI Airport, New Delhi. During WIFEX16-17 we observed 20 fog events. We ran forecast using WRF with IITM High Resolution GFS (IITM-GFS, 12km) and NCMRWF Unified Model (NCUM, 23 km) Initial & Boundary conditions (IC/BC). Out of 25 (68) dense fog events, WRF with IITM-GFS and NCUM has forecasted 17 and 25 fog events respectively and false alarm rate for IITMHIRESGFS And NCUM is 15(43) and 40(43) respectively. Model derived LWC variations were inter compared with radiometer observations and depth and duration of fog layer at IGI airport has been evaluated. We also carried out a case study with WRF_Chem to investigate importance of aerosols for visibility forecast during fog event. We found that inclusion of chemistry has improved visibility significantly.

Data and methodology Predicted LWC & observed visibility during WIFEX 2016-17 **Experimental Fog Forecast Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) and WRF_Chem (3.6.1) with 2km single domain set up.** Both models predicted **O.25**^o ERA-Interim reanalysis data from ECMWF, IITM-GFS (~12km) and NCUM (~23km) forecast data during fog during 11th December IITM- GFS WIFEX2016-17. 2016, they **Observed meteorological parameters and fluxes data from 20m tower at Barkachha rural site and IGI Airport New** matched with observed Delhi in IGP region. **RVR visibility.** NCUM **Onset** and **Uvertical wind speed and direction from SODAR & Radio sonde data.** has captured well with **Relative Humidity and LWC profiles from Radio meter at IGI airport during WIFEX 15-17 IITM-GFS and 1hr lag has Statistical methods such as correlation, RMSE, Standard Deviation analysis technique are used in this study.** observed **NASA MODIS TERRA/AQUA** satellite images have used for identification of fog spacial coverage over IGP. deep portion of fog event was lagged by 2 hours in **Results and Discussions Predicted LWC vs satellite image** both models. 20m Tower Meteorological observations during CAIPEEX **Spatial spread was well** 2014-15 captured by NCUM IC/BC 28°N in most of the cases. **Spread in fog onset was** 26°N well captured by



> Fog formation over IGP regions of India has unique feature, where we can see over a large from Pakistan horizontal extent to Bangladesh (>1000 km) and persist up to a based week associated on synoptic meteorological conditions.

Atmospheric Process	Model configuration (WRF 3.6.1)
Horizontal Resolution	2Km (440x200 grids)
Long Wave	RRTM/CAM
Short wave	Dudhia/CAM
Surface layer	Plem-Xiu (WRF_IITM GFS)
	NOAH (WRF_NCUM)
PBL	MYNN2.5
Cumulus	Grell-Freitas ensemble scheme
Vertical levels	60 (19 layers below 1Km)





have well

dissipation

NCUM,

both

with



- **ERA-Interim data has used for hind cast** mode simulations of CAIPEEXIGOC2014-15 and WIFEX2015-16 case studies.
- Best combination of PBL and Micro physics have been identified based on the results obtained from these two experiments.
- > WSM6-MYNN2.5 PBL have been chosen for during experimental fog forecast WIFEX2016-17.
- > LWC at model surface level has taken as a parameter to identify fog in WRF model.



Summary **G** Statistical evaluation results revealed that the MYNN2.5 PBL scheme with WSM6 microphysics is best combination for simulating fog LWC to simulate fog over this

- Only MYNN2.5 combination with WSM6, WSM3 and Lin microphysics were able to capture the spatial extent of LWC
- Chemistry runs have improved visibility forecast suggesting that aerosols impact on visibility.
- **Experimental forecast during WIFEX2016-17 has given some** good skills for fog forecast with WRF model with different
- **WRF** with IITM-GFS IC/BC has given good skill score during

Acknowledgement : This work is supported by the Indian Institute of Tropical meteorology (IITM) Pune, India. DST for International Travel Support (ITS SERB), New Delhi, India.

18th Annual WRF Users' Workshop - 2017 (June 12-16, 2017), National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) – Boulder, USA